

# MODELS FOR $(\infty, 1)$ -CATEGORIES

MARCO VERGURA

These are notes for a talk given by the author at a seminar on Higher Category Theory, organized by D. Christensen and C. Kapulkin at the University of Western Ontario during Fall 2016 (<http://www-home.math.uwo.ca/~kkapulki/seminars/higher-cats.html>).

## CONTENTS

Introduction: beyond quasi-categories	2
1. Complete Segal Spaces: the bisimplicial model	2
1.1. Segal spaces	3
1.2. Quillen equivalence between CSs and $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$	9
2. Simplicial Categories: the natural model	13
2.1. The model category structure on $\mathbf{SCat}$	14
2.2. $\mathbf{SCat}$ as a presentation of $(\infty, 1)$ -categories	16
3. Relative categories: the minimal model	18
3.1. Generalities and Hammock Localization	19
3.2. The induced model structure from CSs	20
4. Appendix: on bisimplicial sets	22
4.1. Generalities	22
4.2. The vertical model category structure for $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$	23
4.3. On vertical (trivial) fibrations	23
4.4. The horizontal model category structure for $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$	25
4.5. Left Bousfield Localizations	26
Conclusion	27
References	29

Roughly speaking, a *homotopy theory*  $\mathcal{H}$  consists of the following data:

- (H1) a *homotopy category*  $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{H})$ ;
- (H2) for objects  $x, y \in \mathrm{Ho}(\mathcal{H})$ , a *mapping space (or homotopy function complex)*  $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{H}}(x, y) \in \mathbf{sSet}$ .

A *presentation* (or a *model*) for a homotopy theory is then any mathematical object which gives rise to a homotopy theory, e.g. quasi-categories. Many presentations of homotopy theories do *not* commonly arise as quasi-categories but, rather, in other ways, like relative categories or simplicial categories. So, even if the category  $\mathbf{QCat}$  of quasi-categories allows a formal, well-studied treatment of homotopy theories, it does not really include many of the natural examples.

To address this issue, one can follow a general leading principle.

**Principle (HOMOTOPY INVARIANCE).** To each presentation  $X$  of a homotopy theory  $\mathcal{H}$ , (functorially) associate a quasi-category  $RX$  presenting the same underlying homotopy theory  $\mathcal{H}$ .

If this is the case, we can be sure that:

- there is a way to see each presentation  $X$  of a homotopy theory  $\mathcal{H}$  as a quasi-category, without losing any homotopical information;
- up to equivalence of associated homotopy theories, one is free to work in  $X$  or in the associated quasi-category, as convenience suggests.

Often one can organize all presentations of the same sort for homotopy theories (such as relative or simplicial categories) in a category  $\mathbf{HMod}$  which is, itself, a model for a homotopy theory. In this case we would like to get a functor  $R: \mathbf{HMod} \rightarrow \mathbf{QCat}$  inducing equivalences between the data (H1) and (H2) for  $\mathbf{HMod}$  and  $\mathbf{QCat}$ .

The goal of these notes is to show that, for several instances of  $\mathbf{HMod}$  (namely, for complete Segal spaces, simplicial categories and relative categories), one can

- (a) endow  $\mathbf{HMod}$  with a model category structure;
- (b) find a functor  $R': \mathbf{HMod} \rightarrow (\mathbf{sSet})_{\mathrm{Joyal}}$  which is the right adjoint in a Quillen equivalence.

Since the right derived functor of right Quillen functors automatically induces weak equivalences at the level of homotopy function complexes, we can take our functor  $R$  to be the right derived functor of  $R'$ .

## 1. COMPLETE SEGAL SPACES: THE BISIMPLICIAL MODEL

Complete Segal spaces are certain kinds of bisimplicial sets introduced in [Rez01]. They are the fibrant objects of a model category  $\mathbf{CSs}$  which is Quillen equivalent to  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\mathrm{Joyal}}$ , thus they present  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. This presentation has some remarkable properties.

- (1)  $\mathbf{CSs}$  is a *simplicial* model category. This is not the case for  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\mathrm{Joyal}}$ <sup>1</sup>. Here is an easy way to see this. If the Joyal model structure was simplicial, since  $\Delta[0]$  is cofibrant,

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta[0], \bullet): (\mathbf{sSet})_{\mathrm{Joyal}} \rightarrow (\mathbf{sSet})_{\mathrm{Quillen}}$$

would be a right Quillen functor. But then, any quasi-category  $X$  would also be a Kan complex because  $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{sSet}}(\Delta[0], X) \cong X$ .

---

<sup>1</sup> At least with respect to the self-enrichment of  $\mathbf{sSet}$  as a cartesian closed category.

- (2) There are sectionwise criteria to detect weak equivalences between complete Segal spaces. In fact, a map  $g: X \rightarrow Y$  between complete Segal spaces is a weak equivalence in CSs if and only if it induces Kan-Quillen equivalences between the columns *or* Joyal equivalences between the rows of  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Following the work of [Rez01], in §1.1, we define the fundamental notion of *Segal space*, give a sketch of how it presents a homotopy theory and introduce the completeness condition. After [JT07], in §1.2, we exhibit two ways in which the model category structure CSs presenting complete Segal spaces is Quillen equivalent to the Joyal model structure on  $\mathbf{sSet}$  presenting quasi-categories. The Appendix (§4) gathers the facts we need about (some of) the homotopy theories available for bisimplicial sets.

**1.1. Segal spaces.** *Segal spaces* homotopically generalize categories via the *Segal condition*; up to a specified weak equivalence, the  $m$ -th column space of a Segal space  $X$  is an iterated pullback of its first column space. In this way, one recovers the fact that an  $m$ -simplex of the nerve of a category is just determined by the chain of 1-simplices connecting the vertices of the  $m$ -simplex. A Segal space has a set of *objects*, (homotopic) maps between them and composite of those. There are two natural notions of “sameness” for objects  $x, y$  of a Segal space  $X$ . Namely, one could ask that  $x$  and  $y$  are in the same path-component of  $X_0$ , or that there is a *homotopy equivalence* from  $x$  to  $y$ . Those Segal spaces for which these two notions coincide are called *complete Segal space*.

**1.1.1. From categories to Segal spaces.** We start with an observation about ordinary categories. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category and  $N\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{sSet}$  be its nerve. For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , we can consider the iterated pullback

$$N(\mathcal{C})_1 \times_{N(\mathcal{C})_0} \cdots \times_{N(\mathcal{C})_0} N(\mathcal{C})_1 := \lim(N\mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} N\mathcal{C}_0 \xleftarrow{d_1} N\mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_0} N\mathcal{C}_0 \xleftarrow{d_1} N\mathcal{C}_1)$$

with  $m$  copies of  $N\mathcal{C}_1$  on both sides of the definition. There is a map

$$(1) \quad N(\mathcal{C})_m \rightarrow N(\mathcal{C})_1 \times_{N(\mathcal{C})_0} N(\mathcal{C})_1 \times_{N(\mathcal{C})_0} \cdots \times_{N(\mathcal{C})_0} N(\mathcal{C})_1,$$

sending a string of  $m$  composable arrows in  $\mathcal{C}$  to the  $m$ -tuple of those arrows. This map is clearly an isomorphism. If we look at  $N\mathcal{C}$  as a discrete bisimplicial set, the map in (1) becomes a Kan-Quillen equivalence of (discrete) simplicial sets. One could try to define a similar map for a general bisimplicial set and ask whether it is a Kan-Quillen equivalence. Since some care is needed in doing this, the construction we will present here only gives the homotopical generalization of (1) for vertically fibrant bisimplicial sets.

For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$  and every  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , consider the following map in  $\Delta$ :

$$(2) \quad \alpha^i: [1] \rightarrow [m], \quad 0 \mapsto i, \quad 1 \mapsto i+1.$$

Each  $\alpha^i$  can be seen as a map  $\alpha^i: \Delta[1] \rightarrow \Delta[m]$  of discrete bisimplicial sets. We set

$$I(m) := \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} \text{Im}(\alpha^i) \subseteq \Delta[m]$$

and let

$$(3) \quad \varphi^m: I(m) \rightarrow \Delta[m]$$

be the inclusion. For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , there is an isomorphism

$$(4) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}}(I(m), X) \cong X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1,$$

natural in  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}$ , where the right-hand side is

$$(5) \quad \lim(X_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_1} X_1 \xrightarrow{d_0} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_1} X_1 \cdots \xrightarrow{d_0} X_0 \xleftarrow{d_1} X_1).$$

Under the isomorphisms  $\text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}}(\Delta[m], X) \cong X_m$  and (4), we can then define

$$(6) \quad \varphi_m = \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}}(\varphi^m, X): X_m \rightarrow X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1.$$

**Definition 1.1.** A bisimplicial set  $X$  is a *Segal space* if it is vertically fibrant and, for every  $m \geq 1$ , the  $m$ -th Segal map  $\varphi_m$  is a Kan-Quillen equivalence of simplicial sets.

**Remark 1.2.**

(a) The map  $\varphi_m$  can also be described as

$$\varphi^m \backslash X : \Delta[m] \backslash X \rightarrow I(m) \backslash X$$

(see Appendix, (38)), since  $I(m) \backslash X \cong X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1$ .

(b) Since  $\varphi^m$  is a cofibration of bisimplicial sets, if  $X$  is vertically fibrant, then  $\varphi_m$  is a Kan fibration. Hence, if  $X$  is a Segal space,  $\varphi_m$  is a trivial Kan fibration.

(c) If  $X$  is vertically fibrant, the degeneracies  $d_0, d_1 : X_1 \rightarrow X_0$  are Kan fibrations (see Lemma 4.14), so the limit  $X_1 \times_{X_0} \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1$  is actually a homotopy limit.

**Remark 1.3.** The Segal condition is so called because it firstly appeared in [Seg74] as a condition to understand when a space (simplicial set) has the homotopy type of a loop space (*delooping problem*). Segal's result says that, if  $X$  is a Segal space such that  $X_0$  is contractible and  $X_1$  is connected (although this last condition can be relaxed), then  $X_1$  has the homotopy type of  $\Omega|X|$ , where  $|X| = \text{diag}(X)$  is the diagonal of the bisimplicial set  $X$ .

Note that Definition 1.1 says that a bisimplicial set  $X$  is a Segal space if and only if it is an  $S$ -local bisimplicial set, where  $S$  is the set of all maps  $\varphi^m$  in (3) (see §4.5). We can then give the following

**Definition 1.4.** The *Segal space model category* is the model category  $\mathbf{Ss}$  obtained as the left Bousfield localization of  $(\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set})_v$  at the set  $S$  of maps  $\varphi^m : I(m) \rightarrow \Delta[m]$  of discrete bisimplicial sets.

**Remark 1.5.** By definition (and by Theorem 4.23), the fibrant objects of  $\mathbf{Ss}$  are precisely the Segal spaces, a vertical weak equivalence of bisimplicial sets is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{Ss}$  and a map between Segal spaces is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) in  $\mathbf{Ss}$  if and only if it is a vertical weak equivalence (resp. a vertical fibration).

Theorem 4.23 ensures that  $\mathbf{Ss}$  is a simplicial, left proper and combinatorial model category. It has a further important property:

**Proposition 1.6** ([Rez01], Thm 7.1).  *$\mathbf{Ss}$  is a cartesian closed model category. In particular, for every Segal space  $X$  and every bisimplicial set  $Y$ , the internal hom  $X^Y$  is a Segal space.*

1.1.2. *The main example.* Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  be a small relative category (see Definition 3.1 below). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the functor category  $\mathcal{C}^{[n]}$  inherits a natural structure of relative category with weak equivalences given by the natural transformations which are sectionwise weak equivalences in  $\mathcal{C}$ . We denote the resulting relative category by  $(\mathcal{C}^{[n]}, \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}^{[n]}))$ .

**Definition 1.7.** Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  be a small relative category. The *classification diagram* of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  is the bisimplicial set  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  defined by

$$N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})_m := N(\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}^{[m]})), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$$

The action of  $N_{\text{Rzk}}$  on maps  $f : [m] \rightarrow [k]$  in the simplex category is obtained by functoriality of  $N$ , since  $f$  induces a map  $\mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}^{[k]}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}(\mathcal{C}^{[m]})$ .

The assignment  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  extends to a functor

$$(7) \quad N_{\text{Rzk}} : \mathbf{RelCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}, \quad (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) \mapsto N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}).$$

We will talk more extensively about this functor later on. As for now, note that the  $(m, n)$ -bisimplices of  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  look like this

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A_{0,n} & \longrightarrow & A_{1,n} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_{m,n} \\
\sim \uparrow & & \sim \uparrow & & & & \sim \uparrow \\
\vdots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots \\
\sim \uparrow & & \sim \uparrow & & & & \sim \uparrow \\
A_{0,1} & \longrightarrow & A_{1,1} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_{m,1} \\
\sim \uparrow & & \sim \uparrow & & & & \sim \uparrow \\
A_{0,0} & \longrightarrow & A_{1,0} & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_{m,0}
\end{array}$$

where all the  $A'_{i,j}$ s are objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  and all the vertical maps are in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

Given a small category  $\mathcal{C}$  we can regard it as a relative category by taking the subcategory of weak equivalences to be the *core* of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e. the maximal subgroupoid of  $\mathcal{C}$ , consisting of all objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  and all isomorphisms among them. We denote the core of  $\mathcal{C}$  by  $\text{core}(\mathcal{C})$ .

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. The *classifying diagram* of  $\mathcal{C}$  (or the *Rezk nerve* of  $\mathcal{C}$  or the *bisimplicial nerve* of  $\mathcal{C}$ ) is

$$N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}) := N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}, \text{core}(\mathcal{C})).$$

**Remark 1.9.** For every  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}$  and every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})_m = N(\text{core}(\mathcal{C}^{[m]}))$  and  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})_{\bullet,0} = N\mathcal{C}$  (see Convention 4.2).

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $E[n]$  be the (nerve of the) groupoid having  $n+1$  distinct objects and precisely one isomorphism between any two of them:

$$(8) \quad E[n] : \quad 0 \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \cong \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} 1 \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \cong \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} 2 \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \cong \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} \cdots \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \cong \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} n$$

In other words,  $E[n]$  is the fundamental groupoid of  $\Delta[n]$ . Let  $[n] \rightarrow E[n]$  be the inclusion identifying  $[n]$  with the top chain of arrows in  $E[n]$ . The definition of  $N_{\text{Rzk}}$  gives immediately the following

**Lemma 1.10.** *There is an isomorphism*

$$(9) \quad (N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}))_{m,n} \cong \text{Cat}([m] \times E[n], \mathcal{C}),$$

natural in  $[m], [n] \in \Delta$  and  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}$ .

**Proposition 1.11** ([Rez01], Lemma 3.9). *For every  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}$ , each  $m$ -th Segal map for  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})$  is an isomorphism and  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})$  is a Segal space.*

Taking the ordinary nerve of a functor which is not an equivalence of categories can still produce a Kan-Quillen equivalence. This can not happen with  $N_{\text{Rzk}}$ .

**Theorem 1.12.** *The classifying diagram functor*

$$N_{\text{Rzk}} : \text{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}$$

*is a fully faithful, cartesian closed functor. Furthermore, a map  $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an equivalence of categories if and only if  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(f)$  is a vertical equivalence of bisimplicial sets.*

*Proof.* A map  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})$  is completely determined by its actions on the 0-th and on the first columns. Fully faithfulness of  $N_{\text{Rzk}}$  follows.  $N_{\text{Rzk}}$  preserves products by (9). Now,

$$N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}})_{m,n} \cong \text{Cat}([m] \times E[n], \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}}) \cong \text{Cat}([m] \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^{E[n]})$$

and

$$(N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})^{N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})})_{m,n} \cong \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}(\Delta[m] \times c_h(\Delta[n]), N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})^{N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})})$$

We have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}(\Delta[m] \times c_h(\Delta[n]), N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})^{N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})}) &\cong \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}(\Delta[m] \times N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}), N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})^{c_h(\Delta[n])}) \cong \\ &\cong \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}(N_{\text{Rzk}}([m] \times \mathcal{C}), N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D}^{E[n]})). \end{aligned}$$

The last isomorphism follows because  $N_{\text{Rzk}}([m] \times \mathcal{C}) \cong N_{\text{Rzk}}([m]) \times N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}) \cong \Delta[m] \times N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})$  and, for any category  $\mathcal{C}$  (hence also for  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^{[m]}$ ),  $\text{core}(\mathcal{C}^{E[n]}) \cong \text{core}(\mathcal{C})^{E[n]} \cong \text{core}(\mathcal{C})^{[n]}$ , so that  $N(\text{core}(\mathcal{C}^{E[n]})) \cong N(\text{core}(\mathcal{C}))^{\Delta[n]}$ . Since  $N_{\text{Rzk}}$  is fully faithful, we have

$$\text{Cat}([m] \times \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}^{E[n]}) \cong \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}(N_{\text{Rzk}}([m] \times \mathcal{C}), N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D}^{E[n]})).$$

Thus, the canonical map  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}}) \rightarrow N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})^{N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})}$  is an isomorphism.

For the last claim, naturally isomorphic functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  produce simplicially homotopic maps from  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})$  to  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})$ , because two isomorphic functors give rise to a map  $\mathcal{C} \times E[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  in  $\text{Cat}$  and  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}^{E[1]}) \cong N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})^{c_h(\Delta[1])}$ . Therefore, if  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is an equivalence of categories, then  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(f)$  is a vertical equivalence of bisimplicial sets. On the other hand, if  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(f)$  is a vertical equivalence, since both  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})$  and  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D})$  are fibrant-cofibrant objects in  $(\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set})_v$ , it is also a simplicial homotopy equivalence. A simplicial homotopy inverse for  $f$  is a 0-simplex  $g$  of  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}})_0$ , whereas the simplicial homotopies witnessing this fact are 1-simplices of  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{D}})_0$  and  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{C}})_0$ . By the above, these correspond exactly to a functor  $g: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and to natural isomorphisms  $fg \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}$  and  $gf \cong \text{id}_{\mathcal{C}}$ .  $\square$

1.1.3. *Homotopy Theory in a Segal space.* Segal spaces are presentations of homotopy theories in the sense of the Introduction.

**Definition 1.13.** Let  $X$  be a Segal space.

- (1) The *set of objects* of  $X$  is the set  $\text{Ob}(X) := X_{0,0}$ .
- (2) For every  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(X)$ , the *mapping space* between  $x$  and  $y$  is the Kan complex  $\text{map}_X(x, y) = \text{map}(x, y)$  fitting in the pullback square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{map}(x, y) & \longrightarrow & X_1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (d_1, d_0) \\ \Delta[0] & \xrightarrow{(x, y)} & X_0 \times X_0 \end{array}$$

The 0-th simplices of  $\text{map}(x, y)$  are called *maps* (or *morphisms*) from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $X$  and denoted as  $x \rightarrow y$ .

- (3) For every  $x \in \text{Ob}(X)$ ,  $s_0(x) \in \text{map}(x, x)$  is called the *identity map* on  $x$  and denoted by  $\text{id}_x$ .
- (4) Two maps  $f, g: x \rightarrow y$  in  $X$  are *homotopic* if they belong to the same path-component of  $\text{map}(x, y)$ . We write  $f \sim g$  to indicate that  $f$  and  $g$  are homotopic maps in  $X$ .

**Remark 1.14.**  $\text{map}(x, y)$  is both the fiber and the homotopy fiber of  $(d_1, d_0)$  over  $(x, y) \in X_0 \times X_0$ , because  $(d_1, d_0)$  is a Kan fibration. In particular, if  $(x, y)$  and  $(x', y')$  are in the same path-component of  $X_0 \times X_0$ , then  $\text{map}(x, y)$  and  $\text{map}(x', y')$  are weakly equivalent Kan complexes.

In order to define composition of maps in a Segal space  $X$ , consider, for  $m \geq 1$  and  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , the morphisms

$$\beta^i: [0] \rightarrow [m], \quad 0 \mapsto i$$

and let  $\beta_i = X(\beta^i): X_m \rightarrow X_1$ . We get an induced map

$$(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_m): X_m \rightarrow X_0^{m+1}.$$

We can think to this map as the one which associates to each  $m$ -simplex of  $X$  the ordered  $(m + 1)$ -tuple of its vertices. We denote by  $\text{map}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m)$  the fiber of  $(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_m)$  over  $(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \text{Ob}(X)^{m+1}$ . There is a commutative diagram of maps over  $X_0^{m+1}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi_m} & X_1 \times_{X_0} \times \cdots \times_{X_0} X_1 \\ & \searrow^{(\beta_0, \dots, \beta_m)} & \swarrow \\ & & X_0^{m+1} \end{array}$$

Since the Segal map  $\varphi_m$  is a trivial fibration, there is an induced trivial Kan fibration between fibers

$$\varphi_{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m}: \text{map}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{map}(x_{m-1}, x_m) \times \cdots \times \text{map}(x_0, x_1)$$

We will abuse of notation and again call such a map  $\varphi_m$ .

**Definition 1.15.** Let  $X$  be a Segal space and  $f \in \text{map}(x, y)$ ,  $g \in \text{map}(y, z)$  be two maps in  $X$ . A *composite* of  $f$  and  $g$  is any map  $x \rightarrow z$  of the form  $d_1(\sigma)$ , for  $\sigma \in \text{map}(x, y, z)$  such that  $\varphi_2(\sigma) = (g, f)$ .

Because  $\varphi_m$  is a trivial fibration, every two composites of  $f$  and  $g$  as above are homotopic, so we will denote any such composite by  $g \circ f$ . Composition is associative and unital up to homotopy.

**Proposition 1.16** ([Rez01], Prop 5.4). *Let  $f: w \rightarrow x$ ,  $g: x \rightarrow y$  and  $h: y \rightarrow z$  be maps in a Segal space  $X$ . Then*

- (i)  $(h \circ g) \circ f \sim h \circ (g \circ f)$ ;
- (ii)  $f \circ \text{id}_w \sim f \sim \text{id}_x \circ f$ .

For  $f: x \rightarrow y$  in a Segal space, denote by  $[f]$  its class in  $\pi_0(\text{map}(x, y))$ .

**Definition 1.17.** Let  $X$  be a Segal space.

- (1) The *homotopy category* of  $X$  is the category  $\text{Ho}(X)$  such that:
  - $\text{Ob}(\text{Ho}(X)) := \text{Ob}(X)$ ;
  - for all  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\text{Ho}(X))$ ,  $\text{Ho}(X)(x, y) := \pi_0(\text{map}(x, y))$ ;
  - for all  $f: x \rightarrow y$  and  $g: y \rightarrow z$  in  $X$ ,  $[g] \circ [f] := [g \circ f]$ .
- (2) A map  $f: x \rightarrow y$  is a *homotopy equivalence* in  $X$  if  $[f]$  is invertible in  $\text{Ho}(X)$ .

The following result shows that “being a homotopy equivalence” is homotopically invariant in a rather strong sense.

**Proposition 1.18** ([Rez01], Lemma 5.8). *Let  $f: x \rightarrow y$  be a homotopy equivalence in a Segal space  $X$ . Then all the 0-simplices of  $X_1$  which belong to the same path-component of  $f$  in  $X_1$  are themselves homotopy equivalences in  $X$ .*

In particular, since, for every  $x \in \text{Ob}(X)$ ,  $\text{id}_x$  is a homotopy equivalence, the path-components of the identity maps in  $X_1$  are all made of homotopy equivalences.

1.1.4. *The completeness condition.* Let  $X$  be a Segal space. We denote by  $X_{\text{hoequiv}}$  the subsimplicial set of  $X_1$  generated by those path-components of  $X_1$  containing homotopy equivalences. Since, for all  $x \in \text{Ob}(X)$ ,  $\text{id}_x = s_0(x)$  is a homotopy equivalence, we get

$$(10) \quad s_0: X_0 \rightarrow X_{\text{hoequiv}}$$

**Definition 1.19.** A bisimplicial set  $X$  is called a *complete Segal space* if it is a Segal space and the map (10) is a Kan-Quillen equivalence of simplicial sets.

So, in a complete Segal space, looking at the path-components of the identity maps exhausts all homotopy equivalences.

Our main examples of Segal spaces are, in fact, complete:

**Proposition 1.20** ([Rez01], Prop 6.1). *The classifying diagram  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})$  of a small category  $\mathcal{C}$  is a complete Segal space.*

*Proof.* A map in the Segal space  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C})$  is a homotopy equivalence if and only if it is an isomorphism in  $\mathcal{C}$ , so that  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}) \cong c_h(N(\text{core}(\mathcal{C}^{E[1]})))$  (see (8)). The inclusion map  $\text{core}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{core}(\mathcal{C}^{E[1]})$  is an equivalence of categories. Upon taking ordinary nerves, we get that (10) is a Kan-Quillen equivalence.  $\square$

We now give several characterizations of the completeness condition for a Segal space. Recall from (8) that  $E[1]$  denotes the nerve of the groupoid having exactly one isomorphism  $0 \rightarrow 1$ . We let  $t: E[1] \rightarrow \Delta[0]$  be the map into the terminal simplicial set and  $u_0, u_1: \Delta[0] \rightarrow E[1]$  be the maps picking the vertices 0 and 1 respectively. For  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}$ , we have

$$E[1] \setminus X \cong \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}}(E[1], X).$$

(See Appendix, (35) and (38)). Given a Segal space  $X$ , and  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(X)$ , we have Kan complexes  $\text{hoequiv}(x, y)$  and  $X_0(x, y)$  fitting into the pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{hoequiv}(x, y) & \longrightarrow & X_{\text{hoequiv}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow (d_1, d_0) \\ \Delta[0] & \xrightarrow{(x, y)} & X_0 \times X_0 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_0(x, y) & \longrightarrow & X_0^{\Delta[1]} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Delta[0] & \xrightarrow{(x, y)} & X_0 \times X_0 \end{array}$$

Here  $\text{hoequiv}(x, y)$  is the subsimplicial set of  $\text{map}(x, y)$  generated by those path-components that contain homotopy equivalences, whereas  $X_0(x, y)$  is the simplicial set of paths in  $X_0$  starting at  $x$  and ending at  $y$ . We are now ready to state the following

**Proposition 1.21** ([Rez01], Prop 6.4). *The following are equivalent for a Segal space  $X$ .*

- (1)  $X$  is a complete Segal space.
- (2) the map  $t \setminus X: \Delta[0] \setminus X \rightarrow E[1] \setminus X$  is a Kan-Quillen equivalence.
- (3) either  $u_0 \setminus X: E[1] \setminus X \rightarrow \Delta[0] \setminus X$  or  $u_1 \setminus X: E[1] \setminus X \rightarrow \Delta[0] \setminus X$  is a Kan-Quillen equivalence.
- (4) (Univalence) For all  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(X)$ ,  $\text{hoequiv}(x, y)$  is naturally weakly equivalent to  $X_0(x, y)$  in  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Quillen}}$ .

**Remark 1.22.** We named condition (4) in the above Proposition as “univalence” because it says that, for the type of objects modelled by the  $\infty$ -groupoid  $X_0$ , the notion of homotopy equivalence is equivalent to the notion of path. So the “universe of objects” for the homotopy theory presented by  $X$  is, indeed, univalent.

By Proposition 1.21, a Segal space is complete if and only if it is an  $\{u_0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow E[1]\}$ -local bisimplicial set (see §4.5).

**Definition 1.23.** The *complete Segal space model category* is the model category CSs obtained as the left Bousfield localization of  $(\mathbf{s}^2\text{Set})_v$  at the set  $S$  consisting of the maps  $\varphi^m$  of (3) and the map  $u_0: \Delta[0] \rightarrow E[1]$  of discrete bisimplicial sets.

**Remark 1.24.** By definition (and by Theorem 4.23), the fibrant objects of CSs are precisely the complete Segal spaces, a vertical weak equivalence of bisimplicial sets is a weak equivalence in CSs and a map between complete Segal spaces is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) in CSs if and only if it is a vertical weak equivalence (resp. a vertical fibration).

As for Ss, we also get the following

**Proposition 1.25** ([Rez01], Prop 7.2). *CSs is a cartesian closed model category. In particular, if  $X$  is a complete Segal space and  $Y$  is any bisimplicial set, then  $X^Y$  is a complete Segal space.*

The model category CSs is defined as a left Bousfield localization of  $(s^2\text{Set})_v$ . One could have instead considered the horizontal model category structure on  $s^2\text{Set}$  (see §4.4).

**Proposition 1.26** ([JT07], Thm 4.5). *The complete Segal space model category structure CSs is a left Bousfield localization of the horizontal model structure on  $s^2\text{Set}$ . Furthermore, a horizontally fibrant bisimplicial set is a complete Segal space if and only if it is categorically constant (see Definition 4.17).*

In particular, every horizontal equivalence is a weak equivalence in CSs.

**Remark 1.27.** By the above, in order to check that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  between complete Segal spaces is a weak equivalence in CSs, it is enough to show it induces Kan-Quillen equivalence between the columns or Joyal equivalences between the rows. This is one of the strongpoints of complete Segal spaces, which we will use to establish Quillen equivalences between CSs and  $(s\text{Set})_{\text{Joyal}}$ .

**1.2. Quillen equivalence between CSs and  $(s\text{Set})_{\text{Joyal}}$ .** Following [JT07], we present two Quillen equivalences between CSs and  $(s\text{Set})_{\text{Joyal}}$ . The flavour of the discussion will be quite categorically inclined

1.2.1. *Numerous adjunctions.* Consider the functor

$$(11) \quad k: \Delta \rightarrow s\text{Set}, \quad [n] \mapsto \Delta'[n],$$

where  $\Delta'[n]$  is (the nerve of) the free groupoid on the category  $[n]$ . The universal property of the Yoneda embedding  $y: \Delta \rightarrow s\text{Set}$  gives

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{y} & s\text{Set} \\ & \searrow k & \uparrow k_! \\ & & s\text{Set} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \cong \\ k_! := \text{Lan}_y(k) \dashv s\text{Set}(k(-), \bullet) =: k^! \end{array}$$

$k_!$  is obtained as a colimit-preserving extension of  $k$  to  $s\text{Set}$ .

**Proposition 1.28** ([JT07], Prop 1.19). *There is a Quillen pair*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & k_! & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ (s\text{Set})_{\text{Quillen}} & \perp & (s\text{Set})_{\text{Joyal}} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & k^! & \end{array}$$

In a similar fashion, consider the functor

$$(13) \quad t: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}, \quad ([m], [n]) \mapsto \Delta[m] \times \Delta'[n].$$

The same yoga as above gives

$$(14) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta \times \Delta & \xrightarrow{y} & \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set} \\ & \searrow t & \downarrow \cong \\ & & \mathbf{sSet} \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ t_1 := \mathbf{Lan}_y(t) \dashv \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}(t(-), \bullet) =: t^! \\ \uparrow \end{array}$$

Since  $\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n] \cong (\Delta \times \Delta)(-, ([m], [n]))$ ,

$$t_1(\Delta[m] \square \Delta[n]) \cong \Delta[m] \times \Delta'[n].$$

We gather several useful interactions of  $k_! \dashv k^!$  and  $t_! \dashv t^!$  in the following

**Lemma 1.29** ([JT07], Lemma 2.11). *There are isomorphisms*

$$(15) \quad t_1(K \square L) \cong K \times k_!(L), \quad K \setminus t^!(X) \cong k^!(X^K) \quad \text{and} \quad t^!(X)/L \cong X^{k_!(L)}$$

*natural in  $K, L \in \mathbf{sSet}$  and  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ .*

*Proof.* Both functors

$$(K, L) \mapsto t_1(K \square L) \quad \text{and} \quad (K, L) \mapsto K \times k_!(L)$$

are cocontinuous in each variable and coincide on the pairs  $(\Delta[m], \Delta[n])$ . The first natural isomorphism follows. The second isomorphism follows from the first one because, for a fixed  $K \in \mathbf{sSet}$ ,  $X \mapsto k^!(X^K)$  is right adjoint to  $L \mapsto K \times k_!(L)$  and  $X \mapsto K \setminus t^!(X)$  is right adjoint to  $L \mapsto K \times k_!(L)$ , since right adjoints compose. In the same way, the third isomorphism holds because the two sides of it are right adjoint to the naturally isomorphic functors  $K \mapsto t_1(K \square L)$  and  $K \mapsto K \times k_!(L)$  (for a fixed  $L \in \mathbf{sSet}$ ).  $\square$

We can say more about the adjoint pair  $t_! \dashv t^!$ .

**Theorem 1.30** ([JT07], Thm 2.12). *There is a Quillen pair*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & t_! & \\ \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set} & \curvearrowright & (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} \\ & t^! & \\ & \perp & \end{array}$$

where  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  is given either the horizontal or the vertical model category structure.

*Proof.* The proof of this result is a nice example of categorical homotopy theory in action. We treat the cases of the horizontal and the vertical model category structure on  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  separately.

- (a) Let us first establish that  $(t_!, t^!)$  is a Quillen pair when  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  has the horizontal model category structure. We need to check that  $t_!$  preserves cofibrations and  $t^!$  preserves fibrations.
- To show that  $t_!$  sends monomorphism to monomorphism, by Proposition 4.11 it suffices to prove that  $t_!(\delta_m \square' \delta_n)$  is a monomorphism, where  $\delta_m: \partial \Delta[m] \hookrightarrow \Delta[m]$  is the boundary inclusion and  $(\bullet) \square' (?)$  is the functor defined in Appendix, (41). But the map  $t_!(\delta_m \square' \delta_n)$  is isomorphic to  $\delta_m \times k_!(\delta_n)$  with  $k_!(\delta_n)$  being a mono by Proposition 1.28.

- To show that  $t^!(f)$  is a horizontal fibration for every Joyal fibration  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ , it is enough to show that  $\langle t^!(f)/u \rangle$  is a Joyal fibration for every mono  $u: K \rightarrow L$  in  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ , by the definition of horizontal fibrations (see Theorem 4.15). But now, from (15), the map

$$\langle t^!(f)/u \rangle : t^!(X)/L \rightarrow (t^!(Y)/L) \times_{(t^!(Y)/K)} (t^!(X)/K)$$

is isomorphic to the map

$$\langle k_!(u), f \rangle : X^{k_!(L)} \rightarrow Y^{k_!(L)} \times_{Y^{k_!(K)}} X^{k_!(K)}.$$

Since  $k_!(u)$  is a monomorphism,  $f$  is a Joyal fibration and  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$  is a cartesian closed model category, we get that  $\langle k_!(u), f \rangle$  is indeed a monomorphism.

This finishes the proof that  $(t_!, t^!)$  is a Quillen pair for the horizontal model category structure.

- (b) Let us now consider the case where  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  has the vertical model category structure. We only need to show that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a Joyal fibration, then  $t^!(f)$  is a vertical fibration. By Proposition 4.13, it is enough to show that

$$\langle u \setminus t^!(X) \rangle : L \setminus t^!(X) \rightarrow (L \setminus t^!(Y)) \times_{(K \setminus t^!(Y))} (K \setminus t^!(X))$$

is Kan fibration for every mono  $u: K \rightarrow L$  in  $\mathbf{sSet}$ . But, again by (15), that map is isomorphic to

$$k^! \langle u, f \rangle : k^!(X^L) \rightarrow k^!(Y^L) \times_{k^!(Y^K)} k^!(X^K)$$

which is a monomorphism, because  $\langle u, f \rangle$  is a Joyal fibration and we can use Proposition 1.28.

□

**Theorem 1.31** ([JT07], Thm 3.3). *The Quillen pair of Theorem 1.30 descends to a Quillen pair*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & t_! & \\ \text{Ss} & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \perp \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} \\ & t^! & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* In light of Theorem 1.30, it suffices to show that  $t^!$  takes a quasi-category to a Segal space. For, if this is the case,  $t^!: (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} \rightarrow \text{Ss}$  takes fibrant objects to fibrant objects and we already know that it takes Joyal fibrations to vertical fibrations. Hence,  $t^!$  takes fibrations between fibrant objects in  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$  to fibrations between fibrant objects in  $\text{Ss}$ .

Take then a quasi-category  $X$ . We know that  $t^!(X)$  is vertically fibrant by Theorem 1.30, so it is enough to show that, for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\varphi^m \setminus t^!(X)$  is a trivial Kan fibration (see Remark 1.2). This map is isomorphic to  $k^!(X^{\varphi^m})$  by (15). Now,  $\varphi^m$  is a trivial cofibration in  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$ , so  $X^{\varphi^m}$  is a trivial Kan fibration and therefore so is  $k^!(X^{\varphi^m})$  by Proposition 1.28. This concludes our proof. □

Finally, there is an adjoint pair

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & p_! & \\ \Delta \times \Delta & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \perp \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \Delta \\ & i_! & \end{array}$$

where  $p_1$  is the projection functor onto the first factor and  $i_1$  sends  $[n] \in \Delta$  to  $([n], [0]) \in \Delta \times \Delta$ . Precomposition gives then an adjoint pair

$$(16) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & p_1^* = (-) \circ p_1 & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathbf{sSet} & \perp & \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & i_1^* = (-) \circ i_1 & \end{array}$$

We get

$$(17) \quad p_1^*(-) \cong (-) \square \Delta[0] \cong c_v(-) \quad \text{and} \quad i_1^*(-) = (-)_{\bullet,0}$$

(see Appendix, (34) for the definition of the functor  $c_v$ ).

1.2.2. *Two equivalences, in two directions.* We want to show that there are Quillen equivalences

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & p_1^* & & t_! & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowright & \\ (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} & \perp & \mathbf{CSs} & \perp & (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} \\ & \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowleft & \\ & i_1^* & & t^! & \end{array}$$

We start by addressing the leftmost adjoint pair.

**Lemma 1.32.** *There is a Quillen pair*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & p_1^* & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} & \perp & \mathbf{CSs} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & i_1^* & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Since  $p_1^* = c_v$ , it sends monomorphisms to monomorphisms, hence it preserves cofibrations. On the other hand, by Proposition 1.26 every fibration in  $\mathbf{CSs}$  is a horizontal fibration and horizontal fibrations are row-wise Joyal fibrations. Since  $i_1^*(-) = (-)_{\bullet,0}$ , it then preserves fibrations.  $\square$

In order to show  $p_1^* \dashv i_1^*$  is a Quillen equivalence, we need to consider a special fibrant replacement in  $\mathbf{CSs}$  of  $p_1^*(X)$ , for  $X$  a quasi-category.

Recall that there is a functor

$$J: \mathbf{QCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{Kan}$$

from the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{sSet}$  spanned by quasi-categories to the full subcategory of  $\mathbf{sSet}$  spanned by Kan complexes. It associates to each quasi-category its largest sub-Kan complex and takes Joyal equivalences (resp. Joyal fibrations) between quasi-categories to Kan-Quillen equivalences (resp. Kan fibrations) between Kan complexes (see [JT07], Prop 1.16). We then define a functor

$$(19) \quad \Gamma: \mathbf{QCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}, \quad X \mapsto ([m] \mapsto J(X^{\Delta[m]}))$$

**Remark 1.33.**  $\Gamma$  is the quasi-categorical generalization of the classifying diagram functor (see Definition 1.8).

For  $X$  a quasi-category, we have

$$i_1^*\Gamma(X) = \Gamma(X)_{\bullet,0} \cong X,$$

By adjointness, we have a map  $p_1^*(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X)$ . This is the sought fibrant approximation to  $p_1^*(X)$ .

**Proposition 1.34** ([JT07], Prop 4.10). *Given a quasi-category  $X$ ,  $\Gamma(X)$  is a complete Segal space and the natural map  $p_1^*(X) \rightarrow \Gamma(X)$  is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{CSs}$ .*

We are now ready to prove the

**Theorem 1.35** ([JT07], Thm 4.11). *There is a Quillen equivalence*

$$(20) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & p_1^* & \\ \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft \\ (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} & \perp & \mathbf{CSs} \\ \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright \\ & i_1^* & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.32, (20) is a Quillen pair. Since every object in  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$  is cofibrant, in order to conclude we need to show that

- (a) for every complete Segal space  $X$ , the counit map  $\epsilon: p_1^* i_1^* X \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{CSs}$ ;
- (b) for every quasi-category  $K$ , the map  $K \rightarrow i_1^* R p_1^* K$  (induced by the unit map) is a Joyal equivalence, where  $p_1^* K \rightarrow R p_1^* K$  is a fibrant replacement of  $p_1^* K$  in  $\mathbf{CSs}$ .

Since  $\mathbf{CSs}$  is a left Bousfield localization of the horizontal model structure on  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  (see Proposition 1.26), (a) follows if we can show that  $\epsilon_{\bullet,n}: X_{\bullet,0} \rightarrow X_{\bullet,n}$  is a Joyal equivalence for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $(p_1^* i_1^* X)_{\bullet,n} = (c_v(X_{\bullet,0}))_{\bullet,n} = X_{\bullet,0}$ ). But  $\epsilon_{\bullet,n}$  is just the map  $X_{\bullet,0} \rightarrow X_{\bullet,n}$  obtained from the unique map  $[n] \rightarrow [0]$ , so it is a Joyal equivalence because a (complete) Segal space is vertically fibrant, hence categorically constant by Proposition 4.18. Thus (a) holds. As for (b), a fibrant replacement of  $p_1^* K$  in  $\mathbf{CSs}$  can be taken as  $\Gamma(K)$  by Proposition 1.34. In this case,  $R p_1^* K \cong K$  and  $K \rightarrow i_1^* R p_1^* K$  is (isomorphic to) the identity map. This concludes the proof.  $\square$

We are also now ready to show that the right adjunction in (18) is a Quillen equivalence.

**Theorem 1.36** ([JT07], Thm 4.12). *There is a Quillen equivalence*

$$(21) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & t_! & \\ \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft \\ \mathbf{CSs} & \perp & (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}} \\ \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright \\ & t^! & \end{array}$$

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $(t_!, t^!)$  as in (21) is a Quillen pair. For, the composite  $t_! p_1^*: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$  is isomorphic to the identity functor since, for all  $K \in \mathbf{sSet}$ ,

$$t_! p_1^*(K) \cong t_!(K \square \Delta[0]) \cong K \times k_!(\Delta[0]) \cong K,$$

thanks to (15) and the fact that  $k_!(\Delta[0]) \cong \Delta_0$ . By adjointness,  $i_1^* t^!$  is also isomorphic to the identity functor. So, if  $(t_!, t^!)$  is a Quillen pair, then it is a Quillen equivalence because Quillen equivalences satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property among Quillen pairs.

To show that  $(t_!, t^!)$  in (21) is a Quillen pair, it is enough to show that  $t^!$  carries quasi-categories to complete Segal spaces. If  $X$  is a quasi-category, then  $t^!(X)$  is a Segal space, so we need to show that the map  $u_0 \backslash t^!(X)$  is a trivial Kan fibration (see Proposition 1.21). But now, by (15),  $u_0 \backslash t^!(X)$  is isomorphic to  $k^!(X^{u_0})$  and this is a trivial Kan fibration thanks to Proposition 1.28 (since  $u_0$  is a trivial cofibration). This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2. SIMPLICIAL CATEGORIES: THE NATURAL MODEL

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , an  $\infty$ -category should come equipped with (at least):

- a notion of  $n$ -morphism;
- a composition rule for  $n$ -morphisms;
- identity  $n$ -morphisms.

*Simplicial categories* (meaning simplicially enriched categories) are a natural way to accomplish this. Indeed, if  $\mathbb{C}$  is a simplicial category, one has, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n+1)$ -morphisms given by the  $n$ -simplices of the mapping spaces  $\text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)$  (for  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ ) and  $\mathbb{C}$  carries a composition law. A simplicial category  $\mathbb{C}$  is also a natural example of a homotopy theory (in the sense specified in the Introduction) because it automatically comes with mapping spaces, whose set of path components can be used to define the homotopy category of  $\mathbb{C}$ .

If  $\mathbb{C}$  is *locally Kan*, i.e. each mapping space  $\text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)$  is a Kan complex, then:

- all  $n$ -morphisms of  $\mathbb{C}$  are (homotopically) invertible, for  $n \geq 2$ ;
- $\mathbb{C}$  is enriched over  $\infty\text{-Gpd} = (\infty, 0)\text{-Cat}$ .

Thus, locally Kan simplicial categories ought to be models for  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. The work of [Ber07] and [Lur09] shows that there is a model category structure on the category  $\text{SCat}$  of simplicial categories for which the fibrant objects are exactly the locally Kan simplicial categories. Furthermore,  $\text{SCat}$  with this model structure is Quillen equivalent to  $(\text{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$ .

## 2.1. The model category structure on $\text{SCat}$ .

**Definition 2.1.** A *simplicial category* is a  $(\text{sSet}, \times, \Delta[0])$ -enriched category.

A small simplicial category  $\mathbb{C}$  comes with a *set of objects*  $\text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$  and, for  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ , with a *mapping space*  $\text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)$ . We also have a composition  $\circ: \text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(z, y) \times \text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, z)$  and an identity map  $\text{id}_x: x \rightarrow x$ , for every  $x, y, z \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ .

Small simplicial categories and simplicial functors between them form a category, denoted by  $\text{SCat}$ .

**Proposition 2.2** ([Ber07], Prop 3.3).  *$\text{SCat}$  is a complete and cocomplete category. Furthermore, the object functor  $\text{Ob}: \text{SCat} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  commutes with (co)limits.*

Recall the canonical model structure on  $\text{Cat}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *There is a model category structure on the category  $\text{Cat}$  of small categories, called the canonical model category structure on  $\text{Cat}$ , for which a functor  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is*

- (1) *a weak equivalence if and only if it is an equivalence of categories;*
- (2) *a cofibration if and only if it is injective on objects;*
- (3) *a fibration if and only if it is an isofibration, that is, for every object  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and every isomorphism  $t: f(c) \rightarrow d$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ , there is an isomorphism  $i: c \rightarrow c'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $f(i) = t$ .*

This result is folklore.<sup>2</sup> Note that in this model category structure every small category is both fibrant and cofibrant. There are adjunctions

$$(22) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \begin{array}{c} (-)_0 \\ \perp \\ \perp \\ \pi_0 \end{array} & \\ & \begin{array}{c} \curvearrowright \\ \curvearrowleft \end{array} & \\ \text{Cat} & \xrightarrow{D} & \text{SCat} \end{array}$$

Here:

- $D$  sees every small category  $\mathcal{C}$  as a discrete simplicial category. This functor is fully faithful, so we will just write  $\mathcal{C}$  in place of  $D\mathcal{C}$ ;

<sup>2</sup> Still, the reader can look at <https://ncatlab.org/nlab/show/canonical+model+structure+on+Cat> for a detailed account.

- for  $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbf{SCat}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}_0$  is the category having the same objects and the same maps of  $\mathbb{C}$ ;
- $\pi_0$  assigns to  $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbf{SCat}$  its category of *path-components*.

**Definition 2.4.** The *category of (path-)components* of  $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbf{SCat}$  is the category  $\pi_0(\mathbb{C})$  with

- $\text{Ob}(\pi_0(\mathbb{C})) := \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ ;
- for every  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\pi_0(\mathbb{C}))$ ,  $\pi_0(\mathbb{C})(x, y) := \pi_0(\text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y))$ ;
- composition inherited from the composition law of  $\mathbb{C}$ , since  $\pi_0: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$  preserves binary products.

**Definition 2.5.** A map  $t: x \rightarrow y$  in a simplicial category  $\mathbb{C}$  is a *homotopy equivalence* if it becomes an isomorphism in  $\pi_0(\mathbb{C})$ .

**Definition 2.6.** A simplicial functor  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  between simplicial categories is a *Dwyer-Kan equivalence* (or a *DK equivalence* for short) if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (DK1) for every  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $f_{x,y}: \text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbb{D}}(fx, fy)$  is a Kan-Quillen equivalence;
- (DK2) the induced functor on categories of components  $\pi_0(f): \pi_0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbb{D})$  is essentially surjective.

**Remark 2.7.** (DK1) says that  $f$  is homotopically fully faithful. In presence of (DK1), condition (DK2) implies that  $\pi_0(f)$  is an equivalence of categories.

**Remark 2.8.** If  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a functor between ordinary categories, then (DK1) says that  $f$  is fully faithful, whereas (DK2) says that  $\pi_0(f) \cong f$  is essentially surjective.

**Theorem 2.9** ([Ber07], Thm 1.1). *There is a right proper and cofibrantly generated model category structure on  $\mathbf{SCat}$  for which a simplicial functor  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is:*

- a weak equivalence if and only if it is a Dwyer-Kan equivalence;
- a fibration if and only if it satisfies the following two properties:
  - (F1) for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_{x,y}: \text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbb{D}}(fx, fy)$  is a Kan fibration;
  - (F2)  $\pi_0(f): \pi_0(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \pi_0(\mathbb{D})$  is an isofibration;
- a cofibration if and only if it has the left lifting property with respect to all simplicial functors which are both weak equivalences and fibrations.

We call the model category structure of Theorem 2.9 above the *Bergner model category structure* on  $\mathbf{SCat}$ .

**Remark 2.10.** Since every functor  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between ordinary categories satisfies (F1), (F2) ensures that  $f$  is a fibration in  $\mathbf{Cat}$  if and only if it is a fibration in  $\mathbf{SCat}$ . Thus, the model category structure induced on  $\mathbf{Cat} \subseteq \mathbf{SCat}$  by the adjoint pair  $(-)_0 \dashv D$  is the canonical one (see also Remark 2.7).

**Remark 2.11.** A small simplicial category  $\mathbb{C}$  is fibrant in the model category of Theorem 2.9 is fibrant if and only if it is *locally Kan* in the sense that, for all  $x, y \in \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C})$ ,  $\text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)$  is a Kan complex.

The proof of Theorem 2.9 is slightly technical, so we will omit it. Let us instead take a look at what the generating cofibrations for  $\mathbf{SCat}$  should be. There is a functor

$$(23) \quad U: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$$

where, for  $K \in \mathbf{sSet}$ ,  $UK$  is the simplicial category with  $\text{Ob}(UK) := \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\text{Map}_{UK}(0, 1) := K$ ,  $\text{Map}_{UK}(0, 0) := \text{Map}_{UK}(1, 1) := \Delta[0]$  and  $\text{Map}_{UK}(1, 0) := \emptyset$ .

**Remark 2.12.**  $U$  has the property that, for any simplicial category  $\mathbb{C}$  and any simplicial set  $K$ , the data of a simplicial functor  $UK \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  amount exactly to the choice of objects  $x, y$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  and of a map  $K \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y)$  in  $\mathbf{sSet}$ .

Suppose now that  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a trivial fibration in  $\mathbf{SCat}$ . Then, for every  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , every solid diagram below in  $\mathbf{sSet}$  admits a dotted lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \partial\Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbb{C}}(x, y) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow f_{x,y} \\ \Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Map}_{\mathbb{D}}(fx, fy) \end{array}$$

because  $f_{x,y}$  is a trivial Kan fibration. Equivalently, every solid diagram below in  $\mathbf{SCat}$  admits a dotted lift

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U\partial\Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \text{dotted} & \downarrow f \\ U\Delta[n] & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{D} \end{array}$$

so that  $f$  must have the right lifting property with respect to all maps  $U\partial\Delta[n] \rightarrow U\Delta[n]$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $f$  is a DK equivalence for all objects  $d \in \mathbb{D}$  there is a homotopy equivalence  $s: fx \rightarrow d$  in  $\mathbb{D}$ , for some  $x \in \mathbb{C}$ . By (F2), there is a homotopy equivalence  $t: x \rightarrow x'$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(t) = s$ . In particular,  $d = fx'$ , so  $f$  must be onto on objects. Equivalently,  $f$  must have the right lifting property with respect to the unique map  $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$ , where  $\emptyset$  and  $\mathbb{1}$  denote the initial and the terminal simplicial category respectively. We then get the following

**Lemma 2.13** ([Ber07], Prop 3.2). *A map  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  is a trivial fibration in  $\mathbf{SCat}$  if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to  $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbb{1}$  and to all the maps  $U\partial\Delta[n] \rightarrow U\Delta[n]$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

One can give a similar characterization for a set of generating acyclic cofibrations in  $\mathbf{SCat}$ , though this is slightly more convoluted. See [Ber07], Section 2.

**2.2.  $\mathbf{SCat}$  as a presentation of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.** Recall the construction of the *homotopy coherent nerve* functor. There is a cosimplicial object

$$\mathfrak{C}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$$

such that, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}_n := \mathfrak{C}([n])$  is the simplicial category with:

- $\text{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}_n) = \{0, \dots, n\}$ ;
- for every  $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ , if  $i > j$ , then  $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}_n}(i, j) = \emptyset$ , otherwise  $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}_n}(i, j)$  is the nerve of the poset

$$P_{ij} := \{I \subseteq \{0, \dots, n\} : i, j \in I \text{ and } \forall l \in I (i \leq l \leq j)\};$$

- when  $i \leq k \leq j$  in  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ , the composition law on  $\mathfrak{C}_n$  is given by

$$P_{i,k} \times P_{k,j} \rightarrow P_{i,j}, \quad (I_1, I_2) \mapsto I_1 \cup I_2.$$

We then get an induced adjunction

$$(24) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta & \xrightarrow{y} & \mathbf{sSet} \\ & \Downarrow \cong & \uparrow \\ & \mathfrak{C} & \mathbf{SCat} \\ & \uparrow \epsilon & \downarrow N_{\text{coh}} \\ & \mathfrak{C} & \mathbf{SCat} \end{array} \quad \mathfrak{C} \dashv N_{\text{coh}}$$

The right adjoint  $N_{\text{coh}} = \mathbf{SCat}(\mathfrak{C}_{(-)}, \bullet)$  is the *homotopy coherent nerve* functor, whereas the left adjoint  $\mathfrak{C}: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{SCat}$  is the *categorification* (or *rigidification*) functor. Following [Lur09] and [DS11], the goal is now to show  $\mathfrak{C} \dashv N_{\text{coh}}$  is a Quillen equivalence for the Joyal model category structure on  $\mathbf{sSet}$ .

For every  $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbf{SCat}$ , let us denote by

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathfrak{C}N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

the counit map for the adjunction (24). There is a crucial property of this counit map that follows from the straightening and unstraightening constructions (see [Lur09], Section 2.2.1)

**Theorem 2.14.** *For every fibrant  $\mathbb{C} \in \mathbf{SCat}$ , the counit map*

$$\epsilon_{\mathbb{C}}: \mathfrak{C}N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

*is a Dwyer-Kan equivalence of simplicial categories.*

*Proof.* [Lur09], Thm 2.2.0.1 implies that  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies (DK1). (DK2) then follows because  $\epsilon$  is the identity on objects:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C}) &\cong \text{Ob}(\text{colim}_{\Delta[n] \rightarrow N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{C}(\Delta[n])) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{\Delta[n] \rightarrow N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C}} \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}(\Delta[n])) \\ &\cong \text{colim}_{\Delta[n] \rightarrow N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C}} [n] = \text{colim}_{\Delta[n] \rightarrow N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C}} (\Delta[n])_0 \\ &\cong (\text{colim}_{\Delta[n] \rightarrow N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C}} \Delta[n])_0 \\ &\cong (N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C})_0 \\ &\cong \text{Ob}(\mathbb{C}). \end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 2.15** ([Lur09], Prop 1.1.5.10 & Rmk 1.1.5.11). *The homotopy coherent nerve*

$$N_{\text{coh}}: \mathbf{SCat} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$$

*sends every fibration  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$  in  $\mathbf{SCat}$  to a Joyal fibration.*

**Proposition 2.16** ([DS11], Thm 8.1). *The following are equivalent for a map  $g: K \rightarrow L$  of simplicial sets:*

- (1)  $g$  is an equivalence in  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$ ;
- (2)  $\mathfrak{C}(g)$  is a Dwyer-Kan equivalence of simplicial categories.

We are now ready to prove

**Theorem 2.17.** *The adjoint pair*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathfrak{C} & \\ \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft \\ \mathfrak{sSet} & \perp & \mathfrak{SCat} \\ \curvearrowleft & & \curvearrowright \\ & N_{\text{coh}} & \end{array}$$

is a Quillen equivalence between the Joyal model category structure on simplicial sets and the Bergner model category structure on  $\mathfrak{SCat}$ .

*Proof.* Let us first show that  $\mathfrak{C} \dashv N_{\text{coh}}$  is Quillen pair. By Proposition 2.15, the right adjoint  $N_{\text{coh}}$  sends fibrations to fibrations. Thus, it suffices to show that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\Delta[n]$  is a cofibration in  $\mathfrak{SCat}$ . If  $n = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\Delta[n]$  is isomorphic to the map  $\emptyset \rightarrow \mathbf{1}$ , which is one of the generating cofibrations in  $\mathfrak{SCat}$ . For the case  $n > 0$ , if  $\Delta[-1] := \emptyset$ , there is a coequalizer diagram in  $\mathfrak{sSet}$

$$\coprod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \Delta[n-2] \rightrightarrows \coprod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \Delta[n-1] \longrightarrow \partial\Delta[n]$$

We then obtain a coequalizer diagram in  $\mathfrak{SCat}$

$$\coprod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathfrak{C}\Delta[n-2] \rightrightarrows \coprod_{0 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathfrak{C}\Delta[n-1] \longrightarrow \mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n]$$

By the way colimits are computed in  $\mathfrak{SCat}$ , we get that:

- $\text{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n]) = \text{Ob}(\mathfrak{C}\Delta[n]) = [n]$ ;
- for every  $0 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n]}(i, j) = \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}\Delta[n]}(i, j)$ , unless  $i = 0$  and  $j = n$ , in which case  $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n]}(0, n)$  is isomorphic to the boundary of the cube  $\Delta[1]^{n-1} \cong \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}\Delta[n]}(0, n)$ .

It follows that there is a pushout diagram in  $\mathfrak{SCat}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U\partial(\Delta[1]^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U\Delta[1]^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{C}\Delta[n] \end{array}$$

where  $U$  is the functor (23) and the top map picks the objects  $0, n$  of  $\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n]$  and the isomorphism  $\partial(\Delta[1]^{n-1}) \cong \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n]}(0, n)$ . Since  $U\partial(\Delta[1]^{n-1}) \rightarrow U\Delta[1]^{n-1}$  is in the saturation of the generating cofibration of  $\mathfrak{SCat}$  (see Lemma 2.13), so is  $\mathfrak{C}\partial\Delta[n] \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}\Delta[n]$ .

For every  $K \in \mathfrak{sSet}$  and every fibrant  $\mathbb{C} \in \mathfrak{SCat}$ , if  $f: K \rightarrow N_{\text{coh}}\mathbb{C}$ , its adjoint  $f^\#: \mathfrak{C}K \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is the composite  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \mathfrak{C}(f)$  and  $\epsilon_{\mathbb{C}}$  is a DK equivalence by Theorem 2.14. By Proposition 2.16,  $f$  is a Joyal equivalence if and only if  $\mathfrak{C}(f)$  is a DK equivalence. Thus,  $\mathfrak{C} \dashv N_{\text{coh}}$  is a Quillen equivalence.  $\square$

### 3. RELATIVE CATEGORIES: THE MINIMAL MODEL

In a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ , weak equivalences are often enough to describe homotopical information and constructions, but cofibrations and fibrations are useful in practice. For example:

- (1) the homotopy category  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathcal{M}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$  only depends upon the underlying category and the weak equivalences of  $\mathcal{M}$ , but cofibrations and fibrations allow a better description of its hom-sets;
- (2) as shown in [DHKS04], homotopy (co)limits can be defined in an essentially unique way using just the weak equivalences in  $\mathcal{M}$ . However, the most common models of homotopy (co)limits (via the Bousfield-Kan formula or the derived functor approach) use the rest of the structure of  $\mathcal{M}$  as well.

The idea that specifying weak equivalences should suffice to determine a homotopy theory is made concrete by *relative categories*. A relative category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  is a minimal presentation for the categorical localization  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ , but the *hammock localization* functor also provides mapping spaces for it ([DK80]). Moreover, the category  $\text{RelCat}$  of small relative categories admits a model category structure which is Quillen equivalent to the complete Segal space model category structure on  $\mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}$  ([BK12]). Hence:

- (1)  $\text{RelCat}$  presents the homotopy theory of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.
- (2) Being a relative category (with weak equivalences given by the ones coming from the model category structure),  $\text{RelCat}$  itself is a homotopy theory for (the homotopy theory of)  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

Thus, relative categories provide a natural *internal model*<sup>3</sup> for the homotopy theory of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

**3.1. Generalities and Hammock Localization.** Let us start by recalling what we mean by a relative category.

**Definition 3.1.**

- (1) A *relative category* is a pair  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category and  $\mathcal{W}$  is a wide subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$ <sup>4</sup>. Maps in  $\mathcal{W}$  are called *weak equivalences* of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ .
- (2) A *relative functor* from the relative category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  to the relative category  $(\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{W}')$  is a functor  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  such that  $f(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}'$ .

Small relative categories and relative functors form a category, denoted by  $\text{RelCat}$ . There are adjoints

$$(25) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{min} & \\ & \perp & \\ \text{Cat} & \xrightarrow{\text{und}} & \text{RelCat} \\ & \perp & \\ & \text{max} & \end{array}$$

Here, for  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) \in \text{RelCat}$  and  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}$ :

- $\text{und}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) := \mathcal{C}$ , the *underlying category* to  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ ;
- $\text{min}(\mathcal{C}) := (\mathcal{C}, \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}))$ , the *minimal relative category* associated to  $\mathcal{C}$ ;
- $\text{max}(\mathcal{C}) := (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C})$ , the *maximal relative category* associated to  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 3.2.** The *homotopy category* of  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) \in \text{RelCat}$  is the category  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  given by the localization  $\mathcal{C}[\mathcal{W}^{-1}]$ .

**Remark 3.3.** For  $\mathcal{C} \in \text{Cat}$ ,  $\text{Ho}(\text{min}(\mathcal{C})) \cong \mathcal{C}$ , whereas  $\text{Ho}(\text{max}(\mathcal{C})) \cong 1$ , the terminal category.

To each relative category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ , we can associate a whole simplicial category, thus providing mapping spaces for  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ .

**Proposition 3.4** ([DK80], Prop 3.1 & Prop 3.3). *There is a functor*

$$(26) \quad L^H: \text{RelCat} \rightarrow \text{SCat}$$

*called the hammock localization functor having the following properties, for every small relative category  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$ :*

<sup>3</sup> Up to size issues.

<sup>4</sup> This means that  $\mathcal{W}$  is a subcategory of  $\mathcal{C}$  containing all the objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(1) there is an equivalence of categories

$$\pi_0(L^H(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})) \simeq \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}),$$

where  $\pi_0(L^H(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}))$  is the category of components of  $L^H(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  (see Definition 2.4);

(2) if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a map in  $\mathcal{C}$ , then, for all  $Z \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , there are induced maps

$$f_*: \text{Map}_{L^H(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})}(Z, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{L^H(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})}(Z, Y)$$

and

$$f^*: \text{Map}_{L^H(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{L^H(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})}(X, Z),$$

which are Kan-Quillen equivalences if  $f$  is in  $\mathcal{W}$ .

An explicit construction of  $L^H$  can be found in [DK80], Section 2.1.

**3.2. The induced model structure from CSs.** Consider the functor

$$K: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \text{RelCat}, \quad ([m], [n]) \mapsto \min([m]) \times \max([n]).$$

Extending it along the Yoneda embedding  $\mathbf{y}: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\text{Set}$  gives an adjoint pair

$$(27) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & K = \text{Lan}_{\mathbf{y}}(K) & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathbf{s}^2\text{Set} & \perp & \text{RelCat} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & N_{\text{Rzk}} & \end{array}$$

where  $N_{\text{Rzk}}$  is the classifying diagram functor (see Definition 1.7). Thus, the  $(m, n)$ -bisimplices of  $N_{\text{Rzk}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  can be described as the relative functors

$$\min([m]) \times \max([n]) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}).$$

Analogously to what happens for the Thomason model structure on  $\text{Cat}$  (see [Tho80]), it turns out that, in order to transfer the complete Segal space model structure to a Quillen equivalent one on  $\text{RelCat}$ , one needs to modify the above adjunction. For this purpose, we introduce some operations on *relative posets*, i.e. relative categories  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})$  in which  $\mathcal{C}$  is a poset.

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  be a relative poset.

(1) The *terminal subdivision* of  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  is the relative poset  $\xi_t(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  defined as follows:

- the underlying poset of  $\xi_t(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  has elements given by the poset monomorphisms  $[n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  (for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and, for two such monomorphisms  $x_1: [n_1] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  and  $x_2: [n_2] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ ,

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \iff \exists s: [n_1] \rightarrow [n_2] \ (x_2 s = x_1)^5;$$

- $x_1 \leq x_2$  is a weak equivalence in  $\xi_t(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  if and only if  $x_1(n_1) \leq x_2(n_2)$  is a weak equivalence in  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$ .

(2) The *initial subdivision* of  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  is the relative poset  $\xi_i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  defined as follows:

- the underlying poset of  $\xi_i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  is the opposite of the underlying poset of  $\xi_t(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$ ;
- $x_2 \leq x_1$  is a weak equivalence in  $\xi_i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  if and only if  $x_2(0) \leq x_1(0)$  is a weak equivalence in  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$ .

**Remark 3.6.** The underlying poset of  $\xi_t(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$  can also be described as having elements given by  $(n+1)$ -tuples  $(x_0, \dots, x_n)$  of elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  such that  $x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n$ , with  $(x_0, \dots, x_n) \leq (y_0, \dots, y_m)$  if and only if  $n \leq m$  and every  $x_i$  is (exactly) one of the  $y'_j$ 's.

<sup>5</sup> Note that if such an  $s$  exists, it must be unique, because  $x_2$  is a monomorphism.

Given a relative poset  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$ , there are relative functors

$$(28) \quad \pi_t: \xi_t(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W}) \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_i: \xi_i(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W})$$

given by evaluating an element  $x: [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  at  $n$  and at  $0$  respectively.

Let  $\text{RelPos}$  be the full subcategory of  $\text{RelCat}$  spanned by the relative posets. Then the terminal and the initial subdivision extend to endofunctors

$$\xi_t, \xi_i: \text{RelPos} \rightarrow \text{RelPos}$$

For a map  $f: (\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{W}')$  of relative posets and a poset monomorphism  $x: [n] \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\xi_t f(x) = \xi_i f(x)$  is the monomorphism in the epi-mono factorization of  $f \circ x$ . The projection functors  $\pi_t, \pi_i$  become natural transformations

$$\pi_t: \xi_t \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{RelPos}} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_i: \xi_i \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{RelPos}}$$

**Definition 3.7.** The *two-fold subdivision* functor is

$$\xi := \xi_t \xi_i: \text{RelPos} \rightarrow \text{RelPos}$$

Set also

$$(29) \quad \pi := \pi_i \circ (\pi_t)_{\xi_i}: \xi \rightarrow \text{Id}_{\text{RelPos}}$$

We use  $\xi$  to modify the adjunction (27). Consider the functor

$$K_\xi: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta, \quad ([m], [n]) \mapsto \xi(\min([m]) \times \max([n]))$$

We obtain the adjoint pair

$$(30) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & K_\xi = \text{Lan}_{\mathbf{y}}(K_\xi) & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \mathbf{s}^2\text{Set} & \perp & \text{RelCat} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & N_\xi & \end{array}$$

For  $(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) \in \text{RelCat}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$N_\xi(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})_{m,n} = \text{RelCat}(\xi(\min([m]) \times \min([n])), (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W})).$$

We can now use this adjunction to transfer the model structure CSs to  $\text{RelCat}$ .

**Theorem 3.8** ([BK12], Thm 6.1). *There is a cofibrantly generated, left proper model category structure on  $\text{RelCat}$  in which a relative functor  $f: (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{W}')$  is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) if and only if  $N_\xi(f)$  is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) in CSs. Furthermore, every cofibrant object is a relative poset.*

So, in this model structure, every relative category (say,  $(\text{Kan}, \mathcal{W}_{\text{KQ}})$  with  $\mathcal{W}_{\text{KQ}}$  being the class of Kan-Quillen equivalences) is weakly equivalent to a poset!

We call the model category structure of Theorem 3.8 the *Barwick-Kan model structure* on  $\text{RelCat}$ .

From Theorem 3.8 it is immediate that  $K_\xi \dashv N_\xi$  is a Quillen pair  $\text{CSs} \rightarrow \text{RelCat}$ .

**Theorem 3.9** ([BK12], Prop 10.3 & Thm 6.1). *There is a Quillen equivalence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & K_\xi & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \text{CSs} & \perp & \text{RelCat} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & N_\xi & \end{array}$$

where  $\text{RelCat}$  has the Barwick-Kan model structure.

Therefore, the Barwick-Kan model structure turns  $\mathbf{RelCat}$  into a presentation of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

The functor  $N_\xi$  is needed to detect fibrations in  $\mathbf{RelCat}$ . However, there are other ways to decide whether a relative functor is a weak equivalence. The natural transformation  $\pi: \xi \rightarrow \mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{RelPos}}$  of (29) gives rise to a natural transformation

$$(31) \quad \pi^*: N_{\mathbf{Rzk}} \rightarrow N_\xi$$

**Proposition 3.10** ([BK12], Lemma 5.4). *The natural transformation  $\pi^*$  of (31) is a pointwise vertical weak equivalence of bisimplicial sets.*

The above Proposition gives the equivalence between the first two assertions in the following

**Theorem 3.11** ([BK10], Thm 1.4). *The following are equivalent, for a map  $f$  in  $\mathbf{RelCat}$ .*

- (1)  $f$  is a weak equivalence in the Barwick-Kan model structure.
- (2)  $N_{\mathbf{Rzk}}(f)$  is a weak equivalence in  $\mathbf{CSs}$ .
- (3)  $L^H(f)$  is a Dwyer-Kan equivalence of simplicial categories.

We conclude by stating the following result which ensures that fibrant objects in the Barwick-Kan model structure include many of the better-behaved presentations of homotopy theory.

**Theorem 3.12** ([Mei15], Thm 4.12). *The underlying relative category of every fibration category is a fibrant object in the Barwick-Kan model structure in  $\mathbf{RelCat}$ .*

#### 4. APPENDIX: ON BISIMPLICIAL SETS

We collect here a lot of facts about bisimplicial sets and their homotopy theories.

4.1. **Generalities.** Let  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  be the category of bisimplicial sets. It can be described as:

- the category  $\mathbf{sPrSh}(\Delta)$  of functors  $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}$ ;
- the category  $\mathbf{PrSh}(\Delta \times \Delta)$  of set-valued presheaves over the product category  $\Delta \times \Delta$ .

Given  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we write

$$X_m := X([m]) \quad \text{and} \quad X_{m,n} := (X_m)(n).$$

The elements of the set  $X_{m,n}$  are the  $(m, n)$ -bisimplices of  $X$ . The simplicial sets

$$(32) \quad X_{m,\bullet} := X_m \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\bullet,n}: [m] \mapsto X_{m,n}$$

are called the  $m$ -th column and the  $n$ -th row of  $X$  respectively.

There are embeddings

$$(33) \quad c_h: \mathbf{sSet} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}, \quad K \mapsto ([m] \mapsto K)$$

$$(34) \quad c_v: \mathbf{sSet} \longrightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}, \quad K \mapsto ([m], [n] \mapsto K_m)$$

They see a simplicial set as a bisimplicial set constant in horizontal degree and as a bisimplicial set constant in vertical degree respectively.

**Definition 4.1.** A bisimplicial set  $X$  is called *discrete* if there is a simplicial set  $K$  such that  $c_v(K) \cong X$ .

**Convention 4.2.** We will always consider a simplicial set  $K$  as a vertically constant bisimplicial set and just write  $K$  instead of  $c_v(K)$ .

4.2. **The vertical model category structure for  $\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}$ .** Since  $(\mathfrak{s}\text{Set})_{\text{Quillen}}$  is a simplicial, proper and combinatorial model category, we can consider the injective model category structure on  $(\mathfrak{s}\text{Set})_{\text{Quillen}}^{\Delta\text{op}}$ . We thus get the following

**Theorem 4.3.** *The category  $\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}$  of bisimplicial sets has a simplicial, proper and combinatorial model category structure for which a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial set is:*

- a weak equivalence if and only if it is a vertical weak equivalence. This means that, for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the induced map  $f_m: X_m \rightarrow Y_m$  of vertical simplicial sets is a weak equivalence in  $(\mathfrak{s}\text{Set})_{\text{Quillen}}$ ;
- a cofibration if and only if it is a monomorphism;
- a fibration if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to all maps that are weak equivalences and cofibrations.

For  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}$ , the simplicial mapping space  $\text{Map}_{\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}}(X, Y)$  has  $n$ -simplices given by

$$(35) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}}(X, Y)_n = \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}(X \times c_h(\Delta[n]), Y)$$

**Definition 4.4.** We call the model structure on bisimplicial sets of Theorem 4.3, the *vertical model structure* on  $\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}$  and denote it by  $(\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set})_v$ . We call the fibrations and the trivial fibrations of  $(\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set})_v$  the *vertical fibrations* and the *vertical trivial fibrations* respectively.

**Remark 4.5.** A vertical (trivial) fibration  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial set is a column-wise (trivial) Kan fibration, i.e. each map  $f_{m,\bullet}$  is a (trivial) fibration in  $(\mathfrak{s}\text{Set})_{\text{Quillen}}$ .

$\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}$  is cartesian closed: given  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}$ , the internal hom  $Y^X$  can be described as

$$(36) \quad (Y^X)_m = \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}}(X \times \Delta[m], Y),$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  (recall Convention 4.2). Notice that  $(Y^X)_0 \cong \text{Map}_{\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set}}(X, Y)$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *The category of bisimplicial sets with the vertical model structure of Theorem 4.3 is a cartesian closed model category. This means that the terminal object in  $(\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set})_v$  is cofibrant and, for every pair of cofibrations  $i: A \rightarrow B$  and  $j: C \rightarrow D$  and for every fibration  $p: X \rightarrow Y$  in  $(\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set})_v$ , the following equivalent properties hold:*

(1) *the pushout-product map*

$$(A \times D) \coprod_{A \times C} (B \times C) \rightarrow B \times D$$

*is a cofibration. It is also a weak equivalence if either of  $i$  or  $j$  is;*

(2) *the pullback-exponential map*

$$Y^B \rightarrow Y^A \times_{X^A} X^B$$

*is a fibration. It is also a weak equivalence if either  $i$  or  $p$  is.*

4.3. **On vertical (trivial) fibrations.** The simplex category  $\Delta$  is an *elegant Reedy category* (see [BR11], Def 3.5) and the model structure  $(\mathfrak{s}^2\text{Set})_v$  is also the Reedy model structure on  $(\mathfrak{s}\text{Set})_{\text{Quillen}}^{\Delta\text{op}}$ . Practically speaking, this means we can rely on a nice(r) description of the vertical (trivial) fibrations and get more information about the vertically fibrant objects.

**Definition 4.7.** Let  $K$  and  $L$  be simplicial sets. We define their *box product* (or *external product*) to be the bisimplicial set  $K \square L$  given by

$$(K \square L)_{m,n} := K_m \times L_n,$$

with the obvious action on maps.

The assignment  $(K, L) \mapsto K \square L$  extends to a functor

$$(37) \quad (\bullet) \square (?): \mathbf{sSet} \times \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$$

Note that, for  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Delta[k] \square \Delta[l] \cong (\Delta \times \Delta)(-, ([k], [l]))$ .

The box product bifunctor has right adjoints in both variables. Namely, let  $K$  be a simplicial set; then:

- the functor  $K \square (\bullet): \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  has a right adjoint

$$(38) \quad K \setminus (\bullet): \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}, \quad X \mapsto \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}(K \square \Delta[-], X).$$

Note that, for  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\Delta[m] \setminus X \cong X_{m, \bullet}$ , the  $m$ -th column of  $X$ .

- the functor  $(\bullet) \square K: \mathbf{sSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  has a right adjoint

$$(39) \quad (\bullet) / K: \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}, \quad X \mapsto \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}(\Delta[-] \square K, X).$$

Note that, for  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $X / \Delta[n] \cong X_{\bullet, n}$ , the  $n$ -th row of  $X$ .

Thus, for  $K, L \in \mathbf{sSet}$  and  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ , we have natural isomorphisms

$$(40) \quad \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}(K \square L, X) \cong \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}(L, K \setminus X) \cong \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}(A, X/B).$$

**Remark 4.8.** We actually get *bifunctors*

$$(\bullet) \setminus (?): \mathbf{sSet}^{\text{op}} \times \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet} \quad \text{and} \quad (?)/(\bullet): \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set} \times \mathbf{sSet}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}.$$

We can now run the *Leibniz construction* machinery (see [RV14]) to obtain a bifunctor

$$(41) \quad (\bullet) \square' (?): \mathbf{sSet}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet} \times \mathbf{sSet}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet} \rightarrow \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet}$$

$$(u: K \rightarrow L, v: S \rightarrow T) \mapsto (K \square T \amalg_{K \square S} L \square S \rightarrow L \square T)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet}$  denotes the arrow category of a category  $\mathcal{C}$ . For a fixed map  $u: K \rightarrow L$  of simplicial sets, we can similarly define functors

$$(42) \quad \langle u \setminus (\bullet) \rangle: \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet}, \quad (f: X \rightarrow Y) \mapsto (L \setminus X \rightarrow L \setminus Y \times_{K \setminus Y} K \setminus X)$$

and

$$(43) \quad \langle (\bullet) / u \rangle: \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet} \rightarrow \mathbf{sSet}^{\bullet \rightarrow \bullet}, \quad (f: X \rightarrow Y) \mapsto (X / L \rightarrow Y / L \times_{Y / K} X / K)$$

As above, we get adjoint pairs

$$u \square' (\bullet) \dashv \langle u \setminus (\bullet) \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad (\bullet) \square' u \dashv \langle (\bullet) / u \rangle.$$

**Remark 4.9.** Let  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ ,  $K \in \mathbf{sSet}$ ,  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$  a map in  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  and  $v: S \rightarrow T$  a map in  $\mathbf{sSet}$ . It follows that

$$X/v \cong \langle (X \rightarrow 1)/v \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad K \setminus g \cong \langle (\emptyset \rightarrow K) \setminus g \rangle$$

where  $\emptyset$  and  $1$  denote the initial simplicial set and the terminal bisimplicial set respectively.

A somewhat standard adjointness argument proves the following

**Lemma 4.10.** *For maps  $u, v \in \mathbf{sSet}$  and  $f \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ ,*

$$(u \square' v) \dashv f \iff u \dashv \langle f/v \rangle \iff v \dashv \langle u \setminus f \rangle$$

Let us denote by  $\delta_n$  the boundary inclusion  $\partial\Delta[n] \subseteq \Delta[n]$  and by  $h_n^k$  the horn inclusion  $\Lambda^k[n] \subseteq \Delta[n]$ .

**Proposition 4.11.** *The saturations of the sets of maps*

$$(44) \quad \delta_m \square' h_n^k, \quad m \geq 0, \quad k \geq n \leq 0$$

and

$$(45) \quad \delta_m \square' \delta_n, \quad m, n \geq 0$$

are given by the class of trivial cofibrations and of cofibrations in  $(\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set})_v$  respectively.

The above Proposition together with Lemma 4.10 imply the following characterizations of vertical (trivial) fibrations.

**Proposition 4.12** ([JT07], Prop. 2.3). *The following are equivalent, for a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial set.*

- (i)  $f$  is a vertical trivial fibration;
- (ii)  $f$  has the right lifting property with respect to the maps in (45);
- (iii)  $\langle \delta_m \backslash f \rangle$  is a trivial Kan fibration for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iv)  $\langle u \backslash f \rangle$  is a trivial Kan fibration for every monomorphism  $u$  in  $\mathbf{sSet}$ ;
- (v)  $\langle f / \delta_n \rangle$  is a trivial Kan fibration for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (vi)  $\langle f / v \rangle$  is a trivial Kan fibration for every monomorphism  $v \in \mathbf{sSet}$ .

**Proposition 4.13** ([JT07], Prop. 2.5). *The following are equivalent, for a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial set.*

- (i)  $f$  is a vertical fibration;
- (ii)  $f$  has the right lifting property with respect to the maps in (44);
- (iii)  $\langle \delta_m \backslash f \rangle$  is a Kan fibration for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (iv)  $\langle u \backslash f \rangle$  is a Kan fibration for every monomorphism  $u$  in  $\mathbf{sSet}$ ;
- (v)  $\langle f / h_n^k \rangle$  is a trivial Kan fibration for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;
- (vi)  $\langle f / v \rangle$  is a trivial Kan fibration for every trivial cofibration  $v \in (\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Quillen}}$ .

**Lemma 4.14.** *If  $X$  is a vertically fibrant bisimplicial set, then each  $X_m$  is a Kan complex and the map*

$$(d_0, d_1): X_1 \rightarrow X_0 \times X_0$$

*is a Kan fibration. In particular, each of the maps  $d_0, d_1: X_1 \rightarrow X_0$  are Kan fibrations.*

**4.4. The horizontal model category structure for  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ .** Maps of bisimplicial sets which give row-wise weak equivalences in the *Joyal model structure* on  $\mathbf{sSet}$  (see [Joy], Chapter 6) are the weak equivalences for a model structure on  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ .

**Theorem 4.15** ([JT07], Prop 2.10).  *$\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  admits a model structure  $(\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set})_h$  for which a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial sets is*

- a weak equivalence if and only if it is a horizontal equivalence. This means that, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the map  $f_{\bullet, n}: X_{\bullet, n} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet, n}$  is a weak equivalence in  $(\mathbf{sSet})_{\text{Joyal}}$ , i.e. a weak categorical equivalence;
- a cofibration if and only if it is a monomorphism;
- a fibration if and only if it is a horizontal fibration, that is if  $\langle f / \delta_n \rangle$  is a Joyal fibration for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  (here  $\delta_n: \partial\Delta[n] \hookrightarrow \Delta[n]$  is the boundary inclusion).

*The model structure  $(\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set})_h$  is left proper and cartesian closed.*

**Definition 4.16.** We call the model structure of Theorem 4.15 the *horizontal model structure* on  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$  and call its fibrant objects *horizontally fibrant* bisimplicial sets.

There is some interplay between the vertical and the horizontal model structure on  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ .

**Definition 4.17.** A bisimplicial set  $X$  is *categorically constant* if the canonical map

$$X_{\bullet, n} \rightarrow X_{\bullet, 0},$$

induced by  $[n] \rightarrow [0]$ , is a Joyal equivalence for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition 4.18** ([JT07], Prop 2.8 & Prop 2.9).

- (i) *A vertically fibrant simplicial set is categorically constant.*

- (ii) A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of vertically fibrant simplicial set is a horizontal equivalence if and only if it induces a Joyal equivalence between the first rows.

*Proof.* Recall that, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $X \in \mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ ,  $X/\Delta[n]$  is isomorphic to the  $n$ -th row of  $X$ . If  $X$  is vertically fibrant, by Proposition 4.12 and Remark 4.9, the map

$$X/(\Delta[0] \hookrightarrow \Delta[n]): X/\Delta[n] \rightarrow X/\Delta[0]$$

is a trivial Kan fibration, hence also a trivial fibration in the Joyal model structure (see Example 4.20 below). Since

$$(\Delta[n] \rightarrow \Delta[0]) \circ (\Delta[0] \hookrightarrow \Delta[n]) = \text{id}_{\Delta[0]},$$

the same is true after applying  $X/(\bullet)$ , with  $X/\text{id}_{\Delta[0]} = \text{id}_{X/\Delta[0]}$ . By 2-out-of-3,  $X/(\Delta[n] \hookrightarrow \Delta[0])$  is a Joyal equivalence. This shows (i). The second claim is obtained by looking at the commutative diagrams, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{\bullet,0} & \xrightarrow{f_{\bullet,0}} & Y_{\bullet,0} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_{\bullet,n} & \xrightarrow{f_{\bullet,n}} & Y_{\bullet,n} \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are canonically induced by  $\Delta[n] \rightarrow \Delta[0]$ . □

#### 4.5. Left Bousfield Localizations.

**Definition 4.19.** Let  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}'$  be model categories with the same underlying category. Let  $\mathcal{W}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  be the classes of weak equivalences, fibrations and cofibrations in  $\mathcal{M}$  respectively. Similary, denote by  $\mathcal{W}'$ ,  $\mathcal{F}'$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  the classes of weak equivalences, fibrations and cofibrations in  $\mathcal{M}'$  respectively. We say that the model category  $\mathcal{M}'$  is a *left Bousfield localization* of the model category  $\mathcal{M}$  if  $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{W}'$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ .

**Example 4.20.** The Kan-Quillen model structure on simplicial sets is a left Bousfield localization of the Joyal model structure on simplicial sets (see [JT07], Prop 1.15).

Keeping the same notations as in Definition 4.19, we need to have  $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , whereas the trivial fibrations must be the same in  $\mathcal{M}$  and in  $\mathcal{M}'$ . The difference between the fibrations and the weak equivalences in  $\mathcal{M}$  and in  $\mathcal{M}'$  is only witnessed by the non-fibrant objects of the latter, as explained by the following

**Proposition 4.21** ([JT07], Prop 7.21). *Let  $\mathcal{M}'$  be a left Bousfield localization of a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then a map between  $\mathcal{M}'$ -fibrant objects is a fibration (resp. a weak equivalence) in  $\mathcal{M}'$  if and only if it is a fibration (resp. a weak equivalence) in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

There is a general machinery to produce left Bousfield localizations out of sets of maps in a model category  $\mathcal{M}$ . We describe it here in the specific case when  $\mathcal{M}$  is  $(\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set})_v$ . The general theory can be found in [Hir03], Chapter 3.

Given a set  $S$  of maps in  $\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}$ , we say that a bisimplicial set  $Z$  is (*vertically*)  $S$ -local if it is vertically fibrant and, for every map  $s: U \rightarrow V$  in  $S$ , the induced map on function complexes

$$(46) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}}(s, Z): \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}}(V, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}}(U, Z)$$

is a Kan-Quillen equivalence of simplicial sets. Furthermore, we say that a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial sets is a (*vertical*)  $S$ -local equivalence if, for all  $S$ -local bisimplicial set  $Z$ , the induced map

$$(47) \quad \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}}(f, Z): \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}}(Y, Z) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{s}^2\mathbf{Set}}(X, Z)$$

is a Kan-Quillen equivalence of simplicial sets.

**Remark 4.22.** All maps in  $S$  and all vertical equivalences are  $S$ -local equivalences.

**Theorem 4.23.** *Let  $S$  be a set of maps of bisimplicial sets. Then there is a left proper, simplicial and combinatorial model category, denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$ , having  $s^2\text{Set}$  as the underlying category. A map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of bisimplicial sets is:*

- a weak equivalence in  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  if and only if it is an  $S$ -local equivalence;
- a cofibration in  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  if and only if it is a monomorphism;
- a fibration in  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  if and only if it has the right lifting property with respect to all  $S$ -local equivalences which are also cofibrations.

The above result is a special case of [Hir03], Thm 4.1.1.

We call the model category  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  the left Bousfield localization of  $(s^2\text{Set})_v$  at  $S$ . By Remark 4.22,  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  is indeed a left Bousfield localization of  $(s^2\text{Set})_v$  (in the sense of Definition 4.19).

**Proposition 4.24** ([Hir03], Prop. 3.4.1). *Let  $S$  be a set of maps of bisimplicial sets and let  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  be the left Bousfield localization at  $S$ . Then:*

- a bisimplicial set  $X$  is fibrant in  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  if and only if it is an  $S$ -local object;
- a map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of  $S$ -local objects is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) in  $\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)$  if and only if it is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) in  $(s^2\text{Set})_v$ ;
- for  $X, Y \in s^2\text{Set}$ ,

$$\text{Map}_{\mathcal{L}_S((s^2\text{Set})_v)}(X, Y) = \text{Map}_{s^2\text{Set}}(X, Y).$$

## CONCLUSION

We surveyed here various presentations of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories as model categories and we exhibited Quillen equivalences among some of those. By taking the direction of a Quillen equivalence to be given by the right adjoint, we can picture these equivalences as follows

$$(48) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & N_{\text{coh}} & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & \\ \text{SCat} & & (s^2\text{Set})_{\text{Joyal}} & & \text{CSs} & & \text{RelCat} \\ & & & & i_1^* & & \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

Since right Quillen functors compose (as well as Quillen equivalences), we managed to find a functor  $R: \text{HMod} \rightarrow \text{QCat}$  as stated in the Introduction, for  $\text{HMod} = \text{CSs}$ ,  $\text{SCat}$  and  $\text{RelCat}$ . If we do not insist in only having (right) Quillen functors in our picture, we can expand (48) as follows

$$(49) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & L^H & & \\ & & & & \curvearrowright & & \\ & & N_{\text{coh}} & & & & \\ & \curvearrowright & & \curvearrowleft & & & \\ \text{SCat} & & (s^2\text{Set})_{\text{Joyal}} & & \text{CSs} & & \text{RelCat} \\ & & & & i_1^* & & \\ & & & & & & N_{\text{Rzk}} \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

In this case, all the displayed functors are relative functors between relative categories. In fact, they are *DK-equivalences* of relative categories<sup>6</sup>, in the sense that they are relative functors  $F: (\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}', \mathcal{W}')$  which induce equivalences of homotopy categories and Kan-Quillen equivalences between

<sup>6</sup> Up to size issues.

the mapping spaces in the hammock localizations of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$ . Thus, all these functors (up to taking derived functors for the right Quillen maps) provide a suitable notion of “equivalence between homotopy theories”, again in the spirit of the Introduction.

## REFERENCES

- [Ber07] Julia E. Bergner, *A model category structure on the category of simplicial categories*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359** (2007), no. 5, 2043–2058, doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-06-03987-0.
- [BK10] Charles Barwick and Daniel Kan, *In the category of relative categories the rezk equivalences are exactly the dk-equivalences*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1012.1541> arXiv:1012.1541.
- [BK12] C. Barwick and D. M. Kan, *Relative categories: another model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories*, Indag. Math. (N.S.) **23** (2012), no. 1-2, 42–68, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2011.10.002> doi:10.1016/j.indag.2011.10.002.
- [BR11] Julia E. Bergner and Charles Rezk, *Reedy categories and the  $\theta$ -construction*, arXiv:1110.1066.
- [DHKS04] William G. Dwyer, Philip S. Hirschhorn, Daniel M. Kan, and Jeffrey H. Smith, *Homotopy limit functors on model categories and homotopical categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 113, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [DK80] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan, *Calculating simplicial localizations*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **18** (1980), no. 1, 17–35, [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049\(80\)90113-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0022-4049(80)90113-9) doi:10.1016/0022-4049(80)90113-9.
- [DS11] Daniel Dugger and David I. Spivak, *Mapping spaces in quasi-categories*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **11** (2011), no. 1, 263–325, <http://dx.doi.org/10.2140/agt.2011.11.263> doi:10.2140/agt.2011.11.263.
- [Hir03] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [Joy] André Joyal, *The theory of quasi-categories and its applications*.
- [JT07] André Joyal and Myles Tierney, *Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces*, Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics, Contemp. Math., vol. 431, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 277–326, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/conm/431/08278> doi:10.1090/conm/431/08278.
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9781400830558> doi:10.1515/9781400830558.
- [Mei15] Lennart Meier, *Fibrant categories are fibrant relative categories*, <http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.02036> arXiv:1503.02036.
- [Rez01] Charles Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), no. 3, 973–1007 (electronic), <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02653-2> doi:10.1090/S0002-9947-00-02653-2.
- [RV14] Emily Riehl and Dominic Verity, *The theory and practice of Reedy categories*, Theory Appl. Categ. **29** (2014), 256–301.
- [Seg74] Graeme Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.
- [Tho80] R. W. Thomason, *Cat as a closed model category*, Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle **21** (1980), no. 3, 305–324.

*E-mail address:* mvergura@uwo.ca