

# Rings and Modules

Harshith Sairaj Alagandala

September 18, 2023

Selected problems from Dummit and Foote [1].

## Contents

<b>Chapter 7: Introduction to Rings</b>	<b>2</b>
7.1: Basic definitions and examples . . . . .	2
7.2 Examples: polynomial rings, matrix rings, and group rings . . . . .	3
7.3 Ring homomorphisms and Quotient rings . . . . .	5

## Chapter 7: Introduction to Rings

### 7.1: Basic definitions and examples

Let  $R$  be a ring with 1.

- 1: By proposition 1 (3), we have  $(-1)(-1) = 1 \cdot 1 = 1$ . Hence  $(-1)^2 = 1$ .
- 2: Let  $u$  be a unit of  $R$ . There exists  $v \in R$  such that  $uv = vu = 1$ . By proposition 1 (3) we have  $(-u)(-v) = uv = 1$ . Similarly,  $(-v)(-u) = 1$ . Therefore,  $-u$  is a unit.
- 3: Let  $u$  be a unit in  $S$ . There exists  $v \in S$  such that  $uv = 1$ . By the inclusion  $i$  of  $S$  in  $R$  we get  $i(u \cdot_S v) = (i(u)) \cdot_R (i(v)) = u \cdot_R v$  and  $i(1) = 1$ . So  $u \cdot_R v = 1$ . Similarly,  $v \cdot_R u = 1$ . Hence,  $v$  is a unit in  $R$ .

Consider, the subring  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ . The subring  $\mathbb{Z}$  contains the identity 1. The element  $2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  is not a unit in  $\mathbb{Z}$ , but it is a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}$  as

$$(1/2) \cdot_R 2 = 2 \cdot_R (1/2) = 1.$$

- 11: Simplify  $(x-1)(x+1) = x^2 - x + x - 1 = x^2 - 1 = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, it has no zero divisors. Then either  $x-1 = 0$  or  $x+1 = 0$ . Hence,  $x = \pm 1$ .
- 12: Any field  $F$  is an integral domain: a non zero element  $u \in F$  is a unit so it is not a zero divisor. Let  $S$  be a subring of  $F$  such that  $1 \in S$ . Suppose  $a, b \in S$  such that  $a \cdot_S b = 0$ . Then by the inclusion map, we get  $a \cdot_F b = 0$ , which tells us either  $a$  or  $b$  must be 0 in  $F$ . Hence, by injectivity of the inclusion map,  $a$  or  $b$  must be 0 in  $S$ .
- 13: (a): By the commutativity of integers,  $(ab)^k = a^k b^k = (a^k b) b^{k-1} = nb^{k-1}$ . By taking modulo  $n$  we get  $(ab)^k = 0 \pmod n$ . So  $(\overline{ab})^k = (ab)^k \pmod n = 0 \pmod n = \overline{0}$ . Hence,  $\overline{ab}$  is nilpotent.  
(b): We can represent  $n$  as a multiple of primes as  $p_1^{a_1} \dots p_k^{a_k}$  where  $p_j$  are primes and  $a_j$  are positive integers.  
Suppose, all the prime divisors  $p_j$ s of  $n$  divides  $a$ . Then  $a = p_1^{b_1} \dots p_k^{b_k}$  where  $b_k$  are positive integers. There exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $mb_j \geq a_j$  for all  $j$ . Then  $a^m = \prod_{j=1 \dots k} p_j^{mb_j} = \prod_{j=1 \dots k} p_j^{a_j + (mb_j - a_j)} = \prod_{j=1 \dots k} p_j^{a_j} \prod_{j=1 \dots k} p_j^{(mb_j - a_j)} = n \prod_{j=1 \dots k} p_j^{(mb_j - a_j)} = 0 \pmod n$ . Hence,  $\overline{a}$  is nilpotent.  
(c): Let  $f \in R$  be a non zero element. Then there exists a  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = a$  where  $a \in F$  is not zero. Since  $a \in F$  it is not a zero divisor. Hence it can not be a nilpotent element. Suppose  $f^m = 0$ , then  $f^m(x) = (f(x))^m = a^m = 0$ . This gives us a contradiction as  $a$  can not be nilpotent.
- 14: Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  be the smallest number such that  $x^m = 0$ . That means  $(x^{m-1}) \neq 0$ . We take  $\alpha^0 = 1$  for any  $\alpha \in R$ .

- (a): We have  $x^m = x(x^{m-1}) = 0$ . Then  $x$  is either zero or nilpotent as  $x^{m-1}$  is not zero.
- (b): As  $R$  is commutative,  $(rx)^m = r^m x^m = r^m 0 = 0$ .
- (c): Let  $k \geq m$  be an odd number.  $1 = 1 + x^k = (1+x)(x^{k-1} - x^{k-2} \dots + 1)$ . Hence,  $(1+x)$  is a unit.
- (d): Let  $u$  be a unit. Then  $u+x = u(1+u^{-1}x)$ , by (b) we get  $u^{-1}x$  is a unit and then by (c) we get  $(1+u^{-1}x)$  is a unit. Product of units is a unit. Hence  $u+x$  is a unit.
- 15: Let  $a, b \in R$  where  $R$  is a boolean ring. Then  $(a+b)^2 = a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 = a + ab + ba + b$ . Then  $ab + ba = 0$ . Also note  $a+a = (a+a)^2 = a^2 + a^2 + a^2 + a^2 = a + a + a + a$ . So we get  $a+a = 0$ . Which means  $a = -a$ . Using this,  $ab = -ba = b(-a) = ba$ . Hence, the  $R$  is commutative.
- 16: Let  $R$  be a boolean ring which is an integral domain. Let  $a \in R$  be a non zero element. Then  $a^2 = a$  and  $0 = a^2 - a = a(a-1)$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain and  $a$  is non zero, we have  $a-1 = 0$ . Hence,  $a = 1$ . Therefore, any non zero element is 1. Which means there are only two elements in the group, ie, 0 and 1 and  $1^2 = 1$ . Therefore,  $R$  is  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .
- 26: (a): Lets look at  $\nu(1) = \nu(1 \cdot 1) = \nu(1) + \nu(1)$ , so  $\nu(1) = 0$ . Also,  $0 = \nu(1) = \nu(-1 \cdot -1) = 2\nu(-1)$ , so  $\nu(-1) = 0$ . So  $1, -1 \in R$ .  
Given non zero elements  $a, b \in R$ ,  $\nu(a+b) \geq \min\{\nu(a), \nu(b)\}$ . Hence,  $a+b \in R$ , as  $\nu(a+b) \geq 0$ . For additive inverse,  $\nu(-a) = \nu(-1 \cdot a) = \nu(-1) + \nu(a) = \nu(a) \geq 0$ . Hence,  $a \in R$ . Therefore,  $R \subset K$  forms an abelian group in addition.  
For multiplication,  $\nu(ab) = \nu(a) + \nu(b) \geq 0$  which implies  $ab \in R$ . Hence, multiplication is closed in  $R$ . Therefore, the injection of  $R$  in  $K$  is a ring homomorphism and  $R$  is subring of  $K$ .
- (b): Let  $x \in K$  be non zero. By  $0 = \nu(1) = \nu(x \cdot x^{-1}) = \nu(x) + \nu(x^{-1})$ , we get  $\nu(x) = -\nu(x^{-1})$ . Hence, atleast one of them is non negative.
- (c): Let  $u \in R$  be a unit, which means  $\nu(u) \geq 0$  and  $\nu(u^{-1}) \geq 0$ . As seen above,  $\nu(x) = -\nu(x^{-1})$ . Hence,  $\nu(x) = 0$ .

## 7.2 Examples: polynomial rings, matrix rings, and group rings

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with 1.

- 1: For (a),  $p(x) + q(x) = 9x^3 - 3x^2 + 37x - 9$ .  
For (b),  $p(x) + q(x) = x^3 + x^2 + x + 1$ .  
For (c),  $p(x) + q(x) = x$ .

For (a),  $p(x)q(x) = 14x^6 - 21x^5 - 15x^3 + 144x^2 + 181x + 20$ .

For (b),  $p(x)q(x) = x^5 + x^3 + x$ .

For (c),  $p(x)q(x) = 2x^6 + 1x + 2$ .

- 3: (a): We can check that  $R[[x]]$  is an abelian group in addition. And with the multiplication defined, it has a ring structure as the distributive law follows just like the polynomials. We can check that  $a_0 = 1$  and  $a_j = 0$  for all  $j > 0$  is the identity for  $R[[x]]$ . Commutative follows because

$$\sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} = \sum_{j=0}^n b_j a_{n-j}$$

- (b): Let us multiply  $(1 - x)$  with  $1 + x + x^2 + \dots$ . Say the product is  $\sum c_j x^j$ ; if  $j > 0$  then  $c_j = a_0 b_j + a_1 b_{j-1} = 1 - 1 = 0$ . And  $c_0 = 1$ . Hence, the product is identity.

- (c): Say the sum  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j$  is a unit. Then it has an inverse, say  $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j$ . Their product must be 1. In particular, the last term  $a_0 b_0 = 1$ . Hence  $a_0$  must be a unit in  $R$ .

Say  $a_0$  is a unit in  $R$ . Let  $b_0 = a_0^{-1}$ . We recursively define  $b_j = -a_0^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^j a_k b_{j-k}$ . Then we can check the product of the formal series is 1.

- 4: Let  $\alpha = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j)$  and  $\beta = (\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j x^j)$ . Suppose  $\alpha\beta = 0$ . Then  $\sum_{k=0}^j a_k b_{j-k} = 0$ . In particular,  $a_0 b_0 = 0$ . Since  $R$  is an integral domain, we must have either  $a_0$  or  $b_0$  is zero.

Now suppose the first  $k - 1$  coefficients are zero for  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ . Say they are zero for  $\alpha$ : then  $0 = a_k b_0 + a_{k-1} b_1 + \dots + a_0 b_k = a_k b_0$ . Then either  $a_k = 0$  or  $b_0 = 0$ . Suppose  $a_k \neq 0$ , then  $0 = a_{k+1} b_0 + a_k b_1 + \dots + a_0 b_{k+1} = a_k b_1$  gives  $b_1 = 0$ . Iteratively,  $0 = a_{k+m} b_0 + a_{k+m-1} b_1 + \dots + a_0 b_{k+m} = a_k b_m$  gives  $b_m = 0$  where  $m \leq k$ . Hence, the first  $k$  coefficients are zero for  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ .

By induction this holds for any positive integer  $k$ . Therefore, either  $\alpha$  or  $\beta$  must be zero.

- 8: Let  $(a_{ij})$  and  $(b_{ij})$  be two matrices such that  $a_{ij} = 0$  when  $i \geq j$  and  $b_{ij} = 0$  when  $i + k \geq j$  for some  $k \leq n$ .

Let  $(c_{ij})$  denote their product

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

Take  $i$  and  $j$  such that  $i + k + 1 \geq j$ .

$$c_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^n a_{il} b_{lj} = \sum_{l=1}^i a_{il} b_{lj} + \sum_{l=i+1}^n a_{il} b_{lj} = 0 + 0$$

Therefore, we have  $c_{ij} = 0$  when  $i + (k + 1) \geq j$ .

If  $A$  is an upper triangular matrix then by inducting on the above statement, we get  $A^n$  has all its elements zero. As for any  $i$  and  $j$  we have  $i + n \geq j$  in an  $n \times n$  matrix.

### 7.3 Ring homomorphisms and Quotient rings

Let  $R$  be a ring with  $1 \neq 0$ .

- 1: Let  $\phi$  be an homomorphism from  $2\mathbb{Z}$  to  $3\mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose  $\phi(2) = 3m$  where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$6m = \phi(2) + \phi(2) = \phi(2 + 2) = \phi(2 \cdot 2) = \phi(2) \cdot \phi(2) = 9m^2$$

So  $6m - 9m^2 = 0$  and  $3m(2 - 3m) = 0$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is an integral domain, we have  $m = 0$ . As  $\phi(2) = \phi(0) = 0$ ,  $\phi$  is not an isomorphisms.

- 2: By proposition 4, the units of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  are the units of  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is the singletonset  $\{1\}$ . Similarly, the units of  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  are the units of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Every non zero element is a unit in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Let  $\phi$  be an isomorphism from  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$  to  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ . Note  $\phi(1) = \phi(1 \cdot 1) = \phi(1)\phi(1)$ , either  $\phi(1) = 0$  or  $\phi(1) = 1$  ( as  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a integral domain). Since  $\phi$  is an isomorphism then  $\phi(1)$  must be 1. Let  $u \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  be a unit, then  $\phi(1) = \phi(u \cdot u^{-1}) = \phi(u) * \phi(u^{-1})$ . This shows that a unit maps to a unit. Since an isomorphism is a bijection the number of units must be the same in both the rings. Which is not the case here. Hence we can not have an isomorphisms.

## References

- [1] David Steven Dummit and Richard M Foote. *Abstract algebra*. Vol. 3. Wiley Hoboken, 2004.