

Quantum Incompatibility and Noncommutativity

Here is a way of looking at quantum incompatibility of properties, suggested by Basil Hiley, which brings noncommutativity into full focus.

We are given a bunch of objects each of which is either a sphere or a cube, and each of which is either red or blue. Thus the objects are classified under two attributes SHAPE and COLOUR. SHAPE further breaks down into the two properties **spherical** and **cubical**, and COLOUR into the properties **red** and **blue**.

We suppose that each of the four properties **spherical**, **cubical**, **red**, **blue** comes with a test for determining whether an object has the property in question. Thus, for example, if an object passes the test for **red**, it is deemed to have the property **red**; if not, it is deemed to have the property **blue**; in other words, it would have passed the **blue** test. We assume that, if an object passes a test for a given property, it will also pass a test for that property applied immediately afterwards (i.e. , without any intervening application of a test for a different property).

Now suppose that we test the objects for the property **spherical**, and assemble the objects passing the test into an ensemble **S**. Next, we subject the objects in **S** to the test for **red**, and assemble the objects passing this subsequent test into an ensemble which we shall write as **S ★ R**.

Now perform this procedure in the opposite order, first testing for **red** and then for **spherical**. The resulting ensemble will then, naturally, be $\mathbf{R} \star \mathbf{S}$.

If the attributes SHAPE and COLOUR behave *classically*, we would expect the ensembles $\mathbf{S} \star \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R} \star \mathbf{S}$ to be identical, since then the operation \star would simply correspond to the logical conjunction (\wedge) of properties (and of course \wedge is commutative). In other words, from a classical standpoint, \star would be a commutative operation.

But in the quantum world \star will not necessarily be a commutative operation, *and so will not necessarily correspond to the logical operation of conjunction*. For in the quantum world attributes such as SHAPE and COLOUR may be *incompatible*, that is, like position and momentum of electrons, not simultaneously ``testable``. In that case $\mathbf{S} \star \mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{R} \star \mathbf{S}$ may *differ*, so that the \star operation is *noncommutative*.

What this means is that, if we take an arbitrary object from $\mathbf{S} \star \mathbf{R}$ and subject it to the **red** test, it will always pass the test. But if we subject an arbitrary object from $\mathbf{R} \star \mathbf{S}$ to the same, **red**, test, sometimes it will not pass the test and *will then have to be deemed blue*, that is, it would have passed the **blue** test. In other words, $\mathbf{S} \star \mathbf{R}$ consists entirely of red objects, but $\mathbf{R} \star \mathbf{S}$ *will contain some blue objects*.

The startling nature of this conclusion can be made further evident by tracking the history of an object, a , in $\mathbf{R} \star \mathbf{S}$ which fails to pass the test for **red** and so is deemed **blue**. Now a initially passed the **red** test and so was, correctly, included in \mathbf{R} . At this point a was definitely **red**. But then, for a to be included in $\mathbf{R} \star \mathbf{S}$, it must subsequently pass the **spherical** test. Once a passes this test, it is then tested for **blue** and passes that

test as well. In other words, applying the **spherical** test to the **red** object a has caused it to change its colour to **blue**!