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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study bifurcation of limit cycles from the equator of piecewise polynomial systems with no singular points at infinity. We develop a method for computing the Lyapunov constants at infinity of piecewise polynomial systems. In particular, we consider cubic piecewise polynomial systems and study limit cycle bifurcations in the neighborhood of the origin and infinity. Moreover, an example is presented to show 11 limit cycles bifurcating from infinity.
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## 1. Introduction

One of the well-known mathematical problems is the second part of Hilbert's 16th problem, which considers the maximal number and relative positions of limit cycles bifurcating in polynomial vector fields of degree $n$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{x}=f_{n}(x, y), \quad \dot{y}=g_{n}(x, y) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to time $t$. Since Hilbert proposed the problem in 1900, a great deal of works has been done in studying this problem, for example see [1-8]. Let $H(n)$ denote the upper bound of the number of limit cycles that system (1) can have. Chen and Wang [1], and Shi [2] proved the existence of 4 limit cycles with $\{3,1\}$ distribution, i.e., $H(2) \geq 4$. However, this problem is even not

[^0]completely solved for $n=2$. For cubic systems, Yu and Han [4,5], Liu and Huang [6] proved $H(3) \geq 12$ by studying Hopf bifurcation. Later, Li et al. [7] constructed a Hamiltonian system and applied proper perturbations to prove $H(3) \geq 13$. On the other hand, Liu and Li [8] investigated the cyclicity problem for a $Z_{2}$-equivariant cubic system, and showed that this system can have 13 limit cycles, with a large-amplitude limit cycle at infinity, surrounding 12 small-amplitude limit cycles around two symmetric foci.

To completely study bifurcation of limit cycles in system (1), it is necessary to include studying the bifurcation of limit cycles at infinity. The bifurcation of limit cycles at infinity was studied by Shi [2] 30 years ago, and later the birth of a unique limit cycle at infinity is shown by Sotomayor [9]. In order to find maximal number of limit cycles bifurcating from infinity for cubic systems, Blows and Rousseau [10] computed the first five Lyapunov quantities at infinity for a class of cubic systems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=\lambda_{1} x-\eta y+A x^{2}+(B+2 D) x y+C y^{2}+\lambda_{2} x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)-y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right),  \tag{2}\\
\dot{y}=\eta x-\lambda_{1} y+D x^{2}+(E-2 A) x y-D y^{2}+x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)+\lambda_{2} y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

and studied the limit cycles bifurcating from the origin and infinity. Liu and Chen [11] constructed an example of cubic system with 6 limit cycles bifurcating from infinity. Liu and Huang [12] proved that a cubic polynomial system can have 7 limit cycles near infinity. Actually, studying the bifurcation of limit cycles at infinity is quite similar to studying Hopf bifurcation at the origin, via a transformation based on Poincaré return map. However, a uniform upper bound of the number of limit cycles bifurcating at infinity for polynomial vector fields is still unknown.

Recently, increasing interest has been focused on bifurcation of limit cycles in discontinuous or nondifferentiable, i.e., non-smooth dynamical systems. In this paper, we consider the piecewise polynomial system (or the so-called switching polynomial system) with a switching line on the $x$-axis, given in the form of

$$
(\dot{x}, \dot{y})= \begin{cases}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} X_{k}^{+}(x, y, \lambda), \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} Y_{k}^{+}(x, y, \lambda)\right), & \text { for } y>0  \tag{3}\\ \left(\sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} X_{k}^{-}(x, y, \lambda), \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} Y_{k}^{-}(x, y, \lambda)\right), & \text { for } y<0\end{cases}
$$

where $X_{k}^{ \pm}(x, y, \lambda)$ and $Y_{k}^{ \pm}(x, y, \lambda)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$ in $x$ and $y, \lambda \in \Lambda \subset \mathbf{R}^{s}$ is a parameter vector. System (3) includes two systems: the first one is called the upper system, defined for $y>0$, and the second one is called the lower system, defined for $y<0$.

The investigation of the more general piecewise systems, described by

$$
(\dot{x}, \dot{y})= \begin{cases}\left(X^{+}(x, y), Y^{+}(x, y)\right), & \text { for } y>0  \tag{4}\\ \left(X^{-}(x, y), Y^{-}(x, y)\right), & \text { for } y<0\end{cases}
$$

started a half century ago [13-15]. Here, $X^{ \pm}(x, y)$ and $Y^{ \pm}(x, y)$ are real analytic functions in a neighborhood of the origin. Note that system (4) is usually considered as a differential system with discontinuous right sides, and simply called discontinuous system. Such systems can exhibit rich complex dynamical phenomena. Since the analytic functions $X^{ \pm}(x, y)$ and $Y^{ \pm}(x, y)$ in (4) can be expanded into the form of (3) with the coefficients treated as parameters, researchers generally consider them equivalent and use either one as they wish. Filippov established some basic qualitative theory in [15] for such discontinuous systems. In the study of analytic system (4), the cyclicity problem is fundamental in the qualitative analysis. Coll et al. [16] developed a method for computing the Lyapunov constants to study bifurcation of small-amplitude limit cycles. They derived the explicit formulas for computing the first three Lyapunov quantities. Let $P(n)$ denote the maximal number of limit cycles for system (3) of degree $n$. Gasull and Torregrosa [17] obtained
$P(2) \geq 5$, showing that quadratic piecewise polynomial systems have two more limit cycles than that of quadratic smooth polynomial systems. Moreover, center conditions have been obtained for piecewise Kukles system [17], piecewise Liénard system [18] and piecewise Bautin system [19]. Note that planar smooth linear systems cannot generate limit cycles, but piecewise smooth linear systems can. In fact, Han and Zhang [20] proved $P(1) \geq 2$. Further, Huan and Yang [21], and Freire et al. [22] respectively proved $P(1) \geq 3$. Buzzi et al. [23] studied the limit cycles that bifurcate from a linear center using a piecewise linear perturbation in two zones. They proved that the maximal numbers of limit cycles that can appear with up to a Nth order perturbation are $1,1,2,3,3,3,3$ when $N=1,2, \ldots, 7$. Llibre et al. [24,25] studied the limit cycles that bifurcate from the quadratic and cubic isochronous centers when the systems are perturbed within the class of piecewise quadratic and cubic polynomial differential systems, respectively. Chen et al. [26] constructed a class of piecewise quadratic Bautin systems to show $P(2) \geq 8$. Recently, Tian and Yu [27] gave a complete classification for the quadratic Bautin system with a singular point being a center, and proved $P(2) \geq 10$. Li et al. [28] considered a piecewise cubic polynomial system to show that $P(3) \geq 15$.

So far, there are very few studies on bifurcation of limit cycles at infinity for piecewise polynomial systems. Llibre et al. [29] obtained one limit cycle bifurcating from infinity in planar piecewise linear vector fields. Li et al. [30] presented a piecewise cubic polynomial system which can have 7 limit cycles in the neighborhood of infinity. In this paper, we develop a recursive algorithm to compute the Lyapunov constants at infinity for piecewise polynomial systems, and apply it to study bifurcation of limit cycles in the following piecewise cubic polynomial system,

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}= \begin{cases}\binom{A_{1} x+A_{2} y+A_{3} x^{2}+A_{4} x y+A_{5} y^{2}+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{A_{6} x+A_{7} y+A_{8} x^{2}+A_{9} x y+A_{10} y^{2}+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, & \text { for } y>0  \tag{5}\\ \binom{B_{1} x+B_{2} y+B_{3} x^{2}+B_{4} x y+B_{5} y^{2}+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{B_{6} x+B_{7} y+B_{8} x^{2}+B_{9} x y+B_{10} y^{2}+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, & \text { for } y<0\end{cases}
$$

where $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{10}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{10}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{20}$.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we introduce a recursive procedure to compute the Lyapunov constants at the origin of system (6), which can be carried out by using a computer algebraic system such as Mathematica, Maple. Using this method, we study the center conditions and limit cycle bifurcation for one class of system (5) in Section 3. In Section 4, we develop a recursive procedure for computing the Lyapunov constants at infinity for piecewise polynomial systems. In Section 5, we give a complete calculation of the Lyapunov constants at infinity for a special case of system (5) and investigate possible simultaneous Hopf bifurcations at the origin and infinity. We will show that 13 limit cycles with either $\{9,4\}$ or $\{4,9\}$ distribution at the origin and infinity can exist in this system. In Section 6, we present another example of system (5) to show 11 limit cycles bifurcating from infinity, with a concrete numerical example to illustrate the existence of 11 limit cycles. This is a new lower bound on the number of large-amplitude limit cycles for such polynomial cubic systems near infinity.

## 2. Computation of Lyapunov quantities

In this section, we present a method for computing the Lyapunov constants at the origin of the piecewise polynomial system,

$$
(\dot{x}, \dot{y})= \begin{cases}\left(\delta x-\beta y+\sum_{k=2}^{n} X_{k}^{+}(x, y), \beta x+\delta y+\sum_{k=2}^{n} Y_{k}^{+}(x, y)\right), & \text { for } y>0  \tag{6}\\ \left(\delta x-\beta y+\sum_{k=2}^{n} X_{k}^{-}(x, y), \beta x+\delta y+\sum_{k=2}^{n} Y_{k}^{-}(x, y)\right), & \text { for } y<0\end{cases}
$$

with $\beta>0$ and $\delta \in \mathbf{R}$. For analytic smooth systems, the computation of Lyapunov quantities is the classical method of determining the center type equilibria and weak foci. We present some basic formulas for computing the Lyapunov constants of the general differential system,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{x}=\delta x-\beta y+\sum_{k=2}^{n} X_{k}(x, y)  \tag{7}\\
\dot{y}=\beta x+\delta y+\sum_{k=2}^{n} Y_{k}(x, y)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $X_{k}(x, y), Y_{k}(x, y)$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $k$ in $x$ and $y$. Introducing the polar coordinate transformation, $x=r \cos \theta$ and $y=r \sin \theta$, into (7) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}=\frac{\delta r+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}(\theta) r^{k}}{\beta+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Theta_{k}(\theta) r^{k-1}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Upsilon_{k}(\theta)=\cos \theta X_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)+\sin \theta Y_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)  \tag{9}\\
& \Theta_{k}(\theta)=\cos \theta Y_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)-\sin \theta X_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)
\end{align*}
$$

in which $X_{k}$ and $Y_{k}$ are polynomials in $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$. Further, (8) can be expressed in the power series of $r$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} R_{k}(\theta) r^{k} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{k}(\theta)$ is a polynomial in $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta r+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}(\theta) r^{k}}{\beta+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Theta_{k}(\theta) r^{k-1}} & =\frac{1}{\beta}\left[\delta r+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}(\theta) r^{k}\right]\left[1+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(-\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{\Theta_{k}(\theta)}{\beta} r^{k-1}\right)^{i}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{\beta}\left[\delta r+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}(\theta) r^{k}\right]\left[1+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Theta}_{k}(\theta) r^{k}\right] \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (10) and (11) that $R_{1}(\theta)=\frac{\delta}{\beta}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{k}(\theta)=\frac{1}{\beta}\left[\sum_{i=2}^{k-1}\left(\Upsilon_{i}(\theta) \tilde{\Theta}_{k-i}(\theta)+\delta \tilde{\Theta}_{k-1}(\theta)\right)+\Upsilon_{k}(\theta)\right], \quad k \geq 2 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution of (10) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\rho, \theta)=\sum_{i \geq 1} v_{k}(\theta) \rho^{k}, \quad|\rho| \ll 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{1}(0)=1, v_{k}(0)=0, \forall k \geq 2$. Substituting the above solution (13) into Eq. (10), we obtain $v_{1}{ }^{\prime}(\theta)=\frac{\delta}{\beta} v_{1}(\theta)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}^{\prime}(\theta)=R_{k}(\theta) \Omega_{k, k}(\theta)+R_{k-1}(\theta) \Omega_{k-1, k}(\theta)+\cdots+R_{2}(\theta) \Omega_{2, k}(\theta), \quad k \geq 2 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{i, j}(\theta)$ are polynomials in $v_{l}(\theta), 2 \leq l \leq j$. Further, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{1}(\theta) & =e^{\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{\delta}{\beta} d \theta} \\
v_{2}(\theta) & =\int_{0}^{\theta} R_{2}(\theta) v_{1}^{2}(\theta) d \theta \\
v_{3}(\theta) & =\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(R_{3}(\theta) v_{1}^{3}(\theta)+2 R_{2}(\theta) v_{2}(\theta) v_{1}(\theta)\right) d \theta  \tag{15}\\
v_{4}(\theta) & =\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(R_{4}(\theta) v_{1}^{4}(\theta)+3 R_{3}(\theta) v_{2}(\theta) v_{1}^{2}(\theta)+R_{2}(\theta)\left(v_{2}^{2}(\theta)+2 v_{3}(\theta) v_{1}(\theta)\right)\right) d \theta, \\
& \cdots \\
v_{k}(\theta) & =\int_{0}^{\theta}\left(R_{k}(\theta) \Omega_{k, k}(\theta)+R_{k-1}(\theta) \Omega_{k-1, k}(\theta)+\cdots+R_{2}(\theta) \Omega_{2, k}(\theta)\right) d \theta, \quad k \geq 2 .
\end{align*}
$$

However, as $k$ grows, computation of $v_{k}(\theta)$ becomes more and more involved by direct integration. For convenience, we present a method developed in [27] to simplify the computation, which only needs the use of multiplication in the sum formula of trigonometric functions, which can be easily implemented using a computer algebra system. Then, Eq. (14) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{k}^{\prime}(\theta)=\sum_{i=0}^{3 k-3} T_{i}(\theta) \sin (i \theta)+D_{i}(\theta) \cos (i \theta) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{i}(\theta)$ and $D_{i}(\theta)$ are polynomials in $\theta$. Thus, integrating the above equation results in

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{k}(\theta) & =\sum_{i=0}^{3 k-3} \int_{0}^{\theta}\left[T_{i}(\theta) \sin (i \theta)+D_{i}(\theta) \cos (i \theta)\right] d \theta \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{3 k-3} A_{i}(\theta) \cos (i \theta)+B_{i}(\theta) \sin (i \theta) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A_{i}(\theta)$ and $B_{i}(\theta)$ are polynomials in $\theta$.
Like for analytic systems, we also need something alike to deal with the piecewise polynomial system (6). Using the polar coordinates $x=r \cos \theta$ and $y=r \sin \theta$, system (6) can be written as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}= \begin{cases}\frac{\delta r+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}^{+}(\theta) r^{k}}{\beta+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Theta_{k}^{+}(\theta) r^{k-1}}, & \text { for } \theta \in(0, \pi)  \tag{18}\\ \frac{\delta r+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Upsilon_{k}^{-}(\theta) r^{k}}{\beta+\sum_{k=2}^{n} \Theta_{k}^{-}(\theta) r^{k-1}}, & \text { for } \theta \in(\pi, 2 \pi)\end{cases}
$$

where $\Upsilon_{k}^{ \pm}(\theta)$ and $\Theta_{k}^{ \pm}(\theta)$ are polynomials in $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$ of degrees $k+1$. Suppose $r^{+}(\rho, \theta)=\sum_{k \geq 1} v_{k}^{+}(\theta) \rho^{k}$ and $r^{-}(\rho, \theta)=\sum_{k \geq 1} v_{k}^{-}(\theta) \rho^{k}$ are respectively the solutions of the upper and lower systems of (18), satisfying $r^{+}(\rho, 0)=r^{-}(\rho, \pi)=\rho$. Although a return map cannot be simply defined for system (6) like that for smooth systems, we define the positive half-return map $\Pi^{+}(\rho)=r^{+}(\rho, \pi)$ and the negative half-return map $\Pi^{-}(\rho)=r^{-}(\rho, 2 \pi)$. Then we can define the displacement function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(\rho)=\Pi(\rho)-\rho=\Pi^{-}\left(\Pi^{+}(\rho)\right)-\rho=\sum_{k \geq 1} V_{k} \rho^{k}, \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). Here, $V_{k}$ is called the $k$ th-order Lyapunov constant of the piecewise polynomial system (6). It is not difficult to get $V_{1}=e^{\frac{2 \delta \pi}{\beta}}-1$ since $\Pi^{+}(\rho)=\Pi^{-}(\rho)=e^{\frac{\delta \pi}{\beta}} \rho+O^{ \pm}\left(\rho^{2}\right)$. Thus, $V_{1}=0$ if and only if $\delta=0$.


Fig. 1. (a) $\operatorname{Map} \Pi(\rho)$, (b) $\operatorname{Map} \Pi_{-}^{+}(\rho)$, (c) $\operatorname{Map}\left(\Pi^{-}\right)^{-1}(\rho)$.

Another method to compute the Lyapunov constants can be found in [17]. To make the computation more convenient we substitute $(x, y, t) \rightarrow(x,-y,-t)$ into the lower system of $(6)$ to obtain a new system,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=-\delta x-\beta y-\sum_{k=2}^{n} X_{k}^{-}(x,-y),  \tag{20}\\
\dot{y}=\beta x-\delta y+\sum_{k=2}^{n} Y_{k}^{-}(x,-y),
\end{array} \quad \text { for } y>0,\right.
$$

which defines a new positive half-return map $\Pi_{-}^{+}(\rho)=r_{-}^{+}(\rho, \pi)=\sum_{k \geq 1} v_{-k}^{+}(\pi) \rho^{k}$, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). Let $\left(\Pi^{-}\right)^{-1}(\rho)$ denote the inverse of the negative half-return map $\bar{\Pi}^{-}(\rho)$, as illustrated in Fig. 1(c). The map $\left(\Pi^{-}\right)^{-1}(\rho)$ of (6) is equivalent to the map $\Pi_{-}^{+}(\rho)$ of (20). Coll et al. [18] proved that the following expressions are equivalent:

$$
g(f(\rho))-\rho \quad \text { and } \quad f(\rho)-g^{-1}(\rho),
$$

where $f$ and $g$ are analytic functions satisfying that $f(0)=g(0)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=1$. Gasull and Torregrosa [17] introduced a new function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi^{-}\left(\Pi^{+}(\rho)\right)-\rho=\Pi^{+}(\rho)-\left(\Pi^{-}\right)^{-1}(\rho)=\Pi^{+}(\rho)-\Pi_{-}^{+}(\rho)=\sum_{k \geq 1} W_{k} \rho^{k} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, originally computing one positive half-return map and one negative half-return map becomes computing two positive half-return maps. It has been proved [17] that the conditions $V_{j}=0,1 \leq j \leq k-1$, $V_{k} \neq 0$ for (19) are equivalent to $W_{j}=0,1 \leq j \leq k-1, W_{k} \neq 0$ for (21). Hence, in the following, we still use
$V_{k}$ instead of $W_{k}$ for simplicity. We can use the procedure for computing $v_{k}^{+}(\pi)$ and $v_{-k}^{+}(\pi)$ to compute the Lyapunov constants for the positive half-return maps $\Pi^{+}(\rho)$ and $\Pi_{-}^{+}(\rho)$, so that we obtain the Lyapunov constants $V_{k}$ for piecewise polynomial system (6).

Note that the Lyapunov constant $V_{k}$ is a polynomial in terms of the coefficients of the original piecewise polynomial system (6). It is well known that the origin of system (6) is a center if and only if $d(\rho)=0$ for $0<\rho \ll 1$, which means that for all integer $k, V_{k}=0$. But the center problem for the piecewise polynomial system (6) is more complicated. The following lemma can be used for proving the center conditions at the origin of system (6).

Lemma 2.1 ([31)). If the upper and lower systems in (6) have the first integrals $H^{+}(x, y)$ and $H^{-}(x, y)$ near the origin, respectively, and either both $H^{+}(x, 0)$ and $H^{-}(x, 0)$ are even functions in $x$, or $H^{+}(x, 0) \equiv$ $H^{-}(x, 0)$, then the origin of system (6) is a center.

Lemma 2.1 can be used to identify centers in the case that both the upper and lower systems are analytic and have a center at the origin. In addition, there is another useful result, as given in the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 ([28]). Assuming that $\delta=0$, if system (6) is symmetric with respect to the $x$-axis, i.e., the functions on the right-hand side of system (6) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{k}^{+}(x, y)=-X_{k}^{-}(x,-y), \quad Y_{k}^{+}(x, y)=Y_{k}^{-}(x,-y), \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

or system (6) is symmetric with respect to the $y$-axis, i.e., the functions on the right-hand side of system (6) satisfy

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X_{k}^{+}(x, y)=X_{k}^{+}(-x, y), & Y_{k}^{+}(x, y)=-Y_{k}^{+}(-x, y), \\
X_{k}^{-}(x, y)=X_{k}^{-}(-x, y), & Y_{k}^{-}(x, y)=-Y_{k}^{-}(-x, y), \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

then the origin of system (6) is a center.
Lemma 2.2 redefines symmetry of piecewise polynomial systems, which can be used to derive the center conditions for such systems. Moreover, the isolated zeros of $d(\rho)=0$ near $\rho=0$ correspond to the limit cycles around the origin. The origin of system (6) is called $\frac{k}{2}$-order ( $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ) weak focus if there exists $\lambda_{*} \in \Lambda$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1}\left(\lambda_{*}\right)=V_{2}\left(\lambda_{*}\right)=\cdots=V_{k}\left(\lambda_{*}\right)=0, \quad V_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{*}\right) \neq 0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is well known that for the nonzero Lyapunov constant $V_{k}$ of smooth polynomial systems, $k$ must be an odd number. However $k$ can be any positive integer for piecewise polynomial systems. Based on Lemma 4 of [27], we have the following lemma, which gives the sufficient conditions for proving the existence of limit cycles.

Lemma 2.3. If there exists a critical point $\lambda^{*}=\left(a_{1 c}, a_{2 c}, \ldots, a_{k c}\right)$ such that $V_{i_{1}}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=V_{i_{2}}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=\cdots=$ $V_{i_{k}}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)=0, V_{i_{k+1}}\left(\lambda^{*}\right) \neq 0$, with $1=i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{k}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial\left(V_{i_{1}}, V_{i_{2}}, \ldots, V_{i_{k}}\right)}{\partial\left(a_{1 c}, a_{2 c}, \ldots, a_{k c}\right)}\left(\lambda^{*}\right)\right] \neq 0 \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then small appropriate perturbations about $\lambda=\lambda^{*}$ lead to that system (6) has exact $k$ limit cycles bifurcating from the origin.

## 3. An example for limit cycle bifurcation at the origin

In this section, we apply the results presented in the previous section to consider an example of system (5). We study the center conditions and the number of bifurcating limit cycles for a family of piecewise cubic polynomial systems, obtained by setting $A_{1}=A_{7}=B_{1}=B_{7}=\delta, A_{2}=B_{2}=-1, A_{6}=B_{6}=1$ and $A_{4}=A_{10}=B_{4}=B_{10}=0$ in system (5), as

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\delta x-y+A_{3} x^{2}+A_{5} y^{2}+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+\delta y+A_{8} x^{2}+A_{9} x y+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \text { for } y>0,  \tag{26}\\
\binom{\delta x-y+B_{3} x^{2}+B_{5} y^{2}+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+\delta y+B_{8} x^{2}+B_{9} x y+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \text { for } y<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

With the aid of a computer algebra system, symbolic computations are carried out to find the Lyapunov constants associated with the origin of system (26), which is summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Assume $\mu=0$. System (26) has a center at the origin if and only if $\delta=0$ and one of the following conditions holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { I }: & A_{8}=B_{8}=0 \\
\text { II }: & A_{8}-B_{8}=2 A_{3}+A_{9}=2 B_{3}+B_{9}=0  \tag{27}\\
\text { III }: & A_{8}-B_{8}=A_{3}+B_{3}=A_{5}+B_{5}=A_{9}+B_{9}=0
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For system (26), the Lyapunov constants with $\mu=0$ are obtained by using the algorithm described in the previous section:

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1} & =e^{2 \delta \pi}-1 \\
V_{2} & =\frac{2}{3}\left(A_{8}-B_{8}\right) \\
V_{3} & =-\frac{1}{8} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}+2 B_{3}+B_{9}\right) \pi \\
V_{4} & =-\frac{2}{45} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(15 A_{3}+2 A_{5}+15 B_{3}+2 B_{5}\right) \\
V_{5} & =\frac{5}{384} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right)\left(49 A_{3}+12 A_{5}+A_{9}+43 B_{3}\right) \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute the common zeros of $V_{k}, k=1, \ldots, 5$, and consequently obtain the necessary conditions I, II and III. Letting $V_{1}=V_{2}=0$ yields $\delta=A_{8}-B_{8}=0$. Then, setting $V_{3}=0$ we have $A_{8}=0$ or $2 A_{3}+A_{9}+2 B_{3}+B_{9}=0$. If $A_{8}=0$, we obtain condition I, otherwise we have $B_{9}=-2 A_{3}-A_{9}-2 B_{3}$. Further, letting $V_{4}=0$ yields $\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(15 A_{3}+2 A_{5}+15 B_{3}+2 B_{5}\right)=0$. If $2 A_{3}+A_{9}=0$, we obtain condition II. Otherwise, we have $B_{5}=-A_{5}-\frac{15}{2}\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right)$. Taking $A_{3}+B_{3}=0$ yields $V_{5}=0$, we obtain condition III.

Now, we assume $A_{3}+B_{3} \neq 0$ and let $A_{5}=-\frac{49 A_{3}+A_{9}+43 B_{3}}{12}$, for which $V_{5}=0$. Then we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{6} & =-\frac{1}{4725} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{1} \\
V_{7} & =\frac{1}{12902400} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{2} \pi \\
V_{8} & =-\frac{1}{65840947200} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{3} \\
V_{9} & =-\frac{1}{12641461862400} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{4} \\
V_{10} & =-\frac{1}{131407996059648000} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{5}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{1}= & -10979 A_{3}^{2}+3150 A_{8}^{2}+275 A_{3} A_{9}+76 A_{9}^{2}-22042 A_{3} B_{3}+29 A_{9} B_{3}-11225 B_{3}^{2}, \\
F_{2}= & -1428000 A_{3}+5079237 A_{3}^{3}-714000 A_{9}+6271596 A_{3}^{2} A_{9}-90153 A_{3} A_{9}^{2}-15024 A_{9}^{3} \\
& +17850781 A_{3}^{2} B_{3}+12728596 A_{3} A_{9} B_{3}+9 A_{9}^{2} B_{3}+20510215 A_{3} B_{3}^{2}+6451920 A_{9} B_{3}^{2} \\
& +7704375 B_{3}^{3}, \\
F_{3}= & 975884378112 A_{3}^{2}-2350928519168 A_{3}^{4}-277995110400 A_{8}^{2}-578642190336 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}^{2} \\
& +279620812800 A_{8}^{4}-39467409408 A_{3} A_{9}+107337293824 A_{3}^{3} A_{9}+42830143488 A_{3} A_{8}^{2} A_{9} \\
& -16046456832 A_{9}^{2}-31414665216 A_{3}^{2} A_{9}^{2}+54402121728 A_{8}^{2} A_{9}^{2}+2283864064 A_{3} A_{9}^{3} \\
& +757891072 A_{9}^{4}+1900941557760 A_{3} B_{3}-9409968816128 A_{3}^{3} B_{3}-112059437875 A_{3} A_{8}^{2} B_{3} \\
& -24718417920 A_{9} B_{3}-13346193408 A_{3}^{2} A_{9} B_{3}+174778343424 A_{8}^{2} A_{9} B_{3} \\
& -49995276288 A_{3} A_{9}^{2} B_{3}+3779264512 A_{9}^{3} B_{3}+990633369600 B_{3}^{2}-14454233186304 A_{3}^{2} B_{3}^{2} \\
& -446693990400 A_{8}^{2} B_{3}^{2}-339699941376 A_{3} A_{9} B_{3}^{2}-26928463872 A_{9}^{2} B_{3}^{2} \\
& -10085791268864 A_{3} B_{3}^{3}-236149809152 A_{9} B_{3}^{3}-2697406423040 B_{3}^{4}+21861252000 A_{3} A_{8} \pi \\
& +156952867239 A_{3}^{3} A_{8} \pi-67341229200 A_{3} A_{8}^{3} \pi+10930626000 A_{8} A_{9} \pi \\
& +174951476532 A 3^{2} A_{8} A_{9} \pi-79429215600 A_{8}^{3} A_{9} \pi-7178885091 A_{3} A_{8} A_{9}^{2} \pi \\
& -1686385008 A_{8} A_{9}^{3} \pi+516913738047 A_{3}^{2} A_{8} B_{3} \pi-91517202000 A_{8}^{3} B_{3} \pi \\
& +352331144172 A_{3} A_{8} A_{9} B_{3} \pi-2939424957 A_{8} A_{9}^{2} B_{3} \pi+566367043725 A_{3} A_{8} B_{3}^{2} \pi \\
& +183430396800 A_{8} A_{9} B_{3}^{2} \pi+208174546125 A_{8} B_{3}^{3} \pi, \\
F_{4}= & 722478248755200 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}-1519825046208512 A_{3}^{4} A_{8}-206009008128000 A_{8}^{3} \\
& -148378343178240 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}^{3}+116530348032000 A_{8}^{5}-27711630213120 A_{3} A_{8} A_{9} \\
& +7066276593664 A_{3}^{3} A_{8} A_{9}+39753005137920 A_{3} A_{8}^{3} A_{9}-10947510927360 A_{8} A_{9}^{2} \\
& -45644557320192 A_{3}^{2} A_{8} A_{9}^{2}+\cdots, \\
F_{5}= & -7401962187325440000 A_{3}^{2}+46486215725497712640 A_{3}^{4}-92550580964698357760 A_{3}^{6} \\
& +2068016746070016000 A_{8}^{2}+14697869051080212480 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}^{2}-27162659432913960960 A_{3}^{4} A_{8}^{2} \\
& -6378965932179456000 A_{8}^{4}-2163878587851079680 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}^{4}+2543799232364544000 A_{8}^{6} \\
& +290191499164385280 A_{3} A_{9}+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the five polynomial equations contain only four independent parameters, $A_{3}, B_{3}, A_{8}$ and $A_{9}$ with the restriction $A_{3}+B_{3} \neq 0$, there in general exist solutions such that $F_{1}=F_{2}=F_{3}=F_{4}=0$ but $F_{5} \neq 0$. We first consider the four polynomial equations: $F_{1}=F_{2}=F_{3}=F_{4}=0$ to find all real solutions of these equations, and then verify if they satisfy the equation $F_{5}=0$. If none of the solutions does, then there do not exist real solutions satisfying $F_{1}=F_{2}=F_{3}=F_{4}=F_{5}=0$, and thus the best result obtained from $F_{1}=F_{2}=F_{3}=F_{4}=0$ yields maximal number of limit cycles.

Note that $F_{2}$ does not contain $A_{8}$ and $F_{1}$ contains only one term $A_{8}^{2}$. So, we first solve $A_{8}^{2}$ from $F_{1}=0$ to obtain

$$
A_{8}^{2}=\frac{1}{3150}\left[10979 A_{3}^{2}+22042 A_{3} B_{3}+11225 B_{3}^{2}-A_{9}\left(76 A_{9}+275 A_{3}+29 B_{3}\right)\right]
$$

which is used to simplify $F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$. Then, we perform a symbolic computation on $F_{2}, F_{3}$ and $F_{4}$ to eliminate $A_{3}$ to obtain a solution,

$$
A_{3}=A_{3}\left(B_{3}, A_{9}\right)
$$

and two resultants:

$$
F_{23}=F_{23}\left(B_{3}, A_{9}\right), \quad F_{24}=F_{24}\left(B_{3}, A_{9}\right)
$$

Next, we again perform symbolic computation on $F_{23}$ and $F_{24}$ to eliminate $B_{3}$ to obtain the final resultant:

$$
F_{2324}=F_{2324}\left(A_{9}^{2}\right)
$$

which is a single-variate, 24 th-degree polynomial in $A_{9}^{2}$. Today the technique for solving single-variate polynomial is mature, and all real and complex solutions of such a polynomial can be found. Here, we
are only interested in real solutions. In fact, with a computer algebra system such as Maple or Mathematica, we can perform interval computation to identify the real solutions in intervals with arbitrary accuracy as one wishes.

Four sets of solutions from $F_{2324}=0$ have been obtained as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3}= \pm 136.2014111281 \cdots, \quad A_{8}= \pm 2.0625751157 \cdots, \\
& B_{3}=\mp 138.0681608497 \cdots, \quad A_{9}= \pm 79.3892644835 \cdots, \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3}= \pm 138.0681608497 \cdots, \quad A_{8}= \pm 2.0625751157 \cdots \\
& B_{3}=\mp 136.2014111281 \cdots, \tag{29}
\end{align*} A_{9}= \pm 75.6557650402 \cdots .
$$

For these four solutions, $F_{1}=F_{2}=F_{3}=F_{4}=0$, but $F_{5} \neq 0$. Hence, for the solutions given in (28) and (29), system (26) may generate maximal number of limit cycles around the origin.

Now we prove the sufficiency of conditions I, II and III. When the condition I is satisfied, system (26)| $\left.\right|_{\delta=0}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{-y+A_{3} x^{2}+A_{5} y^{2}-y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+A_{9} x y+x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \text { for } y>0  \tag{30}\\
\binom{-y+B_{3} x^{2}+B_{5} y^{2}-y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+B_{9} x y+x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \text { for } y<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, system (30) is symmetric with respect to the $y$-axis. Hence, by Lemma 2.2 the origin of system (26) $\left.\right|_{\delta=0}$ is a center.

If the condition II holds, system (26) $\left.\right|_{\delta=0}$ becomes

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}= \begin{cases}\binom{-y+A_{3} x^{2}+A_{5} y^{2}-y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+A_{8} x^{2}-2 A_{3} x y+x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, & \text { for } y>0  \tag{31}\\ \binom{-y+B_{3} x^{2}+B_{5} y^{2}-y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+A_{8} x^{2}-2 B_{3} x y+x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, & \text { for } y<0\end{cases}
$$

The upper and lower systems in (31) are Hamiltonian systems, having respectively the Hamiltonian functions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{+}(x, y)=-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}-\frac{1}{3} A_{8} x^{3}+A_{3} x^{2} y+\frac{1}{3} A_{5} y^{3}-\frac{1}{4} x^{4}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2} y^{2}-\frac{1}{4} y^{4},  \tag{32}\\
& H^{-}(x, y)=-\frac{1}{2} x^{2}-\frac{1}{2} y^{2}-\frac{1}{3} A_{8} x^{3}+B_{3} x^{2} y+\frac{1}{3} B_{5} y^{3}-\frac{1}{4} x^{4}-\frac{1}{2} x^{2} y^{2}-\frac{1}{4} y^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the condition $H^{+}(x, 0) \equiv H^{-}(x, 0)$ in Lemma 2.1 is satisfied, which implies that the origin of system $\left.(26)\right|_{\delta=0}$ is a center.

If the condition III holds, system (26) can be rewritten as

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{-y+A_{3} x^{2}+A_{5} y^{2}-y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+A_{8} x^{2}+A_{9} x y+x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \text { for } y>0  \tag{33}\\
\binom{-y-A_{3} x^{2}-A_{5} y^{2}-y\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{x+A_{8} x^{2}-A_{9} x y+x\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \text { for } y<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is easy to see that system (33) is symmetric with respect to the $x$-axis. Hence, the origin of system $\left.(26)\right|_{\delta=0}$ is a center.

Therefore, the conditions I, II and III are sufficient for the origin of system (26)| $\left.\right|_{\delta=0}$ being a center.

From the proof of Theorem 3.1, if the following conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta=A_{8}-B_{8}=F_{1}=F_{2}=F_{3}=F_{4}=0, A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) \neq 0, \\
& B_{9}=-2 A_{3}-A_{9}-2 B_{3}, B_{5}=-\frac{15 A_{3}+2 A_{5}+15 B_{3}}{2}, A_{5}=-\frac{49 A_{3}+A_{9}+43 B_{3}}{12} \tag{34}
\end{align*}
$$

are satisfied, we have $V_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, 9, V_{10} \neq 0$, indicating that the origin of system (26) is a 4.5 -order weak focus. For our purpose, we choose one of the solutions in (28),

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
A_{3}=2-136.2014111281 \cdots, & A_{8}=-2.0625751157 \cdots \\
B_{3}=138.0681608497 \cdots, & A_{9}=-79.3892644835 \cdots, \tag{35}
\end{array}
$$

to prove the existence of 9 limit cycles. Then, we have $V_{10}=-3.4990999739 \times 10^{9}$. A direct calculation shows that the determinant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial\left(V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}, V_{5}, V_{6}, V_{7}, V_{8}, V_{9}\right)}{\partial\left(A_{3}, A_{5}, A_{8}, A_{9}, B_{3}, B_{5}, B_{8}, B_{9}\right)}\right]_{(34),(35)}=-9.8120724589 \cdots \times 10^{26} \neq 0 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, we can take appropriate perturbations on $\delta, A_{3}, A_{5}, A_{8}, A_{9}, B_{3}, B_{5}, B_{8}$ and $B_{9}$ such that

$$
0<V_{1} \ll-V_{2} \ll V_{3} \ll-V_{4} \ll V_{5} \ll-V_{6} \ll V_{7} \ll-V_{8} \ll V_{9} \ll 1,
$$

and so the polynomial equation $d(r)=0$ has 9 simple zeros near $r=0$. Thus, the following result follows directly from Lemma 2.3.

Theorem 3.2. For system (26), there exist 9 small-amplitude limit cycles bifurcating from the origin.

## 4. Lyapunov quantities at infinity

In this section, we consider bifurcation of limit cycles from the equator of the Poincaré sphere in the piecewise polynomial system (3) of degree $2 n+1$. With the ideas taken from [11,32], we suppose that there exists $\sigma>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x Y_{2 n+1}^{ \pm}(x, y)-y X_{2 n+1}^{ \pm}(x, y) \geq \sigma\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which indicates that the equator $\Gamma_{\infty}$ on the Poincaré closed sphere is a trajectory of system (3), having no real singular points. Let $\Gamma_{\infty}=\Gamma_{\infty}^{+} \cup \Gamma_{\infty}^{-}$denote the equator cycle or infinity (on Gauss sphere) of system (3), where $\Gamma_{\infty}^{+}$and $\Gamma_{\infty}^{-}$represent the semi-equator cycle of the upper and lower systems of (3), respectively. We apply the polar coordinate transformation, $x=\cos \theta / r$ and $y=\sin \theta / r$, under which $r=0$ corresponds to the equator. Then, system (3) can be transformed into

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}= \begin{cases}\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n+1} \varphi_{2 n+2-k}^{+}(\theta) r^{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n+1} \psi_{2 n+2-k}^{+}(\theta) r^{k-1}}, & \text { for } \theta \in(0, \pi)  \tag{38}\\ \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n+1} \varphi_{2 n+2-k}^{-}(\theta) r^{k}}{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n+1} \psi_{2 n+2-k}^{-}(\theta) r^{k-1}}, & \text { for } \theta \in(\pi, 2 \pi)\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{k+1}^{ \pm}(\theta) & =\cos \theta X_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)+\sin \theta Y_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \\
\psi_{k+1}^{ \pm}(\theta) & =\sin \theta X_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)-\cos \theta Y_{k}(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \tag{39}
\end{align*}
$$

$k=0,1,2 \cdots$. Particularly, condition (37) implies $\psi_{2 n+2}^{ \pm} \geq \sigma>0$.

Similar to Hopf bifurcation analysis associated with a singular point at the origin of a dynamical system, we construct the displacement map of (38). Let $\tilde{r}^{+}(\rho, \theta)=\sum_{k \geq 1} u_{k}^{+}(\theta) \rho^{k}$ and $\tilde{r}^{-}(\rho, \theta)=\sum_{k \geq 1} u_{k}^{-}(\theta) \rho^{k}$ denote the solutions of the upper and lower systems of (38) with the initial conditions $\tilde{r}^{+}(\rho, 0)=\tilde{r}^{-}(\rho, \pi)=\rho$, respectively. Define respectively the positive half-return map $\Pi_{\infty}^{+}(\rho)$ and the negative half-return map $\Pi_{\infty}^{-}(\rho)$ by

$$
\Pi_{\infty}^{+}(\rho)=\tilde{r}^{+}(\rho, \pi)=\sum_{k \geq 1} u_{k}^{+} \rho^{k}, \quad \Pi_{\infty}^{-}(\rho)=\tilde{r}^{-}(\rho, 2 \pi)=\sum_{k \geq 1} u_{k}^{-} \rho^{k},
$$

where $u_{k}^{ \pm}$'s are the coefficients of Taylor expansion. The return map for (38) can now be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\infty}(\rho)=\Pi_{\infty}^{-}\left(\Pi_{\infty}^{+}(\rho)\right)=\sum_{k \geq 1} u_{k} \rho^{k} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{k}$ 's are the coefficients of Taylor expansion. Then, the displacement function of (38) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\infty}(\rho)=\Pi_{\infty}(\rho)-\rho=\left(u_{1}-1\right) \rho+\sum_{k \geq 2} u_{k} \rho^{k}=\sum_{k \geq 1} U_{k} \rho^{k}, \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{k}$ is called the $k$ th-order Lyapunov quantity at infinity (also called $k$ th-order focal values at infinity). We have the following definitions and results, which are similar to that of Hopf bifurcation analysis around a singular point at the origin.

Definition 4.1. For system (3), if there exists $\lambda=\lambda_{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{1}\left(\lambda_{*}\right)=\cdots=U_{k}\left(\lambda_{*}\right)=0, \quad U_{k+1}\left(\lambda_{*}\right) \neq 0, \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

then infinity is called a weak focus of $\frac{k}{2}$-order $(k \in \mathbf{N})$; and if $U_{k}=0$ for all integer $k$, infinity is a center.
It follows that we must consider the displacement function (41) of system (38), when we are interested in the limit cycles bifurcating from infinity. The number of fixed points of $\Pi_{\infty}(\rho)$ (or zeros of $d_{\infty}(\rho)$ ) corresponds to the maximal number of limit cycles of system (38). If the displacement function (41) satisfies $U_{1}=\cdots=U_{k}=0, U_{k+1} \neq 0$, then any perturbation of (3) has at most $k$ limit cycles bifurcating at infinity.

In the following, we consider a particular case of system (3) with higher-order terms, given exactly by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2 n+1}^{ \pm}(x, y)=(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}, \quad Y_{2 n+1}^{ \pm}(x, y)=(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

under which system (3) becomes

$$
(\dot{x}, \dot{y})=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} X_{k}^{+}(x, y)+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}}{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} Y_{k}^{+}(x, y)+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}}, \text { for } y>0,  \tag{44}\\
\binom{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} X_{k}^{-}(x, y)+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}}{\sum_{k=1}^{2 n} Y_{k}^{-}(x, y)+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}}, \text { for } y<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For system (44), we alternatively introduce a transformation to change infinity of the system into the origin, and then use the methods in studying limit cycle bifurcation at the origin to investigate bifurcation of limit cycles at infinity of system (44). Under the following transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\xi}{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{n+1}}, \quad y=\frac{\eta}{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{n+1}}, \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and time rescaling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} t=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{-n} \mathrm{~d} \tau \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-1-n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}+(-1-n) \xi\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-2-n}\left(2 \xi \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}+2 \eta \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\right), \\
& \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-1-n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}+(-1-n) \eta\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-2-n}\left(2 \xi \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}+2 \eta \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\right), \tag{47}
\end{align*}
$$

and thus,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left[\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} X_{k}^{ \pm}(x, y)+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}\right]\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{-n} \\
& =\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-1-n}(-\eta+\mu \xi)+\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{n+2 n^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-k-n k} X_{k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta),  \tag{48}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}=\left[\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} Y_{k}^{ \pm}(x, y)+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{n}\right]\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{-n} \\
& =\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-1-n}(\xi+\mu \eta)+\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{n+2 n^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{2 n}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-k-n k} Y_{k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta) .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, solving $\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{d} \tau}$ and $\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta}{\mathrm{d} \tau}$ from (47) and using (48) yields

$$
\binom{\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\frac{-\mu}{2 n+1} \xi-\eta+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} P_{2 n+2+2 n k+k}^{+}(\xi, \eta)}{\xi+\frac{-\mu}{2 n+1} \eta+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} Q_{2 n+2+2 n k+k}^{+}(\xi, \eta)}, \quad \text { for } \eta>0,  \tag{49}\\
\binom{\frac{-\mu}{2 n+1} \xi-\eta+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} P_{2 n+2+2 n k+k}^{-}(\xi, \eta)}{\xi+\frac{-\mu}{2 n+1} \eta+\sum_{k=0}^{2 n} Q_{2 n+2+2 n k+k}^{-}(\xi, \eta)}, \quad \text { for } \eta<0
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{2 n+2+2 n k+k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta) & =\left[\left(\eta^{2}-\frac{\xi^{2}}{2 n+1}\right) X_{2 n-k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta)-\frac{2 n+2}{2 n+1} \xi \eta Y_{2 n-k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta)\right]\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{k(n+1)}, \\
Q_{2 n+2+2 n k+k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta) & =\left[\left(\xi^{2}-\frac{\eta^{2}}{2 n+1}\right) Y_{2 n-k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta)-\frac{2 n+2}{2 n+1} \xi \eta X_{2 n-k}^{ \pm}(\xi, \eta)\right]\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{k(n+1)} . \tag{50}
\end{align*}
$$

The origin of system (49) corresponds to infinity of system (44). Hence, the origin of system (49) being a center is equivalent to that infinity of system (44) being a center. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. Infinity of system (44) is a center if and only if the origin of system (49) is a center.
As discussed in Section 2, we know that the problem to determine the center conditions and bifurcation of limit cycles at infinity of system (44) can be studied by using the Lyapunov constants at the origin of system (49). Moreover, the results presented in this section allow us to consider limit cycle bifurcations around the origin, or near infinity or in both places.

Definition 4.3. The notation $\left\{k_{1}, k_{2}\right\}$ denotes the configuration of a vector field with $k_{1}$ (small-amplitude) limit cycles around the origin and $k_{2}$ (large-amplitude) limit cycles near infinity.

## 5. Simultaneous bifurcations of limit cycles at the origin and infinity

In this section, we study bifurcation of limit cycles at infinity of system (26). We find that the Lyapunov quantities at infinity of system (26) has a great similarity with that at the origin. Under the transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\frac{\xi}{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{2}}, \quad y=\frac{\eta}{\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{2}}, \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

and time rescaling,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} t=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \tau \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

system (26) is transformed into

$$
\binom{\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{\mu}{3} \xi-\eta+A_{5} \eta^{4}+\frac{3 A_{3}-A_{5}-4 A_{9}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4}{3} A_{8} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{3} A_{3} \xi^{4} \\
-\eta^{7}-\frac{\delta}{3} \eta^{6} \xi-3 \eta^{5} \xi^{2}-\delta \eta^{4} \xi^{3}-3 \eta^{3} \xi^{4}-\delta \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\eta \xi^{6}-\frac{\delta}{3} \eta^{7}, \\
\xi-\frac{\mu}{3} \eta+A_{8} \xi^{4}+\frac{3 A_{9}-4 A_{3}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{3} A_{8} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4 A_{5}+A_{9}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi \\
+\xi^{7}-\frac{\delta}{3} \xi^{6} \eta+3 \xi^{5} \eta^{2}-\delta \xi^{4} \eta^{3}+3 \xi^{3} \eta^{4}-\delta \xi^{2} \eta^{5}+\xi \eta^{6}-\frac{\delta}{3} \eta^{7},
\end{array}\right), \text { for } \eta>0,  \tag{53}\\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\frac{\mu}{3} \xi-\eta+B_{5} \eta^{4}+\frac{3 B_{3}-B_{5}-4 B_{9}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4}{3} B_{8} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{3} B_{3} \xi^{4} \\
-\eta^{7}-\frac{\delta}{3} \eta^{6} \xi-3 \eta^{5} \xi^{2}-\delta \eta^{4} \xi^{3}-3 \eta^{3} \xi^{4}-\delta \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\eta \xi^{6}-\frac{\delta}{3} \eta^{7} \\
\xi-\frac{\mu}{3} \eta+B_{8} \xi^{4}+\frac{3 B_{9}-4 B_{3}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{3} B_{8} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4 B_{5}+B_{9}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi \\
+\xi^{7}-\frac{\delta}{3} \xi^{6} \eta+3 \xi^{5} \eta^{2}-\delta \xi^{4} \eta^{3}+3 \xi^{3} \eta^{4}-\delta \xi^{2} \eta^{5}+\xi \eta^{6}-\frac{\delta}{3} \eta^{7},
\end{array}\right), \text { for } \eta<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We are interested in identifying the center conditions at infinity of system (26). We will show that they can be classified as two types: Hamiltonian system or one having symmetry with respect to a line. These conditions are also the center conditions for the origin of (26). Moreover, we will show that system (26) can have limit cycles bifurcating simultaneously from the origin and the equator.

Theorem 5.1. Assume $\delta=0$. System (26) has a center at infinity (correspondingly system (53) has a center at the origin) if and only if $\mu=0$ and one of the conditions I, II and III given in Theorem 3.1 holds.

Proof. When $\delta=0$, we compute the Lyapunov quantities at the origin of system (53) (corresponding to the Lyapunov quantities at infinity of system (26)), and find that the Lyapunov constants are identically equal to zero except $U_{3 k+1}$. Actually, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{1}=e^{\frac{2 \mu \pi}{3}}-1 \\
& U_{4}=\frac{2}{9}\left(A_{8}-B_{8}\right) \\
& U_{7}=-\frac{1}{24} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}+2 B_{3}+B_{9}\right) \pi \\
& U_{10}=\frac{2}{189} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(15 A_{3}+2 A_{5}+15 B_{3}+2 B_{5}\right) \\
& U_{13}=-\frac{1}{1152} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right)\left(72 A_{3}+24 A_{5}-11 A_{9}+86 B_{3}\right) \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the necessity of center conditions is similar to the proof for Theorem 3.1, and is thus omitted here for brevity. Assume that $A_{3}+B_{3} \neq 0$ and let $A_{5}=-\frac{72 A_{3}-11 A_{9}+86 B_{3}}{24}$, for which $U_{13}=0$, then we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{16} & =\frac{1}{2211300} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{6} \\
U_{19} & =\frac{1}{2080899072000} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{7} \pi \\
U_{22} & =\frac{1}{3572072595534643200} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{8} \\
U_{25} & =-\frac{1}{5426410061612187648000} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{9} \\
U_{28} & =\frac{1}{1436921724707171987122814976000} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) F_{10}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{6}=-303912 A_{3}^{2}+88200 A_{8}^{2}-14472 A_{3} A_{9}-9833 A_{9}^{2}-668520 A_{3} B_{3}-24860 A_{9} B_{3}-314300 B_{3}^{2}, \\
& F_{7}=-69995520000 A_{3}+113754063024 A_{3}^{3}-34997760000 A_{9}+48174235056 A_{3}^{2} A_{9} \\
&+5874190738 A_{3} A_{9}^{2}+1876956983 A_{9}^{3}+216930158640 A_{3}^{2} B_{3}+106461471640 A_{3} A_{9} B_{3} \\
&+5387551160 A_{9}^{2} B_{3}+68844491800 A_{3} B_{3}^{2}+47200955900 A_{9} B_{3}^{2}-25886700000 B_{3}^{3}, \\
& F_{8}= 1841255300707909632 A_{3}^{2}-1144863919341305856 A_{3}^{4}-394121451877171200 A_{8}^{2} \\
&-1719043246824357888 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}^{2}+527079896933990400 A_{8}^{4}+773005929741287424 A_{3} A_{9} \\
&-972617423970631680 A_{3}^{3} A_{9}-78090684271165440 A_{3} A_{8}^{2} A_{9}+277301306147733504 A_{9}^{2} \\
&-424557724494200832 A_{3}^{2} A_{9}^{2}-43170343811022848 A_{8}^{2} A_{9}^{2}-53498864134520832 A_{3} A_{9}^{3} \\
&-14395905788608512 A_{9}^{4}+3437504648901033984 A_{3} B_{3}-4471175657023340544 A_{3}^{3} B_{3} \\
&-3759486934506799104 A_{3} A_{8}^{2} B_{3}+336199294849646592 A_{9} B_{3} \\
&-2647999461030100992 A_{3}^{2} A_{9} B_{3}-94590690972925952 A_{8}^{2} A_{9} B_{3} \\
&-953419734762651648 A_{3} A_{9}^{2} B_{3}-61668382174347264 A_{9}^{3} B_{3}+1404448665816268800 B_{3}^{2} \\
&-6251270020043636736 A_{3}^{2} B_{3}^{2}-1735543253526118400 A_{8}^{2} B_{3}^{2} \\
&-2221924006383058944 A_{3} A_{9} B_{3}^{2}-449066278613680128 A_{9}^{2} B_{3}^{2} \\
&-3584100795873755136 A_{3} B_{3}^{3}-544296089627394048 A_{9} B_{3}^{3}-700203548918415360 B_{3}^{4} \\
&+307061120830464000 A_{3} A_{8} \pi-1059156131672214576 A_{3}^{3} A_{8} \pi+162559052645109120 A_{3} A_{8}^{3} \pi \\
&+153530560415232000 A_{8} A_{9} \pi-669882531931717104 A_{3}^{2} A_{8} A_{9} \pi \\
&+125337019129882560 A_{8}^{3} A_{9} \pi-64457741654788482 A_{3} A_{8} A_{9}^{2} \pi-22207193163442287 A_{8} A_{9}^{3} \pi \\
&-2487394347692894640 A_{3}^{2} A_{8} B_{3} \pi+88114985614656000 A_{8}^{3} B_{3} \pi \\
&-1477312740939835800 A_{3} A_{8} A_{9} B_{3} \pi-68785417325865240 A_{8} A_{9}^{2} B_{3} \pi \\
&-1549165231431057240 A_{3} A_{8} B_{3}^{2} \pi-678537883273870620 A_{8} A_{9} B_{3}^{2} \pi \\
&-200435510879604000 A_{8} B_{3}^{3} \pi, \\
& F_{9}, 10234966178002894848000 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}-5804035746587348041728 A_{3}^{4} A_{8} \\
&-2354895499988828160000 A_{8}^{3}-3718181749765617745920 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}^{3} \\
&+ 1268445739638325248000 A_{8}^{5}+\cdots, \\
& F_{10}=-2076062815991659957626234470400 A_{3}^{2}+3023696197447835097631649955840 A_{3}^{4} \\
&-1318280420985192788330859724800 A_{3}^{6}+195757790001546870040559616000 A_{8}^{2} \\
&+6276469725372565177768838430720 A_{3}^{2} A_{8}^{2}+\cdots \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, similarly following the proof for Theorem 3.1, we can show that the four polynomial equations $F_{6}=F_{7}=F_{8}=F_{9}=0$ have 8 sets of real solutions given below:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{3}= \pm 1.1935491673 \cdots, B_{3}=\mp 1.9598517046 \cdots \\
& A_{8}= \pm 0.8583332974 \cdots, A_{9}= \pm 0.4170129068 \cdots \\
& A_{3}=\mp 1.0820295512 \cdots, B_{3}= \pm 0.3530483910 \cdots \\
& A_{8}= \pm 1.2580741376 \cdots, A_{9}= \pm 0.6980676134 \cdots \\
& A_{3}= \pm 0.3530483910 \cdots, B_{3}=\mp 1.0820295512 \cdots  \tag{54}\\
& A_{8}= \pm 1.2580741376 \cdots, A_{9}= \pm 0.7598947069 \cdots \\
& A_{3}=\mp 1.9598517046 \cdots, B_{3}= \pm 1.1935491673 \cdots \\
& A_{8}= \pm 0.8583332974 \cdots, A_{9}= \pm 1.1155921675
\end{align*}
$$

Under these solutions, $F_{6}=F_{7}=F_{8}=F_{9}=0$, but $F_{10} \neq 0$. So these solutions may yield maximal number of limit cycles.

Next, we prove the sufficiency of the center conditions I, II and III. When the condition I is satisfied, system (53)| $\left.\right|_{\mu=0}$ can be rewritten as

$$
\binom{\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\eta+A_{5} \eta^{4}+\frac{3 A_{3}-A_{5}-4 A_{9}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{1}{3} A_{3} \xi^{4} \\
-\eta^{7}-3 \eta^{5} \xi^{2}-3 \eta^{3} \xi^{4}-\eta \xi^{6}, \\
\xi+\frac{3 A_{9}-4 A_{3}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{4 A_{5}+A_{9}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi \\
+\xi^{7}+3 \xi^{5} \eta^{2}+3 \xi^{3} \eta^{4}+\xi \eta^{6},
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { for } \eta>0,  \tag{55}\\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\eta+B_{5} \eta^{4}+\frac{3 B_{3}-B_{5}-4 B_{9}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{1}{3} B_{3} \xi^{4} \\
-\eta^{7}-3 \eta^{5} \xi^{2}-3 \eta^{3} \xi^{4}-\eta \xi^{6}, \\
\xi+\frac{3 B_{9}-4 B_{3}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{4 B_{5}+B_{9}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi \\
+\xi^{7}+3 \xi^{5} \eta^{2}+3 \xi^{3} \eta^{4}+\xi \eta^{6},
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { for } \eta<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, system (55) is symmetric with respect to the $\eta$-axis. So by Lemma 2.2 the origin of (55) is a center, and hence system $\left.(26)\right|_{\mu=0}$ has a center at infinity.

If the condition II holds, system (53) $\left.\right|_{\mu=0}$ can be rewritten as

The upper and lower systems in (56) have an integral factor,

$$
M(x, y)=\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-7}
$$

and first integrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{+}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{3-4 A_{5} \eta^{3}+6 \eta^{6}-12 A_{3} \eta \xi^{2}+18 \eta^{4} \xi^{2}+4 A_{8} \xi^{3}+18 \eta^{2} \xi^{4}+6 \xi^{6}}{36\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{6}} \\
H^{-}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{3-4 B_{5} \eta^{3}+6 \eta^{6}-12 B_{3} \eta \xi^{2}+18 \eta^{4} \xi^{2}+4 A_{8} \xi^{3}-18 \eta^{2} \xi^{4}+6 \xi^{6}}{36\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{6}} \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the condition $H^{+}(\xi, 0) \equiv H^{-}(\xi, 0)$ in Lemma 2.1 is satisfied, which implies that the origin of (56) is a center. Hence, infinity of system (26) $\left.\right|_{\mu=0}$ is a center.

If the condition III holds, system (53)| $\left.\right|_{\mu=0}$ becomes

$$
\binom{\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\eta+A_{5} \eta^{4}+\frac{3 A_{3}-A_{5}-4 A_{9}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4}{3} A_{8} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{3} A_{3} \xi^{4} \\
-\eta^{7}-3 \eta^{5} \xi^{2}-3 \eta^{3} \xi^{4}-\eta \xi^{6}, \\
\xi+A_{8} \xi^{4}+\frac{3 A_{9}-4 A_{3}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{3} A_{8} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4 A_{5}+A_{9}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi \\
+\xi^{7}+3 \xi^{5} \eta^{2}+3 \xi^{3} \eta^{4}+\xi \eta^{6},
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { for } \eta>0,  \tag{58}\\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\eta-A_{5} \eta^{4}-\frac{3 A_{3}-A_{5}-4 A_{9}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4}{3} A_{8} \eta \xi^{3}+\frac{1}{3} A_{3} \xi^{4} \\
-\eta^{7}-3 \eta^{5} \xi^{2}-3 \eta^{3} \xi^{4}-\eta \xi^{6}, \\
\xi+A_{8} \xi^{4}-\frac{3 A_{9}-4 A_{3}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}-\frac{1}{3} A_{8} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}+\frac{4 A_{5}+A_{9}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi \\
+\xi^{7}+3 \xi^{5} \eta^{2}+3 \xi^{3} \eta^{4}+\xi \eta^{6},
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { for } \eta<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

It is seen that system (58) is symmetric with respect to the $\xi$-axis, showing that infinity of system (26)| $\left.\right|_{\mu=0}$ is a center.

Therefore, the conditions I, II and III are also sufficient for infinity of $\left.(26)\right|_{\mu=0}$ being a center.
Theorem 5.2. Assume $\delta=\mu=0$. The cubic system (26) has the configuration

$$
\{4,9\} \text { and }\{9,4\} \text {, }
$$

which give the maximal number of limit cycles that system (26) can have simultaneously around the origin and infinity.

Proof. We have two cases.
(1) First, we try to obtain the maximal number of limit cycles bifurcating near infinity. We take one of the real solutions from (54),

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{3}=-1.1935491673 \cdots, & B_{3}=1.9598517045 \cdots \\
A_{8}=-0.8583332973 \cdots, & A_{9}=-0.4170129068 \cdots \tag{59}
\end{array}
$$

Then under the conditions,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{8}-B_{8}=0, B_{9}=-2 A_{3}-A_{9}-2 B_{3}, B_{5}=-\frac{15 A_{3}+2 A_{5}+15 B_{3}}{2} \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

$A_{5}=-\frac{72 A_{3}-11 A_{9}+86 B_{3}}{24}$ and the solution given in (59), we have $U_{3 k+1}=0, k=0,1,2, \ldots, 8$, but $U_{28} \neq 0$, indicating that infinity of system (26) is a 13.5 -order weak focus. Further, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial\left(U_{4}, U_{7}, U_{10}, U_{13}, U_{16}, U_{19}, U_{22}, U_{25}\right)}{\partial\left(A_{3}, A_{5}, A_{8}, A_{9}, B_{3}, B_{5}, B_{8}, B_{9}\right)}\right]_{(59),(60)}=-9.8120724589 \cdots \times 10^{-7} \neq 0 \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying that system (26) has 9 large-amplitude limit cycles bifurcating from infinity. From the proof of Theorem 3.1, we obtain

$$
V_{5}=\frac{65}{768} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)^{2}\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) \pi \neq 0
$$

when $A_{5}=-\frac{72 A_{3}-11 A_{9}+86 B_{3}}{24}$. Hence, simultaneously, the origin of system (26) is a 2 -order weak focus. In addition, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial\left(V_{2}, V_{3}, V_{4}\right)}{\partial\left(A_{3}, A_{8}, A_{9}\right)}\right]_{(59)}=-261.2058994389 \cdots \neq 0 \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, system (26), in addition to 9 large-amplitude limit cycles bifurcating from infinity, has 4 smallamplitude limit cycles simultaneously bifurcating from the origin, yielding a distribution $\{4,9\}$.
(2) Next, we first consider the maximal limit cycles bifurcating from the origin. From the results of Section 3 , we know that there exist parameter values satisfying $V_{1}=V_{2}=\cdots=V_{9}=0$, but $V_{10} \neq 0$. This shows that 9 small-amplitude limit cycles bifurcate from the origin. Then, under the condition (60), we obtain $U_{1}=U_{4}=U_{7}=U_{10}=0$. Assume $A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) \neq 0$, we have

$$
U_{13}=\frac{13}{1152} A_{8}\left(2 A_{3}+A_{9}\right)^{2}\left(A_{3}+B_{3}\right) \pi \neq 0
$$

when $A_{5}=-\frac{49 A_{3}+A_{9}+43 B_{3}}{12}$. In addition, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial\left(U_{4}, U_{7}, U_{10}\right)}{\partial\left(A_{3}, A_{8}, A_{9}\right)}\right]_{(35)}=6.9102089798 \cdots \neq 0 . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, system (26), besides 9 small-amplitude limit cycles bifurcating from the origin, has 4 largeamplitude limit cycles simultaneously bifurcating from infinity, yielding the distribution $\{9,4\}$.

## 6. An example with 11 limit cycles at infinity

In this section, we present a special example of the piecewise cubic polynomial system (5) to show 11 limit cycles bifurcating from infinity. To achieve this, setting $A_{3}=B_{3}=A_{4}=B_{4}=A_{9}=B_{9}=A_{10}=B_{10}=0$ and $B_{6}=A_{6}$ in system (5), we obtain

$$
\binom{\dot{x}}{\dot{y}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\binom{A_{1} x+A_{2} y+A_{5} y^{2}+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{A_{6} x+A_{7} y+A_{8} x^{2}+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { for } y>0,  \tag{64}\\
\binom{B_{1} x+B_{2} y+B_{5} y^{2}+(\mu x-y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}{A_{6} x+B_{7} y+B_{8} x^{2}+(x+\mu y)\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right)}, \quad \text { for } y<0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under the transformations (51) and (52), system (64) becomes

Then we have the following two theorems.
Theorem 6.1. System (64) has a center at infinity (correspondingly system (65) has a center at the origin) if and only if one of the following conditions holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { IV }: \mu & =A_{1}+A_{7}=B_{1}+B_{7}=A_{8} B_{8}=0, \\
\text { V }: \mu & =A_{1}+B_{1}=A_{2}-B_{2}=A_{5}+B_{5}=A_{7}+B_{7}=A_{8}-B_{8}=0 . \tag{66}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. First, we prove that the conditions IV and $V$ are necessary. As discussed in the previous section, we have $\mu=0$ due to $U_{1}=0$. From the 4th Lyapunov constant, $U_{4}=-\frac{2}{9}\left(A_{8}-B_{8}\right)=0$, we get $B_{8}=A_{8}$. Then, we obtain $U_{7}=-\frac{1}{6}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}+B_{1}+B_{7}\right) \pi$. Taking $B_{7}=-A_{7}-A_{1}-B_{1}$ yields $U_{7}=0$, and then $U_{10}=-\frac{4}{27}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)\left(A_{5}+B_{5}\right)$.
(i) Letting $A_{7}=-A_{1}$ yields $U_{10}=0$, which gives the condition IV.
(ii) If $B_{5}=-A_{5}$, for which $U_{10}=0$, and then $U_{13}=\frac{1}{12}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)\left(-A_{2}+B_{2}\right) \pi$, which leads to $B_{2}=A_{2}$ from $U_{13}=0$. Consequently, we have $U_{16}=\frac{2}{15}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right) A_{8}\left(A_{1}+B_{1}\right)$.
(iia) If $B_{1}=-A_{1}$, we obtain the condition V .
(iib) If $A_{8}=0$, then we have

$$
U_{19}=-\frac{1}{24}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)\left(2 A_{1}+A_{7}-B_{1}\right)\left(A_{1}+B_{1}\right) \pi .
$$

Setting $U_{19}=0$ yields $B_{1}=2 A_{1}+A_{7}$, and then $U_{22}=-\frac{128}{1575} A_{5}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{2}\left(3 A_{1}+A_{7}\right)$. If $A_{7}=-3 A_{1}$, we get $B_{1}=-A_{1}$ which is included in condition V . Otherwise, we have $A_{5}=0$ from $U_{22}=0$. Further, we have $U_{25}=-\frac{1}{48}\left(3 A_{2}-5 A_{6}\right)\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{2}\left(3 A_{1}+A_{7}\right) \pi$. Taking $A_{2}=\frac{5}{3} A_{6}$ yields $U_{25}=0$,
leads to $U_{28}=U_{34}=U_{40}=0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{31}=\frac{1}{1152}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{2}\left(3 A_{1}+A_{7}\right)\left(45 A_{1}^{2}-32 A_{6}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2}\right) \pi \\
& U_{37}=-\frac{1}{1620} A_{6}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{2}\left(3 A_{1}+A_{7}\right)\left(-266 A_{6}^{2}+333 A_{1} A_{7}+222 A_{7}^{2}\right) \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

We compute the resultant,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Res}\left(45 A_{1}^{2}-32 A_{6}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2},-266 A_{6}^{2}+333 A_{1} A_{7}+222 A_{7}^{2}, A_{1}\right)  \tag{67}\\
& =3184020 A_{6}^{4}+2297700 A_{6}^{2} A_{7}^{2}+554445 A_{7}^{4},
\end{align*}
$$

which does not have non-zero real solutions. If $A_{6}=0$, we have $U_{31}=\frac{1}{1152}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{2}\left(3 A_{1}+\right.$ $\left.A_{7}\right)\left(45 A_{1}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2}\right) \pi, U_{37}=0$, and

$$
U_{43}=\frac{373}{108000} A_{7}^{3}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{2}\left(3 A_{1}+A_{7}\right)\left(66 A_{1}+49 A_{7}\right) \pi
$$

Then, computing the resultant to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}\left(45 A_{1}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2}, A_{7}\left(66 A_{1}+49 A_{7}\right), A_{1}\right)=1125 A_{7}^{4} . \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $45 A_{1}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2}=A_{7}\left(66 A_{1}+49 A_{7}\right)=0$ if and only if $A_{1}=A_{7}=0$.
Next, we prove the sufficiency of the conditions IV and V. When the condition IV is satisfied, system (65) can be rewritten as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\binom{\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\eta+A_{5} \eta^{4}-\frac{A_{5}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4 A_{8}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}+A_{2} \eta^{7}+\frac{7 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{6} \xi \\
+\frac{5 A_{2}-4 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}+\frac{13 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{4} \xi^{3}+\frac{A_{2}-8 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
+\frac{5 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\frac{A_{2}+4 A_{6}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}-\frac{A_{1}}{3} \xi^{7}, \\
\xi-\frac{4 A_{5}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi-\frac{A_{8}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}+A_{8} \xi^{4}+\frac{A_{1}}{3} \eta^{7}-\frac{4 A_{2}+A_{6}}{3} \eta^{6} \xi \\
-\frac{5 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}+\frac{A_{6}-8 A_{2}}{3} \eta^{4} \xi^{3}-\frac{13 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
+\frac{5 A_{6}-4 A_{2}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\frac{7 A_{1}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}+A_{6} \xi^{7}, \\
\end{array}\right.  \tag{69}\\
\begin{array}{l}
-\eta+B_{5} \eta^{4}-\frac{B_{5}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4 A_{8}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}+B_{2} \eta^{7}+\frac{7 B_{1}}{3} \eta^{6} \xi \\
+\frac{5 B_{2}-4 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}+\frac{13 B_{1}}{3} \eta^{4} \xi^{3}+\frac{B_{2}-8 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
\xi-\frac{4 B_{5}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\frac{B_{2}+4 A_{6}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}-\frac{B_{1}}{3} \xi^{7}, \\
-\frac{5 B_{1}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}+\frac{A_{6}-8 B_{2}}{3} \eta_{8}^{4} \xi^{3}-\frac{13 B_{1}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
+\frac{5 A_{6}-4 B_{2}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\frac{7 B_{1}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}+A_{6} \xi^{7},
\end{array} \\
\hline
\end{array}\right), \quad \text { for } \eta<0
$$

The upper and lower systems in (69) have an integral factor,

$$
M(x, y)=\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{-7}
$$

and first integrals:

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{+}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{36\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{6}}\left(3-4 A_{5} \eta^{3}-6 A_{2} \eta^{6}-12 A_{1} \eta^{5} \xi-12 A_{2} \eta^{4} \xi^{2}+6 A_{6} \eta^{4} \xi^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+4 A_{8} \xi^{3}-24 A_{1} \eta^{3} \xi^{3}-6 A_{2} \eta^{2} \xi^{4}+12 A_{6} \eta^{2} \xi^{4}-12 A_{1} \eta \xi^{5}+6 A_{6} \xi^{6}\right) \\
H^{-}(\xi, \eta) & =\frac{1}{36\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)^{6}}\left(3-4 B_{5} \eta^{3}-6 B_{2} \eta^{6}-12 B_{1} \eta^{5} \xi-12 B_{2} \eta^{4} \xi^{2}+6 A_{6} \eta^{4} \xi^{2}\right.  \tag{70}\\
& \left.+4 A_{8} \xi^{3}-24 B_{1} \eta^{3} \xi^{3}-6 B_{2} \eta^{2} \xi^{4}+12 A_{6} \eta^{2} \xi^{4}-12 B_{1} \eta \xi^{5}+6 A_{6} \xi^{6}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the condition $H^{+}(\xi, 0) \equiv H^{-}(\xi, 0)$ in Lemma 2.1 holds, which implies that infinity of system (64) $\left.\right|_{\mu=0}$ is a center.

If the condition $V$ holds, system (65) can be rewritten as

$$
\binom{\frac{\mathrm{d} \xi}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}{\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\eta+A_{5} \eta^{4}-\frac{A_{5}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4 A_{8}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}+A_{2} \eta^{7}+\frac{3 A_{1}-4 A_{7}}{3} \eta^{6} \xi  \tag{71}\\
+\frac{5 A_{2}-4 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}+\frac{5 A_{1}-8 A_{7}}{3} \eta^{4} \xi^{3}+\frac{A_{2}-8 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
+\frac{A_{1}-4 A_{7}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\frac{A_{2}+4 A_{6}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}-\frac{A_{1}}{3} \xi^{7}, \\
\xi-\frac{4 A_{5}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi-\frac{A_{8}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}+A_{8} \xi^{4}-\frac{A_{7}}{3} \eta^{7}-\frac{4 A_{2}+A_{6}}{3} \eta^{6} \xi \\
+\frac{A_{7}-4 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}+\frac{A_{6}-8 A_{2}}{3} \eta^{4} \xi^{3}+\frac{5 A_{7}-8 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
+\frac{5 A_{6}-4 A_{2}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}+\frac{3 A_{7}-4 A_{1}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}+A_{6} \xi^{7}, \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\eta-A_{5} \eta^{4}+\frac{A_{5}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}-\frac{4 A_{8}}{3} \eta \xi^{3}+A_{2} \eta^{7}-\frac{3 A_{1}-4 A_{7}}{3} \eta^{6} \xi \\
+\frac{5 A_{2}-4 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}-\frac{5 A_{1}-8 A_{7}}{3} \eta^{4} \xi^{3}+\frac{A_{2}-8 A_{6}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
-\frac{A_{1}-4 A_{7}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\frac{A_{2}+4 A_{6}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}+\frac{A_{1}}{3} \xi^{7}, \\
\xi+\frac{4 A_{5}^{3}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi-\frac{A_{8}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{2}+A_{8} \xi^{4}+\frac{A_{7}}{3} \eta^{7}-\frac{4 A_{2}+A_{6}}{3} \eta^{6} \xi \\
-\frac{A_{7}-4 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{5} \xi^{2}+\frac{A_{6}-8 A_{2}}{3} \eta^{4} \xi^{3}-\frac{5 A_{7}-8 A_{1}}{3} \eta^{3} \xi^{4} \\
+\frac{5 A_{6}-4 A_{2}}{3} \eta^{2} \xi^{5}-\frac{3 A_{7}-4 A_{1}}{3} \eta \xi^{6}+A_{6} \xi^{7},
\end{array}, \quad \text { for } \eta<0 .\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

Obviously, system (71) is symmetric with respect to the $\xi$-axis. Hence, infinity of system (64) $\left.\right|_{\mu=0}$ is a center.

Theorem 6.2. If the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mu=A_{2}=A_{5}=A_{6}=A_{8}=B_{2}=B_{5}=B_{8}=2 A_{1}+A_{7}-B_{1}=3 A_{1}+2 A_{7}+B_{7}=0, \\
& 45 A_{1}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2}=0, \quad A_{1}+A_{7} \neq 0, \quad A_{1} A_{7} \neq 0, \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

are satisfied, then system (64) can be perturbed to have 11 limit cycles near infinity.

Proof. It has been shown in Theorem 6.1 that if the conditions in (72) are satisfied, infinity of system (64) is a 21 -order weak focus. Further, it can be shown that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial\left(U_{4}, U_{7}, U_{10}, U_{13}, U_{16}, U_{19}, U_{22}, U_{25}, U_{31}, U_{37}\right)}{\partial\left(A_{2}, A_{5}, A_{6}, A_{7}, A_{8}, B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{5}, B_{7}, B_{8}\right)}\right]_{(72)} \\
& =-\frac{37 A_{7}\left(A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{11}\left(3 A_{1}+A_{7}\right)^{5} \pi^{6}}{16070775840000}\left(3 A_{1}+2 A_{7}\right)\left(231 A_{1}^{3}+645 A_{1}^{2} A_{7}+513 A_{1} A_{7}^{2}+115 A_{7}^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $H_{0}=45 A_{1}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2}$. Then, we compute the resultants to obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}\left(H_{0}, 3 A_{1}+2 A_{7}, A_{1}\right)=45 A_{7}^{2} \neq 0, \\
& \operatorname{Res}\left(H_{0}, 231 A_{1}^{3}+645 A_{1}^{2} A_{7}+513 A_{1} A_{7}^{2}+115 A_{7}^{3}, A_{1}\right)=91570176 A_{7}^{6} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

indicating that $H_{0}=3 A_{1}+2 A_{7}=0$ and $H_{0}=231 A_{1}^{3}+645 A_{1}^{2} A_{7}+513 A_{1} A_{7}^{2}+115 A_{7}^{3}=0$ have no non-zero real solutions. Therefore,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left[\frac{\partial\left(U_{4}, U_{7}, U_{10}, U_{13}, U_{16}, U_{19}, U_{22}, U_{25}, U_{31}, U_{37}\right)}{\partial\left(A_{2}, A_{5}, A_{6}, A_{7}, A_{8}, B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{5}, B_{7}, B_{8}\right)}\right]_{(72)} \neq 0
$$

which indicates that $d_{\infty}(r)$ has 11 simple zeros near $r=0$. This shows that 11 large-amplitude limit cycles can bifurcate from infinity of system (64). A concrete numerical example for $d_{\infty}(r)$ to have 11 simple zeros is given in the next section.

## 7. A numerical example realization of the 11 limit cycles

Theorem 6.2 guarantees the existence of 11 large-amplitude limit cycles in system (64) under small perturbations. In order to obtain 11 large-amplitude limit cycles bifurcating from infinity, one needs to find exact 11 positive roots solved from the polynomial equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\infty}(r)=U_{1} r+U_{4} r^{4}+\cdots+U_{37} r^{37}+U_{43} r^{43}=0 . \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, it is not an easy task to find a set of explicit parameter values to have a numerical realization. In particular, it is extremely difficult to obtain a numerical set of perturbations for the case of high multiple limit cycles. However, for our case, since the perturbations can be done one by one, it is possible to obtain parameter values such that the Eq. (73) can have 11 positive solutions. In the following, we present a concrete example for illustration. The complete set of critical values of ( $\mu_{c}, A_{1 c}, A_{2 c}, \ldots, B_{7 c}, B_{8 c}$ ) are given in (72). Under these conditions, we have $U_{i}=0, i=1,2, \ldots, 42$, but $U_{43} \neq 0$. Further, solving $A_{1}$ and $A_{7}$ from the equation

$$
45 A_{1}^{2}+126 A_{1} A_{7}+69 A_{7}^{2}=0
$$

yields two real solutions. We choose one of them, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}=0.37656799869833483520 \cdots, \quad A_{7}=-0.50424025103273360438 \cdots \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, we need perturbations such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<U_{1} \ll-U_{4} \ll U_{7} \ll-U_{10} \ll U_{13} \ll-U_{16} \ll U_{19} \ll-U_{22} \ll U_{25} \ll-U_{31} \ll U_{37} \ll 1 . \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

We take perturbations in the backward order: on $A_{6}$ for $U_{37}$, on $A_{2}$ for $U_{25}$, on $A_{5}$ for $U_{22}$, on $B_{1}$ for $U_{19}$, on $A_{8}$ for $U_{16}$, on $B_{2}$ for $U_{13}$, on $B_{5}$ for $U_{10}$, on $B_{7}$ for $U_{7}$, on $B_{8}$ for $U_{4}$, on $\mu$ for $U_{1}$. More precisely, we
choose

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu & =\mu_{c}+1.7 \times 10^{-68}=1.7 \times 10^{-68}, \\
A_{2} & =A_{2 c}+0.00033333333=0.00033333333, \\
A_{5} & =A_{5 c}+10^{-15}=10^{-15}, \\
A_{6} & =A_{6 c}+0.0002=0.0002, \\
A_{8} & =A_{8 c}+10^{-25}=10^{-25}, \\
B_{1} & =B_{1 c}-9 \times 10^{-21} \\
& =0.248895746363936066016291164691594557962220996910691649508, \\
B_{2} & =B_{2 c}+0.00033333333299999999999999999997008184186959518186004  \tag{76}\\
& =0.00033333333299999999999999999997008184186959518186004, \\
B_{5} & =B_{5 c}-1.0000000000000000000000052869749507672354975752845 \times 10^{-15} \\
& =-1.0000000000000000000000052869749507672354975752845 \times 10^{-15}, \\
B_{7} & =B_{7 c}+8.9999999999999999999999999981 \times 10^{-21} \\
& =-0.12122349402953729683852907185263420053122835248621, \\
B_{8} & =B_{8 c}+9.99999999999999999999999999999955 \times 10^{-26} \\
& =9.99999999999999999999999999999955 \times 10^{-26} .
\end{align*}
$$

With the above perturbed parameter values, we obtain the following Lyapunov constants:

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{1}=1.06814150222052970107729875031503 \times 10^{-67}, \\
& U_{4}=-10^{-57}, \\
& U_{7}=10^{-48}, \\
& U_{10}=-10^{-40}, \\
& U_{13}=10^{-33}, \\
& U_{16}=-1.0647248678081108360917361383756436548140191531415 \times 10^{-27},  \tag{77}\\
& U_{19}=9.4076207516259133434330217147167515034784700337664 \times 10^{-23}, \\
& U_{22}=-8.2856120069794437863240270300666625581487535135386 \times 10^{-19}, \\
& U_{25}=6.672734058155054546472804002072488405209027013565 \times 10^{-16}, \\
& U_{31}=-3.5587914976826957581188288011053271494449005158177 \times 10^{-11}, \\
& U_{37}=2.6829217281236385925660150873455717155374539666814 \times 10^{-8}, \\
& U_{43}=-0.20665634791709396362537493136546130186290774390368,
\end{align*}
$$

for which Eq. (73) has 11 positive roots:

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{1} \approx 0.0004951057, \quad r_{2} \approx 0.0009962443, \quad r_{3} \approx 0.0021544391, \quad r_{4} \approx 0.0046561506, \\
& r_{5} \approx 0.0096891379, \quad r_{6} \approx 0.0226219191, \quad r_{7} \approx 0.0482546035, \quad r_{8} \approx 0.1070254815,  \tag{78}\\
& r_{9} \approx 0.1523230384, \quad r_{10} \approx 0.3375260483, \quad r_{11} \approx 0.4749986020,
\end{align*}
$$

as expected. It should be noted that $U_{2}=U_{3}=U_{5}=U_{6}=\cdots=0$ even under the perturbations.

## 8. Conclusion

In this paper, we have discussed the center problem and bifurcation of limit cycles for two types of piecewise cubic polynomial systems. We have developed a computationally efficient method for computing
the Lyapunov constants at infinity of piecewise polynomial systems. Using our method, we consider a special piecewise cubic polynomial system to show the existence of 13 limit cycles with either $\{9,4\}$ or $\{4,9\}$ distribution at the origin and infinity. Moreover, we construct a special system to prove the existence of 11 limit cycles bifurcating from infinity, which is a new best result in the direction of this research.

We would like to develop more computationally efficient methodology to study bifurcations of limit cycles around the origin and infinity simultaneously in piecewise polynomial systems. In particular, finding the maximal number of limit cycles bifurcating at infinity for general piecewise polynomial systems with no singular point at infinity is a challenging task.
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