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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study Hopf-zero bifurcation in a generalized Gopalsamy neural network model. By using multiple time scales and center manifold reduction methods, we obtain the normal forms near a Hopf-zero critical point. A comparison between these two methods shows that the two normal forms are equivalent. Moreover, bifurcations are classified in two-dimensional parameter space near the critical point, and numerical simulations are presented to demonstrate the applicability of the theoretical results.
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## 1 Introduction

Over the past two decades, there has been an increasing interest in the study of neuron systems, for example, in mathematical modeling of neural networks and artificial representations. Neural networks have many

[^0]applications, such as pattern recognition, associative memory, and combinatorial optimization [1, 2]. Such applications heavily depend on the dynamical behaviors of neural network models. Thus, analysis of the dynamical behavior is necessary for a practical neural network model. However, since the signal transmission from one neuron to another is not instantaneous, time delay should be incorporated into the neural network models in order for the analysis to be more realistic. Therefore, recent attention has been focused on the study of dynamics of neural network models with delay.

There have been many kinds of neural network models, such as Hopfield neural network model [3, 4], cellular neural network model [5, 6], bi-directional associative memory neural network model [7-9], Baldi and Atiya neural network model $[10,11]$, CohenGrossberg neural network model [12, 13], and Gopalsamy neural network model [14, 15]. For these neural network models, the main focus is on the local stability of fixed points, the existence and stability of periodic solutions (e.g. see [16-20]). On one hand, these fixed points are locally stable, and we hope to find conditions under which a fixed point can be globally stable. Several papers are devoted to study the global asymptotic stability of equilibrium solutions (e.g. see [21-25]). On the other hand, periodic solutions only exist in small neighborhoods of the critical points. We wonder whether these periodic solutions can continue to exist for a large range of parameter values. It is also an important mathematical subject to investigate
if these non-trivial periodic solutions exist globally. A number of works are focused on global existence of periodic solutions (e.g. see [26-30]). In particular, Campbell et al. [31] and Yuan [32] analyzed the bifurcation and stability of non-trivial asynchronous oscillations from the trivial solution in neural network models using equivariant bifurcation theory and center manifold construction. Some neural network models with high co-dimensional bifurcations have also been considered. For example, Yan [33] studied Hopfpitchfork bifurcation in a simplified tri-neuron BAM network model with multiple delays. Campbell and Yuan [34] obtained the conditions under which a general class of delay differential equations has a critical point of Bogdanov-Takens or a triple zero bifurcation. Guo et al. [35] discussed Hopf-fold and double Hopf bifurcations in a network of two neurons with two delays.

In this paper, we consider the following generalized Gopalsamy neural network model [36]:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x_{1}}(t)=-x_{1}(t)+a_{1} \tanh \left[x_{3}(t)-b x_{3}(t-\tau)\right]  \tag{1}\\
\dot{x_{2}}(t)=-x_{2}(t)+a_{2} \tanh \left[x_{1}(t)-b x_{1}(t-\tau)\right] \\
\dot{x_{3}}(t)=-x_{3}(t)+a_{3} \tanh \left[x_{2}(t)-b x_{2}(t-\tau)\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ corresponds to the range of the continuous variable $x_{i}, b>0$ is the measure of the inhibitory influence of the past history, and $\tau>0$ is time delay. In biological fields, such a feedback is known as reverberation, while in the field of artificial neural network, it is known as an excitation or inhibition from other neurons. Gopalsamy and Leung [14] proposed a one-dimensional neuron model (called the Gopalsamy neural network model) for which Lyapunov functions are constructed to show that the trivial equilibrium is globally asymptotically stable for all the values of time delay. Liao et al. [37] studied the stability switches and bifurcation of a two-neuron Gopalsamy neural network system with a distributed time delay. Liao et al. [36] also derived sufficient delay-dependent criteria to ensure global asymptotical stability of the trivial equilibrium of (1), and obtained the stability and direction of bifurcating periodic solutions by using normal form theory and center manifold theorem.

Multiple time scales (MTS) $[38,39]$ and center manifold reduction (CMR) [40, 41] are two methods for computing normal forms of differential equations. The multiple time scales method is systematic and can be directly applied to the original nonlinear dynamical
system, which is described by not only ordinary differential equations (ODEs) but also delayed differential equations (DDEs), without application of the center manifold theory. In fact, this approach combines the two steps involved in using the center manifold theory and normal form theory into one unified step to obtain the normal form and nonlinear transformation simultaneously [42, 43]. Based on MTS, Yu [44-46] developed Maple programs for computing the normal forms of Hopf bifurcation and other singularities for a given general $n$-dimensional ODE. Moreover, the MTS method only contains algebraic manipulations, which greatly facilitates computer implement in symbolic computations. For a given ODE, the basic idea of the center manifold theory is applying successive coordinate transformations to systematically construct a simpler system which has less dimension compared to the original system, and thus greatly simplifies the dynamical analysis of the system. For DDE systems, however, one needs to first change the retarded equations to operator differential equations, and then decompose the solution space of their linearized form into stable and center manifolds. Next, with adjoint operator equations, one computes the center manifold by projecting the whole space to the center manifold, and finally calculates the normal form restricted to the center manifold (e.g. see [47-49]). Nayfeh [43] used these two approaches to derive the identical normal forms of Hopf bifurcations in DDEs. Ding et al. [50] applied the two methods to obtain the normal forms near a double Hopf critical point in a delayed equation, and also showed the equivalence of the two normal forms.

In this paper, we will derive the normal form of Hopf-zero bifurcation of (1) by using the MTS and CMR methods. The multiple time scales method is the first time to be used to consider the Hopf-zero bifurcation in delay differential equations, and further shows that the multiple time scales is simpler than the center manifold reduction, though the results from the two methods are equivalent. Furthermore, we will carry out bifurcation analysis and numerical simulations, showing that there exist a stable fixed point, a pair of stable fixed points, a stable periodic solution, and co-existence of a pair of stable fixed points and a stable periodic solution in the neighborhood of the Hopf-zero critical point.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we consider existence of Hopf-zero bifurcation in a generalized Gopalsamy neural network model
with delay, and use two methods to derive the normal forms associated with the Hopf-zero critical point. Then bifurcation analysis and numerical simulations are presented in Sect. 3, and finally, the conclusion is drawn in Sect. 4.

## 2 Bifurcation analysis and normal form method

In this section, we consider the generalized Gopalsamy neural network model with delay, described by (1), and use the MTS and CMR methods to derive the normal form of the system associated with Hopf-zero bifurcation. With the transformation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{1}(t)=x_{1}(t)-b x_{1}(t-\tau),  \tag{2}\\
y_{2}(t)=x_{2}(t)-b x_{2}(t-\tau), \\
y_{3}(t)=x_{3}(t)-b x_{3}(t-\tau),
\end{array}\right.
$$

system (1) can be transformed to the following equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y_{1}}(t)= & -y_{1}(t)+a_{1} \tanh \left[y_{3}(t)\right]  \tag{3}\\
& -a_{1} b \tanh \left[y_{3}(t-\tau)\right], \\
\dot{y_{2}}(t)= & -y_{2}(t)+a_{2} \tanh \left[y_{1}(t)\right] \\
& -a_{2} b \tanh \left[y_{1}(t-\tau)\right], \\
\dot{y_{3}}(t)= & -y_{3}(t)+a_{3} \tanh \left[y_{2}(t)\right] \\
& -a_{3} b \tanh \left[y_{2}(t-\tau)\right] .
\end{align*}\right.
$$

### 2.1 System formulation

The characteristic equation of (3), evaluated at the trivial equilibrium $\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=(0,0,0)$, is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\lambda+1)^{3}-a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\left(1-b \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau}\right)^{3} \\
\quad=\Lambda_{1}(\lambda) \Lambda_{2}(\lambda) \Lambda_{3}(\lambda)=0, \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{1}(\lambda)= & \lambda+1-a\left(1-b \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau}\right), \\
\Lambda_{2}(\lambda)= & \lambda+1+\frac{1}{2} a\left(1-b \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau}\right) \\
& -\frac{\sqrt{3} a\left(1-b \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau}\right) \mathrm{i}}{2}, \\
\Lambda_{3}(\lambda)= & \lambda+1+\frac{1}{2} a\left(1-b \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\frac{\sqrt{3} a\left(1-b \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda \tau}\right) \mathrm{i}}{2}
$$

with $a=\sqrt[3]{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}$.
There are several types of bifurcations.
Case 1. Fixed-point bifurcation.
Substituting $\lambda=0$ into (4), we obtain $a(1-b)=1$, under which the three roots of (4) with $\tau=0$ are $\lambda_{1}=0$ and $\lambda_{2,3}=-\frac{3}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \mathrm{i}$, and system (3) undergoes a fixed- point bifurcation.

Case 2. Hopf bifurcation.
To find possible periodic solutions, which may bifurcate from a Hopf critical point, let $\lambda=\mathrm{i} \omega_{1}$ ( $\mathrm{i}^{2}=-1, \omega_{1}>0$ ) be a root of $\Lambda_{1}(\lambda)=0$. Substituting the root into $\Lambda_{1}(\lambda)=0$, and separating the real and imaginary parts yields
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}a-1=a b \cos \left(\omega_{1} \tau\right), \\ \omega_{1}=a b \sin \left(\omega_{1} \tau\right),\end{array}\right.$
from which we obtain
$\omega_{1}=\sqrt{a^{2} b^{2}-(a-1)^{2}}$,
under the assumption:
$a^{2} b^{2}>(a-1)^{2}$.
Further, the time delay $\tau$ can be determined from (5) as

$$
\tau_{1}^{(j)}= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{\omega_{1}}\left[\arccos \left(\frac{a-1}{a b}\right)+2 j \pi\right], & \text { for } a b>0,  \tag{8}\\ \frac{1}{\omega_{1}}\left[2(j+1) \pi-\arccos \left(\frac{a-1}{a b}\right)\right], & \text { for } a b<0,\end{cases}
$$

where $j=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Let $\lambda(\tau)=\alpha(\tau)+\mathrm{i} \omega(\tau)$ be the root of $\Lambda_{1}(\lambda)=$ 0 , satisfying $\alpha\left(\tau_{1}^{(j)}\right)=0$ and $\omega\left(\tau_{1}^{(j)}\right)=\omega_{1} \quad(j=$ $0,1,2, \ldots)$. Then we have the transversality conditions are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{1}^{(j)}\right)^{-1}=\frac{1}{a^{2} b^{2}}>0, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Next, let $\lambda=\mathrm{i} \omega_{2}\left(\omega_{2}>0\right)$ be a root of $\Lambda_{2}(\lambda)=0$. Similarly, substituting it into $\Lambda_{2}(\lambda)=0$ and separat-
ing the real and imaginary parts yields

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+\frac{a}{2}=a b \cos \left(\omega_{2} \tau+\frac{\pi}{3}\right),  \tag{10}\\
\omega_{2}-\frac{\sqrt{3} a}{2}=-a b \sin \left(\omega_{2} \tau+\frac{\pi}{3}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(\omega_{2} \tau\right)=\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1-\sqrt{3} \omega_{2}}{2 a b}  \tag{11}\\
\sin \left(\omega_{2} \tau\right)=-\frac{\omega_{2}+\sqrt{3}}{2 a b}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and
$\omega_{2}^{2}-\sqrt{3} a \omega_{2}+a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}=0$.
When $a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}<0$, the above quadratic polynomial equation has a positive root,
$\omega_{2}^{(1)}=\frac{\sqrt{3} a+\sqrt{4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-4 a-4}}{2}$.
When $a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}>0,4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-4 a-4>0$ and $a>0$, it has two positive roots,
$\omega_{2}^{(2,3)}=\frac{\sqrt{3} a \pm \sqrt{4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-4 a-4}}{2}$.
Further, it follows from (11) that
$\tau_{2, k}^{(j)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{1}{\omega_{2}^{(k)}}\left[\arccos \left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1-\sqrt{3} \omega_{2}^{(k)}}{2 a b}\right)+2 j \pi\right], \\ \text { for } \frac{\omega_{2}^{(k)}+\sqrt{3}}{2 a b} \leq 0, \\ \frac{1}{\omega_{2}^{(k)}}\left[2(j+1) \pi-\arccos \left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1-\sqrt{3} \omega_{2}^{(k)}}{2 a b}\right)\right], \\ \text { for } \frac{\omega_{2}^{(k)}+\sqrt{3}}{2 a b}>0,\end{array}\right.$
where $k=1,2,3 ; j=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Let $\lambda(\tau)=\alpha(\tau)+\mathrm{i} \omega(\tau)$ be the root of $\Lambda_{2}(\lambda)=0$, satisfying $\alpha\left(\tau_{2, k}^{(j)}\right)=0$, and $\omega\left(\tau_{2, k}^{(j)}\right)=\omega_{2}^{(k)} \quad(j=$ $0,1,2, \ldots ; k=1,2,3)$. Then we have
$\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2, k}^{(j)}\right)^{-1}=\frac{2 \omega_{2}^{(k)}-\sqrt{3} a}{2 a^{2} b^{2} \omega_{2}^{(k)}}$,
where $k=1,2,3 ; j=0,1,2, \ldots$ Thus, the transversality conditions are
$\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2,1}^{(j)}\right)>0, \quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2,2}^{(j)}\right)>0, \quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2,3}^{(j)}\right)<0$,
where $j=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Finally, let $\lambda=\mathrm{i} \omega_{3}\left(\omega_{3}>0\right)$ be a root of $\Lambda_{3}(\lambda)=0$. Substituting it into $\Lambda_{3}(\lambda)=0$ and separating the real and imaginary parts results in

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1+\frac{a}{2}=a b \cos \left(\omega_{3} \tau-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)  \tag{16}\\
\omega_{3}-\frac{\sqrt{3} a}{2}=-a b \sin \left(\omega_{3} \tau-\frac{\pi}{3}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\cos \left(\omega_{3} \tau\right)=\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1+\sqrt{3} \omega_{3}}{2 a b}  \tag{17}\\
\sin \left(\omega_{3} \tau\right)=-\frac{\sqrt{3}-\omega_{3}}{2 a b}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and
$\omega_{3}^{2}+\sqrt{3} a \omega_{3}+a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}=0$.
When $a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}<0$, a positive solution of the above equation is
$\omega_{3}^{(1)}=\frac{-\sqrt{3} a+\sqrt{4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-4 a-4}}{2}$.
When $a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}>0,4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-4 a-4>0$ and $a<0$, the above equation has two positive roots,
$\omega_{3}^{(2,3)}=\frac{-\sqrt{3} a \pm \sqrt{4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-4 a-4}}{2}$.
Further, from (17), we obtain the time delay, given by

$$
\tau_{3, k}^{(j)}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\omega_{3}^{(k)}}\left[\arccos \left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1+\sqrt{3} \omega_{3}^{(k)}}{2 a b}\right)+2 j \pi\right],  \tag{20}\\
\text { for } \frac{\sqrt{3}-\omega_{3}^{(k)}}{2 a b} \geq 0, \\
\frac{1}{\omega_{3}^{(k)}}\left[2(j+1) \pi-\arccos \left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1+\sqrt{3} \omega_{3}^{(k)}}{2 a b}\right)\right], \\
\text { for } \frac{\sqrt{3}-\omega_{3}^{(k)}}{2 a b}<0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k=1,2,3 ; j=0,1,2, \ldots$.
Let $\lambda(\tau)=\alpha(\tau)+\mathrm{i} \omega(\tau)$ be the root of $\Lambda_{3}(\lambda)=0$, satisfying $\alpha\left(\tau_{3, k}^{(j)}\right)=0$, and $\omega\left(\tau_{3, k}^{(j)}\right)=\omega_{3}^{(k)}(j=$ $0,1,2, \ldots ; k=1,2,3)$. Thus,
$\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{3, k}^{(j)}\right)^{-1}=\frac{2 \omega_{3}^{(k)}+\sqrt{3} a}{2 a^{2} b^{2} \omega_{3}^{(k)}}$,
where $k=1,2,3 ; j=0,1,2, \ldots$ The transversality conditions are
$\alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{3,1}^{(j)}\right)>0, \quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{3,2}^{(j)}\right)>0, \quad \alpha^{\prime}\left(\tau_{3,3}^{(j)}\right)<0$,
where $j=0,1,2, \ldots$
Case 3. Hopf-zero bifurcation.
Combining cases 1 and 2, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 1 Assume $a-a b-1=0$. Then a Hopf-zero bifurcation occurs associated with eigenvalues, 0 and $\pm \mathrm{i} \omega$, under each of the following three conditions.
(1) When $a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}<0, \omega=\omega_{2}^{(1)}$ or $\omega_{3}^{(1)}$, where $\omega_{2}^{(1)}$ and $\omega_{3}^{(1)}$ are given by (12) and (18), respectively, and the critical time delays and transversality conditions are given by (14), (15) and (20), (21), respectively. Moreover, when $\tau \in$ $\left[0, \tau_{0}\right)$, where $\tau_{0}=\min \left\{\tau_{2,1}^{(0)}, \tau_{3,1}^{(0)}\right\}$, the characteristic equation (4) has a zero, and all the other roots have negative real part. When $\tau>\tau_{0}$, the trivial equilibrium is unstable.
(2) When $a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}>0,4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-$ $4 a-4>0$ and $a>0, \omega=\omega_{2}^{(2)}$ or $\omega_{2}^{(3)}$, where $\omega_{2}^{(2,3)}$ are given by (13), and the critical time delays and transversality conditions are given by (14) and (15), respectively. Moreover, there exists $m \in \mathrm{~N}$, such that $\tau_{2,2}^{(0)}<\tau_{2,3}^{(0)} \cdots<\tau_{2,2}^{(m)}<\tau_{2,3}^{(m)}<$ $\tau_{2,2}^{(m+1)}<\tau_{2,2}^{(m+2)}<\ldots$, thus, when $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{2,2}^{(0)}\right) \cup$ $\bigcup_{k=0}^{m}\left(\tau_{2,3}^{(k)}, \tau_{2,2}^{(k+1)}\right)$, the characteristic equation (4) has a zero, and all the other roots have negative real part; when $\tau \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{m}\left(\tau_{2,2}^{(k)}, \tau_{2,3}^{(k)}\right) \cup$ $\left(\tau_{2,2}^{(m+1)},+\infty\right)$, the trivial equilibrium is unstable.
(3) When $a^{2}+a+1-a^{2} b^{2}>0,4 a^{2} b^{2}-a^{2}-$ $4 a-4>0$ and $a<0, \omega=\omega_{3}^{(2)}$ or $\omega_{3}^{(3)}$, where $\omega_{3}^{(2,3)}$ are given by (19), and the critical time delays and transversality conditions are given by (20) and (21), respectively. Moreover, there exists $m \in N$, such that $\tau_{3,2}^{(0)}<\tau_{3,3}^{(0)} \cdots<\tau_{3,2}^{(m)}<\tau_{3,3}^{(m)}<$ $\tau_{3,2}^{(m+1)}<\tau_{3,2}^{(m+2)}<\ldots$, thus, when $\tau \in\left[0, \tau_{3,2}^{(0)}\right) \cup$ $\bigcup_{k=0}^{m}\left(\tau_{3,3}^{(k)}, \tau_{3,2}^{(k+1)}\right)$, the characteristic equation (4) has a zero, and all the other roots have negative real part; when $\tau \in \bigcup_{k=0}^{m}\left(\tau_{3,2}^{(k)}, \tau_{3,3}^{(k)}\right) \cup$ $\left(\tau_{3,2}^{(m+1)},+\infty\right)$, the trivial equilibrium is unstable.

### 2.2 Normal form of Hopf-zero bifurcation

In this subsection, we first derive the normal form of Hopf-zero bifurcation by using the multiple time scales method. We treat the measure of the inhibitory influence of the past history, $b$, and the time delay, $\tau$, as two bifurcation parameters. Thus, suppose system (3) undergoes a Hopf-zero bifurcation from the trivial equilibrium at the critical point: $b=b_{c}, \tau=\tau_{c}$. The Taylor expansion of Eq. (3) truncated at the cubic order terms is as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
\dot{Y}(t)= & M_{1} Y(t)+b M_{2} Y(t-\tau) \\
& +f(Y(t), Y(t-\tau)) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$Y(t)=\left(\begin{array}{l}y_{1}(t) \\ y_{2}(t) \\ y_{3}(t)\end{array}\right), \quad M_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & a_{1} \\ a_{2} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{3} & -1\end{array}\right)$,
$M_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & -a_{1} \\ -a_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{3} & 0\end{array}\right)$,
and
$f(Y(t), Y(t-\tau))=\left(\begin{array}{c}\frac{a_{1} b}{3} y_{3}^{3}(t-\tau)-\frac{a_{1}}{3} y_{3}^{3}(t) \\ \frac{a_{2} b}{3} y_{1}^{3}(t-\tau)-\frac{a_{2}}{3} y_{1}^{3}(t) \\ \frac{a_{3} b}{3} y_{2}^{3}(t-\tau)-\frac{a_{3}}{3} y_{2}^{3}(t)\end{array}\right)$.
Assume that linear equation
$\dot{Y}(t)=M_{1} Y(t)+b M_{2} Y(t-\tau) \triangleq L_{\tau}(Y(t), Y(t-\tau))$
has a pair of purely imaginary roots $\pm \mathrm{i} \omega$ and a zero root at critical point: $b=b_{c}, \tau=\tau_{c}$, and no other roots with zero real part. Let $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ be the two eigenvectors of the linear operator $L_{\tau_{c}}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\mathrm{i} \omega$ and 0 , respectively, and let $p_{1}^{*}$ and $p_{2}^{*}$ be the two normalized eigenvectors of the adjoint operator $L_{\tau_{c}}^{*}$ of the linear operator $L_{\tau_{c}}$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $-i \omega$ and 0 , respectively, satisfying the inner product
$\left\langle p_{i}^{*}, p_{i}\right\rangle=\left(\bar{p}_{i}^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} p_{i}=1, \quad i=1,2$.

By a simple calculation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1} & =\left(p_{11}, p_{12}, p_{13}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& =\left(1, \frac{a_{2}\left(1-b_{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)}{1+\mathrm{i} \omega}, \frac{1+\mathrm{i} \omega}{a_{1}\left(1-b_{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \\
p_{2} & =\left(p_{21}, p_{22}, p_{23}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& =\left(1, a_{2}\left(1-b_{c}\right), \frac{1}{a_{1}\left(1-b_{c}\right)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, \\
p_{1}^{*} & =\left(p_{11}^{*}, p_{12}^{*}, p_{13}^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}  \tag{23}\\
& =\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1-\mathrm{i} \omega}{3 a_{2}\left(1-b_{c} \mathrm{e}^{\left.\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}\right)}\right.}, \frac{a_{1}\left(1-b_{c} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)}{3(1-\mathrm{i} \omega)}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
p_{2}^{*} & =\left(p_{21}^{*}, p_{22}^{*}, p_{23}^{*}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3 a_{2}\left(1-b_{c}\right)}, \frac{a_{1}\left(1-b_{c}\right)}{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Because the nonlinearity is cubic, we seek a uniform second-order approximate solution of system (22) in powers of $\epsilon^{1 / 2}$. Thus, by the MTS, the solution of (22) is assumed to take the form:
$Y(t)=\epsilon^{1 / 2} Y_{1}+\epsilon^{3 / 2} Y_{2}+\cdots$,
where $Y_{j}=\left(y_{1, j}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right), y_{2, j}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right)\right.$, $\left.y_{3, j}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, j=1,2,3, \ldots ; T_{k}=\epsilon^{k} t, k=$ $0,1,2, \ldots$ The derivative with respect to $t$ is now transformed into
$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{0}}+\epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial T_{1}}+\cdots=\mathrm{D}_{0}+\epsilon \mathrm{D}_{1}+\cdots$,
where the differential operator $\mathrm{D}_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial T_{i}}, i=0,1$, $2, \ldots$

We take perturbations as $b=b_{c}+\epsilon b_{\epsilon}$ and $\tau=$ $\tau_{c}+\epsilon \tau_{\epsilon}$ in (22). To deal with the delayed terms, we expand $y_{i, j}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}-\epsilon \tau_{\epsilon}, T_{1}-\epsilon\left(\tau_{c}+\epsilon \tau_{\epsilon}\right), T_{2}-\epsilon\left(\tau_{c}+\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\epsilon \tau_{\epsilon}\right), \ldots\right)$ at $y_{i, j}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right)$ for $i=1,2,3$; $j=1,2,3, \ldots$ That is

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{i} & \left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}-\epsilon \tau_{\epsilon}, T_{1}-\epsilon\left(\tau_{c}+\epsilon \tau_{\epsilon}\right)\right. \\
& \left.T_{2}-\epsilon\left(\tau_{c}+\epsilon \tau_{\epsilon}\right), \ldots\right) \\
& =\epsilon^{1 / 2} y_{i, 1}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right) \\
& \quad-\epsilon^{3 / 2} \tau_{\epsilon} \mathrm{D}_{0} y_{i, 1}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\epsilon^{3 / 2} \tau_{c} \mathrm{D}_{1} y_{i, 1}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right) \\
& +\epsilon^{3 / 2} y_{i, 2}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right) \\
& +\cdots, \quad i=1,2,3
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, substituting the solutions with the multiple scales into (22) and balancing the coefficients of $\epsilon^{n / 2}$ $(n=1,3,5, \ldots)$ yields a set of ordered linear differential equations.

First, for the $\epsilon^{1 / 2}$-order terms, we have
$\mathrm{D}_{0} Y_{1}-M_{1} Y_{1}-b_{c} M_{2} Y_{1, \tau_{c}}=0$,
where $Y_{1, \tau_{c}}=\left(y_{1,1}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right), y_{2,1}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}\right.\right.$, $\left.\left.T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right), y_{3,1}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Since 0 and $\pm i \omega$ are the eigenvalues of the linear part of (22), then the solution of (25) can be expressed in the form of

$$
\begin{align*}
Y_{1}\left(T_{0}, T_{1}\right)= & G_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) p_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega T_{0}}+\bar{G}_{1}\left(T_{1}\right) \bar{p}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega T_{0}} \\
& +\frac{G_{2}\left(T_{1}\right)}{2} p_{2} \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{j}(j=1,2)$ is given by (23).
Next, from the $\epsilon^{3 / 2}$-order terms of (22), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D}_{0} Y_{2} & -M_{1} Y_{2}-b_{c} M_{2} Y_{2, \tau_{c}} \\
= & -\mathrm{D}_{1} Y_{1}-b_{c} \tau_{\epsilon} M_{2} \mathrm{D}_{0} Y_{1, \tau_{c}}-b_{c} \tau_{c} M_{2} \mathrm{D}_{1} Y_{1, \tau_{c}} \\
& +b_{\epsilon} M_{2} Y_{1, \tau_{c}}+f\left(Y_{1}, Y_{1, \tau_{c}}\right) \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Y_{2, \tau_{c}}=\left(y_{1,2}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right), y_{2,2}\left(T_{0}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right), y_{3,2}\left(T_{0}-\tau_{c}, T_{1}, T_{2}, \ldots\right)\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Substituting solution (26) into (27), we obtain the following equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{0} Y_{2}-M_{1} Y_{2}-b_{c} M_{2} Y_{2, \tau_{c}} \\
& \quad=\xi_{1} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \omega \mathrm{~T}_{0}}+\bar{\xi}_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega T_{0}}+\xi_{2}\left(T_{1}, T_{2}\right)+\mathrm{NST} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where NST stands for the terms that do not produce secular terms, and

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi_{1}= & -\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial T_{1}} p_{1}-b_{c} \tau_{\epsilon} M_{2} G_{1} p_{1} \mathrm{i} \omega \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}} \\
& -b_{c} \tau_{c} M_{2} \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial T_{1}} p_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}+b_{\epsilon} M_{2} G_{1} p_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}} \\
& +\left(b_{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}-1\right) \xi_{3} G_{1}^{2} \bar{G}_{1} \\
& +\frac{b_{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}-1}{4} \xi_{4} G_{1} G_{2}^{2}  \tag{29}\\
\xi_{2}= & -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial G_{2}}{\partial T_{1}} p_{2}-b_{c} \tau_{c} M_{2} \frac{\partial G_{2}}{\partial T_{1}} p_{2}+b_{\epsilon} M_{2} G_{2} p_{2} \\
& +\frac{b-1}{24} \xi_{5} G_{2}^{3}+(b-1) \xi_{6} G_{1} \bar{G}_{1} G_{2},
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xi_{3}=\left(a_{1} p_{13}^{2} \bar{p}_{13}, a_{2}, a_{3} p_{12}^{2} \bar{p}_{12}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \xi_{4}=\left(a_{1} p_{13} p_{23}^{2}, a_{2}, a_{3} p_{12} p_{22}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \xi_{5}=\left(a_{1} p_{23}^{3}, a_{2}, a_{3} p_{22}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{T}} \\
& \xi_{6}=\left(a_{1} p_{13} \bar{p}_{13} p_{23}, a_{2}, a_{3} p_{12} \bar{p}_{12} p_{22}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (28) is a linear non-homogeneous equation for $Y_{2}$, and the non-homogeneous equation has a solution if and only if a solvability condition is satisfied. That is, the right-hand side of (28) be orthogonal to every solution of the adjoint homogeneous problem, namely, $\left\langle p_{j}^{*}, \xi_{j}\right\rangle=0, j=1,2$, then $\frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial T_{1}}$ and $\frac{\partial G_{2}}{\partial T_{1}}$ are solved to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\partial G_{1}}{\partial T_{1}}=d_{1} G_{1}+P_{1} G_{1}^{2} \bar{G}_{1}+P_{2} G_{1} G_{2}^{2}  \tag{30}\\
& \frac{\partial G_{2}}{\partial T_{1}}=d_{2} G_{2}+P_{3} G_{2}^{3}+P_{4} G_{1} \bar{G}_{1} G_{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{1}= & \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}(1+\mathrm{i} \omega)\left(b_{\epsilon}-b_{c} \tau_{\epsilon}\right)}{(1+\mathrm{i} \omega) b_{c} \tau_{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}-1+b_{c} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}} \\
d_{2}= & \frac{b_{\epsilon}}{b_{c} \tau_{c}-1+b_{c}}, \\
P_{1}= & -h\left[\frac{\left(1-b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)\left(1-b_{c} e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right) a_{2}^{2}}{1-\mathrm{i} \omega}+1+\mathrm{i} \omega\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{(1+\mathrm{i} \omega)^{2}(1-\mathrm{i} \omega)}{a_{1}^{2}\left(1-b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)\left(1-b_{c} e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)}\right] \\
P_{2}= & -\frac{h}{4}\left[1+\mathrm{i} \omega+\frac{1+\mathrm{i} \omega}{a_{1}^{2}\left(1-b_{c}\right)^{2}}\right. \\
& \left.+a_{2}^{2}(1-b)^{2}(1+\mathrm{i} \omega)\right] \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{3}= & \frac{1}{36\left(\tau_{c} a b_{c}-1\right)} \\
& \times\left[1+\frac{1}{\left(1-b_{c}\right)^{2} a_{1}^{2}}+a_{2}^{2}\left(1-b_{c}\right)^{2}\right] \\
P_{4}= & -\frac{2}{3\left(1-\tau_{c} a b_{c}\right)}\left[\frac{(1+\mathrm{i} \omega)(1-\mathrm{i} \omega)}{a_{1}^{2}\left(1-b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)\left(1-b_{c} e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.+1+\frac{a_{2}^{2}\left(1-b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)\left(1-b_{c} e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right)}{(1+\mathrm{i} \omega)(1-\mathrm{i} \omega)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

with $h=\left(3+\frac{3 b_{c} \tau_{c}(1+\mathrm{i} \omega) e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}}{b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}-1}\right)^{-1}$.
The derivation of the normal form near the Hopfzero critical point $\left(b_{c}, \tau_{c}\right)$ using the CMR method is given in the Appendix. Equation (30) is the normal form truncated the third order derived by using the MTS method, and Eq. (40) is the normal form derived by using the CMR method (see the Appendix). Comparing the two normal forms, these two normal forms are identical.

Now, let $G_{1}=r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Theta}$ and $G_{2}=z$. Substituting these expressions into (30), we obtain the following normal form in cylindrical coordinates:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\alpha_{1} r+Q_{1} r^{3}+Q_{2} r z^{2}  \tag{32}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\alpha_{2} z+Q_{3} z^{3}+Q_{4} z r^{2} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \Theta}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\alpha_{3}+Q_{5} z^{2}+Q_{6} r^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\alpha_{1}=\operatorname{Re}\left(d_{1}\right), \alpha_{2}=d_{2}, \alpha_{3}=\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{1}\right), Q_{1}=$ $\operatorname{Re}\left(P_{1}\right), Q_{2}=\operatorname{Re}\left(P_{2}\right), Q_{3}=P_{3}, Q_{4}=P_{4}, Q_{5}=$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{2}\right)$ and $Q_{6}=\operatorname{Im}\left(P_{1}\right)$.

## 3 Hopf-zero bifurcation analysis and numerical simulation

In this section, we first give a bifurcation analysis based on the first two equations of the normal form (32), and then present some numerical simulation results.

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\alpha_{1} r+Q_{1} r^{3}+Q_{2} r z^{2}  \tag{33}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\alpha_{2} z+Q_{3} z^{3}+Q_{4} z r^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Equilibrium solutions of (33) are obtained by simply setting $\frac{\mathrm{d} r}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\mathrm{d} z}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0$. Note that $F_{0}=(r, z)=(0,0)$
corresponds to the original trivial equilibrium, and the other ones are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}=\left(\sqrt{-\frac{\alpha_{1}}{Q_{1}}}, 0\right) \text { for } \frac{\alpha_{1}}{Q_{1}}<0, \\
& F_{2}^{ \pm}=\left(0, \pm \sqrt{-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{Q_{3}}}\right) \text { for } \frac{\alpha_{2}}{Q_{3}}<0, \\
& F_{3}^{ \pm}=\left(\sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{2} Q_{2}-\alpha_{1} Q_{3}}{Q_{1} Q_{3}-Q_{2} Q_{4}}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{2} Q_{1}-\alpha_{1} Q_{4}}{Q_{2} Q_{4}-Q_{1} Q_{3}}}\right) \\
& \quad \text { for } \frac{\alpha_{2} Q_{2}-\alpha_{1} Q_{3}}{Q_{1} Q_{3}-Q_{2} Q_{4}}>0, \frac{\alpha_{2} Q_{1}-\alpha_{1} Q_{4}}{Q_{2} Q_{4}-Q_{1} Q_{3}}>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The semi-trivial equilibria $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}^{ \pm}$are bifurcating from the origin on the critical lines $L_{1}: \alpha_{1}=0$ and $L_{2}: \alpha_{2}=0$, respectively. The pair of non-trivial equilibria $F_{3}^{ \pm}$collide with the semi-trivial equilibria $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}^{ \pm}$, respectively on the critical lines $L_{3}: \alpha_{2} Q_{1}-$ $\alpha_{1} Q_{4}=0$ and $L_{4}: \alpha_{2} Q_{2}-\alpha_{1} Q_{3}=0$.

When $b=b_{c}$ and $\tau=\tau_{c}$, the solutions on the center manifold determine the local asymptotic behavior of solutions of the original system (1). So, for (33), equilibria on the $z$-axis remain equilibria, while equilibria away from the $z$-axis become periodic orbits (with pe$\operatorname{riod} \approx 2 \pi / \omega$ ).

In order to give a more clear bifurcation picture, we choose $a_{1}=1, a_{2}=2, a_{3}=-4, b=1.5$, which satisfy the assumption $a-a b=1\left(a=\sqrt[3]{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}\right)$ of Theorem 1. Under these parameter values, the characteristic equation (4) with $\tau=0$ has a zero root and a pair of complex conjugate roots with negative real part. By Theorem 1, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \omega_{2}^{(1)}=3-\sqrt{3}, \\
& \tau_{2,1}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{\omega_{2}^{(1)}} \arccos \left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1-\sqrt{3} \omega_{2}^{(1)}}{2 a b}\right) \\
& \approx 0.4129493351, \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(\tau_{2,1}^{(0)}\right)\right)>0, \\
& \omega_{3}^{(1)}=3+\sqrt{3}, \\
& \tau_{3,1}^{(0)}=\frac{1}{\omega_{3}^{(1)}} \arccos \left(\frac{1}{b}+\frac{1+\sqrt{3} \omega_{3}^{(1)}}{2 a b}\right) \\
& \approx 0.5532472039, \\
& \operatorname{Re}\left(\lambda^{\prime}\left(\tau_{3,1}^{(0)}\right)\right)>0 .
\end{aligned}
$$



Fig. 1 Critical bifurcation lines in the $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)$ parameter plane near $\left(\tau_{c}, b_{c}\right)$ and the corresponding phase portraits in the $(r, z)$ plane

We take $\tau_{c}=\tau_{2,1}^{(0)}=0.4129493351$. Thus, the characteristic equation (4) has a zero root and a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\pm \mathrm{i} \omega_{2}^{(1)}= \pm(3-\sqrt{3}) \mathrm{i}$, and all the other eigenvalues have negative real part. Assume that system (1) undergoes a Hopf-zero bifurcation from the equilibrium $(0,0,0)$. By a simple calculation, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=1.500682911 \tau_{\varepsilon}+0.9774934427 b_{\varepsilon}, \\
& \alpha_{2}=0.8933165608 b_{\varepsilon}, \\
& Q_{1}=-2.028446218, \quad Q_{2}=-0.5071115547, \\
& Q_{3}=-0.07444304675, \quad Q_{4}=-1.786633120 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the above chosen parameter values, the critical bifurcation lines become:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L_{1}: & b_{\varepsilon}=-1.535235783 \tau_{\varepsilon}, \\
L_{2}: & b_{\varepsilon}=0, \\
L_{3}: & b_{\varepsilon}=40.85751446 \tau_{\varepsilon}, \\
L_{4}: & b_{\varepsilon}=0.2937996958 \tau_{\varepsilon},
\end{array}
$$

as shown in the bifurcation diagram (see Fig. 1).
Figure 1 shows the critical bifurcation lines in the $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)$ parameter plane near the critical point $\left(\tau_{c}, b_{c}\right)$ and the corresponding phase portraits in the ( $r, z$ ) plane, whose origin is the Hopf-zero critical point. The bifurcation behaviors of the original system (1) in the neighborhood of $(0,0,0)$ can be observed from Fig. 1 . Note that the bifurcation boundaries divide the ( $\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}$ ) parameter plane into six regions. Also, it is seen from
the phase portraits that the orbits are symmetric with respect to the $r$ coordinate, therefore, we only draw the orbits in the first quadrant.

For system (1), we use Fig. 1 to describe the bifurcations in the clockwise direction, starting from $B_{1}$ and ending at $B_{1}$. First, in region $B_{1}$, there is only one trivial equilibrium which is a sink. When the parameters are varied across line $L_{2}$ (the $\tau_{\varepsilon}$ axis) from region $B_{1}$ to $B_{2}$, the trivial equilibrium becomes a saddle, and a pair of stable fixed points (for convenience, we call them $E_{1}^{ \pm}$) appear from the trivial solution due to a pitchfork bifurcation. When the parameters are changed from region $B_{2}$ to $B_{3}$, an unstable periodic solution (called $O_{1}$ ) occurs from the trivial solution due to a Hopf bifurcation, and the trivial solution becomes a source from a saddle. In region $B_{4}$, a pair of periodic solutions (called $O_{2}^{ \pm}$), which are sources, occur from $O_{1}$ due to a pitchfork bifurcation, and $O_{1}$ becomes a sink from a saddle. When the parameters are further changed from region $B_{4}$ to $B_{5}$ crossing line $L_{4}$, the pair of periodic solutions $O_{2}^{ \pm}$collide with the pair of semi-trivial fixed points $E_{1}^{ \pm}$, respectively, and then disappear, and $E_{1}^{ \pm}$become saddles. When the parameters are further varied across line $L_{2}$ from region $B_{5}$ to $B_{6}$, the pair of semi-trivial fixed points $E_{1}^{ \pm}$collide with the trivial solution and then disappear, and the trivial solution becomes a saddle from a source. Finally, when the parameters are varied across line $L_{1}$ from region $B_{6}$ to $B_{1}$, the stable periodic solution $O_{1}$ collides with the trivial solution and then disappears, and the trivial solution becomes a sink from a saddle.

For simulations, we choose four groups of perturbation parameter values: $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)=(-0.1,-0.1)$, $(-0.1,0.1),(0.1,0.1)$, and $(0.1,-0.1)$, which belong to the regions $B_{1}, B_{2}, B_{4}$, and $B_{6}$, respectively, resulting in a stable fixed point shown in Fig. 2, a pair of stable fixed points as depicted in Fig. 3, co-existence of a pair of stable fixed points and a stable periodic solution shown in Fig. 4, and a stable periodic solution as depicted in Fig. 5. It is clear that the numerical simulations agree with the analytical predictions.

Remark It should be noted that if we take $\tau_{c}=\tau_{3,1}^{(0)}=$ 0.5532472039 , then the characteristic equation (4) has a zero root and a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\pm \mathrm{i} \omega_{3}^{(1)}= \pm(3+\sqrt{3}) \mathrm{i}$, and in addition it has a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues with positive real


Fig. 2 Simulated solution of system (1) for $\tau_{c}=$ $0.4129493351, \quad b_{c}=1.5$ and $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)=(-0.1,-0.1)$ : (a) the time history; and (b) the phase portrait, showing a stable fixed point


Fig. 3 Simulated solution of system (1) for $\tau_{c}=$ $0.4129493351, \quad b_{c}=1.5$ and $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)=(-0.1,0.1)$ : (a) the time history; and (b) the phase portrait, showing coexistence of a pair of stable fixed points with the initial values $\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), x_{3}(0)\right)=(1,1,1)$ (red lines) and $\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), x_{3}(0)\right)=(-1,-1,-1)$ (blue lines), respectively (Color figure online)
part. Thus, the system contains a two-dimensional unstable manifold, which has very little interest in practical application and thus is not investigated in this paper.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have studied Hopf-zero bifurcation in a generalized Gopalsamy neural network model. We


Fig. 4 Simulated solution of system (1) for $\tau_{c}=$ $0.4129493351, b_{c}=1.5$ and $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)=(0.1,0.1):$ (a) the time history; and (b) the phase portrait, showing coexistence of a pair of stable fixed points with the initial values $\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), x_{3}(0)\right)=(3,-3,3) \quad$ (blue lines) and $\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), x_{3}(0)\right)=(-3,3,-3) \quad$ (red lines) and a stable periodic solution with the initial value $\left(x_{1}(0), x_{2}(0), x_{3}(0)\right)=(1,1,1) \quad$ (black lines), respectively (Color figure online)


Fig. 5 Simulated solution of system (1) for $\tau_{c}=$ $0.4129493351, b_{c}=1.5$ and $\left(\tau_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}\right)=(0.1,-0.1):(\mathbf{a})$ the time history; and (b) the phase portrait, showing a stable periodic solution
derived the normal form of Hopf-zero bifurcation by using multiple time scales and center manifold reduction methods. A comparison between the two methods shows that the two normal forms are identical. The multiple time scales method is the first time to be used to consider the Hopf-zero bifurcation in delay differential equations, and further shown that the
multiple time scales is simpler than the center manifold reduction, though the results from the two methods are equivalent. Moreover, bifurcation analysis near the Hopf-zero critical point is given, showing that the system may exhibit a stable fixed point, a pair of stable fixed points, a stable periodic solution, and coexistence of a pair of stable fixed points and a stable periodic solution in the neighborhood of the critical point. Numerical simulations are presented to verify the analytical predictions.
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## Appendix

In this Appendix, we compute the normal form of (22) on center manifold near the Hopf-zero bifurcation critical point $\left(b_{c}, \tau_{c}\right)$ using the center manifold reduction (CMR) method. To achieve this, first re-scale time by $\tilde{t} \mapsto(t / \tau)$ to normalize the delay so that system (22) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\dot{y_{1}}(\tilde{t})= & -\tau y_{1}(\tilde{t})+\tau a_{1} y_{3}(\tilde{t})-\tau a_{1} b y_{3}(\tilde{t}-1)  \tag{34}\\
& -\frac{\tau a_{1}}{3} y_{3}^{3}(\tilde{t})+\frac{\tau a_{1} b}{3} y_{3}^{3}(\tilde{t}-1) \\
\dot{y_{2}}(\tilde{t})= & -\tau y_{2}(\tilde{t})+\tau a_{2} y_{1}(\tilde{t})-\tau a_{2} b y_{1}(\tilde{t}-1) \\
& -\frac{\tau a_{2}}{3} y_{1}^{3}(\tilde{t})+\frac{\tau a_{2} b}{3} y_{1}^{3}(\tilde{t}-1) \\
\dot{y_{3}}(\tilde{t})= & -\tau y_{3}(\tilde{t})+\tau a_{3} y_{2}(\tilde{t})-\tau a_{3} b y_{2}(\tilde{t}-1) \\
& -\frac{\tau a_{3}}{3} y_{2}^{3}(\tilde{t})+\frac{\tau a_{3} b}{3} y_{2}^{3}(\tilde{t}-1)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

The trivial equilibrium of (34) is $y_{1}=y_{2}=y_{3}=0$. At the critical point $(b, \tau)=\left(b_{c}, \tau_{c}\right)$, we choose
$\eta(\theta)= \begin{cases}\tau_{c} N_{1}, & \theta=0, \\ 0, & \theta \in(-1,0), \\ -\tau_{c} N_{2}, & \theta=-1,\end{cases}$
with
$N_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}-1 & 0 & a_{1} \\ a_{2} & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{3} & -1\end{array}\right)$,
$N_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}0 & 0 & -a_{1} b_{c} \\ -a_{2} b_{c} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -a_{3} b_{c} & 0\end{array}\right)$.
Then the linearized equation of (34) at the trivial equilibrium can be written as
$\frac{\mathrm{d} X(\tilde{t})}{\mathrm{d} \tilde{t}}=L_{0} X_{\tilde{t}}$,
where $L_{0} \phi=\int_{-1}^{0} \mathrm{~d} \eta(\theta) \phi(\theta), \phi \in \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left([-1,0], \mathrm{R}^{3}\right)$, and the bilinear form [51] on $\mathrm{C}^{*} \times \mathrm{C}(*$ stands for adjoint) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \langle\psi(s), \phi(\theta)\rangle \\
& \quad=\psi(0) \phi(0)-\int_{-1}^{0} \int_{\xi=0}^{\theta} \psi(\xi-\theta) \mathrm{d} \eta(\theta) \phi(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi,
\end{aligned}
$$

in which $\phi \in \mathrm{C}, \psi \in \mathrm{C}^{*}$. Then the phase space C is decomposed by $\Lambda=\left\{ \pm \mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}, 0\right\}$ as $\mathrm{C}=P \oplus Q$, where $Q=\left\{\varphi \in \mathrm{C}: \quad(\psi, \varphi)=0\right.$, for all $\left.\psi \in P^{*}\right\}$, and the bases for $P$ and its adjoint $P^{*}$ are given respectively by
$\Phi(\theta)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \theta} & e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \theta} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{a_{2}\left(1-b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right) e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \theta}}{1+\mathrm{i} \omega} & \frac{a_{2}\left(1-b_{c} e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}\right) e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \theta}}{1-\mathrm{i} \omega} & \frac{a_{2}\left(1-b_{c}\right)}{2} \\ \frac{(1+\mathrm{i} \omega) e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \theta}}{a_{1}\left(1-b_{c} e^{\left.-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}\right)}\right.} & \frac{(1-\mathrm{i} \omega) e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \theta}}{a_{1}\left(1-b_{c} e^{\left.\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}\right)}\right.} & \frac{1}{2 a_{1}\left(1-b_{c}\right)}\end{array}\right)$
and

where $h=\left(3+\frac{3 b_{c} \tau_{c}(1+\mathrm{i} \omega) e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}}{b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{io} \mathrm{\omega} \tau_{c}}-1}\right)^{-1}$.
We also use the same bifurcation parameters given by $b=b_{c}+b_{\varepsilon}$ and $\tau=\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}$ in (34), where $b_{\varepsilon}$ and $\tau_{\varepsilon}$ are perturbation parameters, and denote $\varepsilon=\left(b_{\varepsilon}, \tau_{\varepsilon}\right)$. Then (34) can be written as
$\frac{\mathrm{d} X(\tilde{t})}{\mathrm{d} \tilde{t}}=L(\varepsilon) X_{\tilde{t}}+F\left(X_{\tilde{t}}, \varepsilon\right)$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\varepsilon) X_{\tilde{t}}= & \left(\begin{array}{l}
-\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{1, \tilde{t}}(0)+a_{1}\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{3, \tilde{t}}(0) \\
-\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{2, \tilde{\tilde{t}}}(0)+a_{2}\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{1, \tilde{t}}(0) \\
-\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{3, \tilde{t}}(0)+a_{3}\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{2, \tilde{t}}(0)
\end{array}\right) \\
& -\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{1}\left(b_{c}+b_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{3, \tilde{t}}(-1) \\
a_{2}\left(b_{c}+b_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{1, \tilde{t}}(-1) \\
a_{3}\left(b_{c}+b_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right) y_{2, \tilde{t}}(-1)
\end{array}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F\left(X_{\tilde{t}}, \varepsilon\right) \\
& \quad=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\frac{a_{1}\left(b_{c}+b_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}{3} y_{3, \tilde{t}}^{3}(-1)-\frac{a_{1}\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}{3} y_{3, \tilde{t}}^{3}(0) \\
\frac{a_{2}\left(b_{c}+b_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}{3} y_{1, \tilde{i}}^{3}(-1)-\frac{a_{2}\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}{3} y_{1, \tilde{i}}^{3}(0) \\
\frac{a_{3}\left(b_{c}+b_{\varepsilon}\right)\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}{3} y_{2, \tilde{t}}^{3}(-1)-\frac{a_{3}\left(\tau_{c}+\tau_{\varepsilon}\right)}{3} y_{2, \tilde{t}}^{3}(0)
\end{array}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We now consider the enlarged phase space BC of functions from $[-1,0]$ to $R^{3}$, which are continuous on $[-1,0$ ) with a possible jump discontinuity at zero. This space can be identified as $\mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{R}^{3}$. Thus, its elements can be written in the form $\tilde{\varphi}=\varphi+X_{0} c$, where $\varphi \in \mathrm{C}, c \in \mathrm{R}^{3}$ and $X_{0}$ is a $3 \times 3$ matrix-valued function, defined by $X_{0}(\theta)=0$ for $\theta \in[-1,0)$ and $X_{0}(0)=\mathrm{I}$. In the BC, (35) becomes an abstract ODE,
$\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}=A u+X_{0} \tilde{F}(u, \varepsilon)$,
where $u \in \mathrm{C}$, and $A$ is defined by
$A: C^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{BC}, A u=\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}+X_{0}\left[L_{0} u-\frac{\mathrm{d} u(0)}{\mathrm{d} \tilde{t}}\right]$,
and
$\tilde{F}(u, \varepsilon)=\left[L(\varepsilon)-L_{0}\right] u+F(u, \varepsilon)$.
By using the continuous projection $\pi: \mathrm{BC} \mapsto P$, $\pi\left(\phi+X_{0} c\right)=\Phi[(\Psi, \phi)+\Psi(0) c]$, we can decompose the enlarged phase space by $\Lambda=\left\{ \pm \mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}, 0\right\}$ as $\mathrm{BC}=P \oplus \mathrm{Ker}^{\pi}$, where $\operatorname{Ker}^{\pi}=\left\{\phi+X_{0} c: \pi(\phi+\right.$ $\left.\left.X_{0} c\right)=0\right\}$, denoting the Kernel under the projection $\pi$. Let $\eta=\left(\eta_{1}, \bar{\eta}_{1}, \eta_{2}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}, v_{\tilde{t}} \in Q^{1}:=Q \cap \mathrm{C}^{1} \subset \operatorname{Ker}^{\pi}$, and $A_{Q^{1}}$ the restriction of $A$ as an operator from $Q^{1}$ to the Banach space $\mathrm{Ker}^{\pi}$. Further, denote $u_{\tilde{t}}=\Phi \eta+v_{\tilde{t}}$. Then Eq. (36) is decomposed to the form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}=B \eta+\Psi(0) \tilde{F}\left(\Phi \eta+v_{\tilde{t}}, \varepsilon\right)  \tag{37}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} v_{\tilde{t}}}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}=A_{Q^{1}} v_{\tilde{t}}+(\mathrm{I}-\pi) X_{0} \tilde{F}\left(\Phi \eta+v_{\tilde{t}}, \varepsilon\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $B=\operatorname{diag}\left\{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c},-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}, 0\right\}$.
Next, let $M_{2}^{1}$ denote the operator defined in $V_{2}^{5}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3} \times\right.$ $\operatorname{Ker}^{\pi}$ ), with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{2}^{1}: V_{2}^{5}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right) \mapsto V_{2}^{5}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right) \\
& \quad\left(M_{2}^{1} p\right)(\eta, \varepsilon)=D_{\eta} p(\eta, \varepsilon) B \eta-B p(\eta, \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V_{2}^{5}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)$ represents the linear space of the second-order homogeneous polynomials in five variables ( $\eta_{1}, \bar{\eta}_{1}, \eta_{2}, b_{\varepsilon}, \tau_{\varepsilon}$ ) with coefficients in $\mathrm{C}^{3}$. Then we may choose the decomposition $V_{2}^{5}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{Im}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)^{c} \quad$ with complementary space $\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)^{c}$ spanned by the elements $b_{\varepsilon} \eta_{1} e_{1}, \tau_{\varepsilon} \eta_{1} e_{1}$, $\eta_{1} \eta_{2} e_{1}, b_{\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}_{1} e_{2}, \tau_{\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}_{1} e_{2}, \bar{\eta}_{1} \eta_{2} e_{2}, \tau_{\varepsilon} \eta_{2} e_{3}, b_{\varepsilon} \eta_{2} e_{3}, \eta_{2}^{2} e_{3}$, $\eta_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1} e_{3}$, where $e_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are unit vectors.

Consequently, the normal form of (35) on the center manifold near the equilibrium $(0,0,0)$ associated with the critical point $\left(b_{\varepsilon}, \tau_{\varepsilon}\right)=(0,0)$ has the form

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}=B \eta+\frac{1}{2} g_{2}^{1}(\eta, 0, \varepsilon)+\text { h.o.t. }
$$

where $g_{2}^{1}$ is the function giving the quadratic terms in $(\eta, \varepsilon)$ for $v_{\tilde{t}}=0$, and is determined by $g_{2}^{1}(\eta, 0, \varepsilon)=$ $\operatorname{Proj}_{\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{2}^{1}\right)\right)^{c}} \times f_{2}^{1}(\eta, 0, \varepsilon)$, where $f_{2}^{1}(\eta, 0, \varepsilon)$ is the function giving the quadratic terms in $(\eta, \varepsilon)$ for $v_{\tilde{t}}=0$ defined by the first equation of (37). Thus, the normal
form, truncated at the quadratic order terms, is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}= & \mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \eta_{1}+3 \mathrm{i} \omega h \tau_{\varepsilon} \eta_{1}  \tag{38}\\
& -\frac{3 h \tau_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}(1+\mathrm{i} \omega)}{1-b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}} b_{\varepsilon} \eta_{1}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{\eta}_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}= & -\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c} \bar{\eta}_{1}-3 \mathrm{i} \omega \bar{h} \tau_{\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}_{1} \\
& -\frac{3 \bar{h} \tau_{c} e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}(1-\mathrm{i} \omega)}{1-b_{c} e^{\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}} b_{\varepsilon} \bar{\eta}_{1}, \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{t}}= & \frac{a \tau_{c}}{\tau_{c} a b_{c}-1} b_{\varepsilon} \eta_{2},
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $h=\left(3+\frac{3 b_{c} \tau_{c}(1+\mathrm{i} \omega) e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}}{b_{c} e^{-\mathrm{i} \omega \tau_{c}}-1}\right)^{-1}$.
To find the normal form up to third order, similarly, let $M_{3}^{1}$ denote the operator defined in $V_{3}^{3}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3} \times \mathrm{Ker}^{\pi}\right)$, with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{3}^{1}: V_{3}^{3}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right) \mapsto V_{3}^{5}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right) \\
& \quad\left(M_{3}^{1} p\right)(\eta, \varepsilon)=D_{\eta} p(\eta, \varepsilon) B \eta-B p(\eta, \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $V_{3}^{3}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)$ denotes the linear space of the thirdorder homogeneous polynomials in three variables: $\eta_{1}, \bar{\eta}_{1}$, and $\eta_{2}$ with coefficients in $\mathrm{C}^{3}$. Then one may choose the decomposition $V_{3}^{3}\left(\mathrm{C}^{3}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{3}^{1}\right) \oplus$ $\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{3}^{1}\right)^{c}$ with complementary space $\operatorname{Im}\left(M_{3}^{1}\right)^{c}$ spanned by the elements $\eta_{1} \eta_{2}^{2} e_{1}, \eta_{1}^{2} \bar{\eta}_{1} e_{2}, \bar{\eta}_{1} \eta_{2}^{2} e_{2}$, $\eta_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}^{2} e_{3}, \eta_{2}^{3} e_{1}, \eta_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1} \eta_{2} e_{3}$, where $e_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ are unit vectors.

Therefore, the normal form up to third-order terms is given by
$\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta}{\mathrm{d} \tilde{t}}=B \eta+\frac{1}{2!} g_{2}^{1}(\eta, 0, \varepsilon)+\frac{1}{3!} g_{3}^{1}(\eta, 0, \varepsilon)+$ h.o.t.,
where
$\frac{1}{3!} g_{3}^{1}(\eta, 0,0)=\frac{1}{3!}\left(I-P_{I, 3}^{1}\right) f_{3}^{1}(\eta, 0,0)$,
and $f_{3}^{1}(\eta, 0,0)$, is the function giving the cubic terms in $\left(\eta, \varepsilon, v_{\tilde{t}}\right)$ for $\varepsilon=0$, and $v_{\tilde{t}}=0$, is defined by the first equation of (37). Finally, the normal form on the
center manifold arising from (37) becomes

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\mathrm{i} \omega \eta_{1}+d_{1} \eta_{1}+P_{1} \eta_{1}^{2} \bar{\eta}_{1}+P_{2} \eta_{1} \eta_{2}^{2}  \tag{40}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \bar{\eta}_{1}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-\mathrm{i} \omega \bar{\eta}_{1}+\bar{d}_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}+\bar{P}_{1} \eta_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1}^{2}+\bar{P}_{2} \bar{\eta}_{1} \eta_{2}^{2} \\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta_{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=d_{2} \eta_{2}+P_{3} \eta_{2}^{3}+P_{4} \eta_{1} \bar{\eta}_{1} \eta_{2},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $d_{i}(i=1,2)$ and $P_{i}(i=1,2,3,4)$ are given in (31).
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